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## I. INTRODUCTION

The need for irrigation in North Dakota has been recognized since before statehood. During the North Dakota Constitutional Convention in 1889, Major John Wesley Powell, Director of the U.S. Geological Survey, stated that most of the state would require irrigation on at least a recurring cycle to sustain agricultural pursuits. By 1908, water was being provided to the Williston irrigation project. In the 1950 s , the development of sprinkler systems, especially center pivot systems, increased the number of areas which could be irrigated. Sprinkler systems could irrigate rolling hills and land with much steeper slopes than flood irrigation. These systems, especially the center pivots, substitute capital investment for labor. Currently, approximately 80 percent of the state's irrigated acreage is under sprinklers. Most of the center pivot systems installed in McKenzie County and throughout the state were installed by individuals using ground water sources rather than surface water obtained through irrigation projects.

The Buford-Trenton irrigation project was constructed by the Bureau of Reclamation in 1940-43. At full development, the project irrigated approximately 10,000 acres of land near Williston. After the closure of the Garrison Dam in 1953, Lake Sakakawea began filling. The lake first reached its maximum normal operating elevation of 1850 feet msl in 1965. In 1958, the Corps of Engineers acquired the East Bottom of the Buford-Trenton project for the Garrison Dam-Lake Sakakawea project, reducing the irrigated area to approximately 7,100 acres. Currently, 9,876 acres are
being served with water. Continuing problems with the high water table caused by Lake Sakakawea are threatening the remaining area of the Buford-Trenton project.

The Upper Missouri Lake Sakakawea Planning Committee recognized the danger to the irrigation district and the potential impact it would have on the local economy. The committee has been working to prevent the loss of irrigation. The committee also approached the Williams and McKenzie County Water Resource Districts and proposed new irrigation districts to replace the Buford-Trenton District if necessary. They are also interested in enhancing the local economy and realize the potential irrigation holds for economic development.

In September 1991, the McKenzie County Commission requested that the State Water Commission conduct a study to determine the feasibility of creating new irrigation districts in McKenzie County. In November 1991, the State Water Commission entered into an agreement with the McKenzie County Water Resource Board to conduct a reconnaissance investigation of irrigation in McKenzie County. A copy of the agreement is included in Appendix A.

## II. DESCRIPTION OF THE STUDY AREA

## Location:

The study area consists of northern McKenzie County. McKenzie County covers an area of approximately 2,754 square miles in the northwestern part of North Dakota. The county is bordered on the west by Montana, on the north by the Missouri River, on the south by Golden Valley, Billings, and Dunn Counties, and on the east by Dunn County. The study area lies within the glaciated area of the Great Plains.

## Climate:

The climate of McKenzie County is semi-arid. The average annual precipitation is 15.9 inches at Watford City. The average annual precipitation in McKenzie County is among the lowest in the state. Figure 1 shows the normal annual precipitation. About 70 percent of the precipitation falls during the growing season, which lasts from May into September. It is not uncommon for one part of the county to receive an inch (or more) of rain during a thunderstorm while another part receives very little or none. Over a period of many years, precipitation in one area of the county is probably similar to that of a nearby area, but within any one growing season there can be appreciable differences. The summers are usually warm, temperatures average 70 degrees Fahrenheit or more in July and August. However, temperatures exceeding 90 degrees Fahrenheit are not uncommon. The county is very cold in the winter, with recorded temperatures of below - 40 degrees Fahrenheit.


## Cropping Patterns:

Small grains, primarily wheat, and hay are the principal dry land crops. Cattle, and to a lesser extent sheep, are other important sources of farm income. The principal crops currently being irrigated are sugar beets, small grains, hay, and corn.

## Water Source:

There are two possible sources of water for any irrigation project: ground water and surface water. Ground water was not considered as a water source for this study. Much of the ground water in the county is of marginal quality for irrigation, but the yield of the aquifers can exceed 500 gpm per well. A large number of wells would be required to supply an entire project, but ground water could possibly be a supplemental supply for a project.

The only reliable sources of surface water in the county are the Yellowstone River, the Missouri River and Lake Sakakawea. The main disadvantages of the Missouri River and Lake Sakakawea are the fluctuating levels and the meandering of the river channel which makes it difficult to locate pumping plants. Two types of pumping plants were considered: standard fixed plants and movable floating pumps. Some of the proposed areas do not have a suitable location for a fixed pumping plant. In these areas, only the floating pumps were considered.

## III. STUDY DESCRIPTION

## Soils and Topography:

The general soils map of the county was used to determine areas which have potential to be developed into irrigation districts. After a meeting in Watford City with interested parties, the decision was made to concentrate on the following areas shown in Figure 2: the Charlson area in the northeastern part of the county, the Tobacco Garden Creek area north of Watford City, the Charbonneau Creek - Timber Creek area along Highway 200, and the Watford City area south of Watford City.

Detailed soils maps of the selected areas were obtained from the Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS), formally the Soil Conservation Service, to determine the suitability of the soils for irrigation. The NRCS has divided the soils of the state into irrigation groups. These groups are divided into one of three classes based on the adaptability of the group to irrigation. The three classes are irrigable, conditional, and non-irrigable soils. Irrigable soils are those soils which have no restrictions to continued irrigation using the proper water application rates, amounts, timing, and water quality. Conditional soils are those soils which have restrictions due to water table, internal permeability, or salinity. The restrictions can be corrected with drainage or should be monitored and carefully managed. According to the North Dakota Irrigation Guide, these soils should be irrigated only as inclusions of less than 20 percent with irrigable soils. Non-irrigable soils have severe restrictions to irrigation and should only be irrigated as minor inclusions with other irrigable soils. Maps

showing the irrigation classes for each area are presented in the following sections.

The topography of the county was also considered in determining irrigation feasibility. The areas with rough topography were considered to be non-irrigable. The change in elevation from the water source to the irrigable lands is critical in determining the size of the pumping plants and conveyance works. The topography also limits potential intake sites and effects the layout of the conveyance works.

## Crops:

The choice of crops to be irrigated depends on several factors including the growing season, crop water use, value of the crops, and access to markets. Due to the relatively short growing season in McKenzie County, the choice of crops is limited. The crops which may have potential to be irrigated include: alfalfa, corn, small grains, sugar beets, sunflowers, potatoes, field beans, grass, and some specialty crops such as vegetables, safflower, or canola.

Irrigated crops should be chosen based on their response to additional water. Crop water use curves showing the depth in inches of effective rainfall and consumptive use for each month for alfalfa, corn, sugar beets, small grains, potatoes, and field beans are presented in Figures 3 and 4. Consumptive use, often called evapotranspiration, is the amount of water used by the plant and evaporated from adjacent soil or intercepted precipitation on plant foliage. Effective rainfall is the

## FIGURE 3 CROP WATER USE CURVES
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## FIGURE 4 CROP WATER USE CURVES
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[^0]portion of the total precipitation that is available to the crop in an average year. Alfalfa, corn, sugar beets, and potatoes use relatively large amounts of water over the entire growing season. Small grains use less water and their peak consumptive use is in June and July, the two wettest months. The water use of field beans is similar to small grains; however, beans require the water later in the season. Table 1 details the net irrigation requirements for these crops for the entire growing season and for the peak water use month.

| Crop | Net Irrigation (Inches) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Season | Monthl Peak | Month | Growing Season |
| Alfalfa | 20.58 | 6.21 | July | 5/10-10/8 |
| Corn | 16.46 | 7.03 | July | 5/18-9/16 |
| Sugar Beets | 17.23 | 7.17 | July | 5/20-10/1 |
| Small Grains | 11.94 | 6.13 | July | 5/1-8/8 |
| Potatoes | 14.74 | 6.43 | July | 6/2-9/15 |
| Field Beans | 11.68 | 5.59 | August | $6 / 2-9 / 15$ |
| Grass | 17.91 | 5.97 | July | 5/1-10/8 |

The availability of a market is a major factor in determining the crops to be irrigated. Due to the number of cattle in the area there is an existing market for corn, both in the form of grain and silage, and alfalfa. Opportunities exist to expand these markets either by increasing cattle numbers or by feeding calves rather than shipping them out of state. Currently, no market exists for potatoes in McKenzie County. It may be possible to develop a market for potatoes if sufficient land is irrigated.

Sugar beets are currently being grown in the Lower Yellowstone Irrigation District. However, because of the necessity of having a contract with a processing plant to market sugar beets, it does not appear that the total sugar beet acreage in the county will increase. Although sugar beet acreage from the Lower Yellowstone area or the Buford-Trenton area, in Williams County, may be transferred to newly developed areas, it was assumed that sugar beets will not be grown in the proposed project areas. Currently, some beans are being raised in the area, and it may be possible to expand the acreage. There may also be opportunities to develop markets for specialty crops such as vegetables, safflower, canola, etc.

Efforts should be made to develop markets for high value crops. However, large scale production of specialty crops will require processing facilities. Irrigation must be developed to assure the supply of a product before processing facilities can be constructed for crops such as potatoes and sugar beets. Some specialty crops may be grown for local consumption. However, the area devoted to such crops will not be large enough to affect either the design or the economic justification of a project. Therefore, based on the crop water use and potential markets for the products, it appears that the main crops grown under irrigation, at least initially, will be corn, alfalfa, and a smaller amount of field beans. While the production of other crops is encouraged, it was assumed that the crops grown on the irrigated area will be split equally between corn and alfalfa.

## Irrigation Systems:

Although there are many different systems available for irrigation, it was assumed that all of the systems would be center pivots. This assumption is not meant to restrict project development to solely center pivots. However, center pivots are currently the most popular method of irrigating and most of the new development will use center pivots. Although, smaller areas may be irrigated using other types of systems.

In addition to the irrigability of the soils, land ownership was also considered in determining the number, location, and size of the center pivots. Land ownership was determined using the 1975 Atlas of McKenzie County. The smallest tracts of land considered for irrigation were 40 acres.

Two sizes of center pivots were considered: a quarter section pivot and a 40-acre pivot. The quarter section pivot irrigates approximately 130 acres of the 160 acres in the quarter. The flow rate required for each quarter section pivot was assumed to be 800 gallons per minute (gpm). The 40 -acre pivot irrigates approximately 32 acres. The flow rate required for each 40 -acre pivot was assumed to be 200 gpm . The cost of a quarter section pivot was assumed to be $\$ 35,000$. The cost of the 40 -acre pivot was assumed to be $\$ 22,000$.

In some instances, a towable pivot may be appropriate for 40 -acre sites. A towable system reduces the equipment required and the cost to irrigate smaller fields; however, it will require more intense management. Eighty-acre fields were divided in half with a 40 -acre pivot on each half. It may be more efficient to use one large pivot making only a half circle in these cases; however, the two smaller pivots were used to make the cost estimates more consistent. Some of the quarter section pivots will not be able to irrigate the full 130 acres due to obstructions. The irrigated area will be reduced in some areas due to these incomplete circles or smaller pivots. For design purposes and costs, it is assumed that these reduced size pivots will irrigate 130 acres.

The locations and size of the pivots shown in this report are only proposed for the purpose of determining the feasibility of developing irrigation. It may be possible to develop additional areas, particularly on land that was classified as conditional for irrigation. Also, some landowners may not be interested in developing irrigation in the proposed locations. A number of proposed pivot locations may currently be irrigated presently. In these cases, the landowners may not be interested in participating in the development of an irrigation project. Further study will be required to more accurately determine the irrigability of the land, and each landowner will have to be contacted during the design phase to determine their wishes. It is proposed that each irrigator will be responsible for the irrigation system, the pipe from the edge of the field, and any other equipment necessary in individual fields. The estimated cost for these items are included in this report to aid in determining the feasibility of a project. However,
each irrigator will determine the specifics of their system.

## Design Flow:

The design flow was determined by assuming the irrigated crops will be evenly split between corn and alfalfa. Using information from the North Dakota Irrigation Guide for Climatic Area III for a normal year and assuming a carryover soil moisture of 2 inches and net irrigation depth of 1.5 inches per application, the average seasonal net irrigation would be 18.52 inches. During the peak month of July, a net application of 6.62 inches will be required. Assuming an application efficiency of 80 percent, the gross application for the growing season will be 23.2 inches, with 8.3 inches applied during the month of July. To apply the required amount of water, each pivot will have to run approximately 1700 hours during the irrigation season. During the peak month of July, each pivot will run approximately 610 hours, or 83 percent of the time. Therefore, to determine the design flow it was assumed that only 83 percent of the pivots will be running at any one time.

Most crops require much less water in May, June, September, and October than in July and August (Figures 3 and 4). There are two ways to reduce the water supplied: operate the pumps continuously at a lower flow rate or operate the pumps at the maximum flow for a shorter period of time. If the flow rate is reduced, the head loss in the pipes is also reduced. However, to take advantage of these reduced flow rates and head requirements separate low flow pumps are required. The extra pumps
increase the capital cost of the system, but reduce the pumping cost. If the flow rate is held constant but the pumping time is reduced, no additional pumps would be required. However, the system would have to be managed to coordinate irrigation and reduce wasted flows. Additional study will be required to determine the required flow rates, the savings possible by using low flow pumps, and the additional cost of the pumps. For this study, it was assumed the flow rate would be reduced but the head would remain constant during the months which require less irrigation water.

It may be possible to reduce the construction cost substantially by using ground water as a supplemental supply. By using ground water the size of the distribution system can be reduced. Depending on the distribution system and the possible location of wells, either the length of pipes needed or the diameter of the pipes could be reduced. The savings realized from reducing the size of the distribution system would be offset to some extent by the cost of the wells and pumps required to provide the ground water. The possibilities of using ground water as a supplemental supply should be evaluated during the feasibility phase.

## Water Supply Systems:

The water supply systems discussed in this report include all the necessary pumps, pipes, canals, dams, reservoirs, and related works required to deliver irrigation water to the edge of all the irrigated fields. The distribution system component of the water supply system consists of pipelines delivering water from a common point to each field.

Pipelines were sized using the North Dakota Pipeline Design Program developed by the NRCS and modified for this project by Terry Carlson. This program calculates pressure pipe friction loss and resulting pressures along a pipeline based on elevations. The general Hazen-Williams formula is used for pipe hydraulic computations. The pipe diameter is calculated based on maximum velocity and friction loss values allowed. For this study, the maximum velocity in any pipe is limited to 5 feet per second, while the friction loss is not constrained. The pipes and pumps were sized to deliver the required flow to the most distant pivot at a pressure of 20 pounds per square inch (psi) when Lake Sakakawea is at its minimum elevation. Although 20 psi may not be sufficient pressure to operate the sprinklers, in many cases the normal level of Lake Sakakawea will increase this pressure. Pipes less than 24 inches in diameter are assumed to be PVC pipe with a Hazen-Williams C value of 150. Pipes with diameters of 24 inches and larger are assumed to be ductile iron with a $C$ value of 100. The estimated installed costs per foot of pipe are shown in Table 2. These cost estimates are based on discussions in mid-1993 with the engineers for the Southwest Pipeline.

Table 2- Pipe Costs

| Pipe Diameter <br> Inches | Cost <br> per Foot |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | PVC Pipe |
| 6 |  |
| 8 | $\$ 4.00$ |
| 10 | 5.50 |
| 12 | 8.50 |
| 14 | 12.00 |
| 16 | 18.00 |
| 18 | 22.00 |
| 20 | 28.50 |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |


| Table 2-Pipe Costs (Cont.) |  |
| :---: | ---: |
| Pipe Diameter | Cost <br> Inches |
| Ductile Iron Pipe |  |
| 24 | $\$ 40.00$ |
| 30 | 48.00 |
| 36 | 60.00 |
| 42 | 68.00 |
| 48 | 75.00 |
| 60 | 100.00 |
| 72 | 130.00 |


.


1
$\qquad$

## IV. ECONOMICS

The benefits of an irrigation project are difficult to determine. The direct benefits to the irrigator must outweigh the costs of developing, operating, and maintaining the system if the irrigator is to pay for the system. However, the majority of the benefits of an irrigation project accrue to people other than the irrigators. In a study of the economic impact of the Buford-Trenton Irrigation District, the NDSU Department of Agricultural Economics estimated that the economic on-farm impact of a switch from irrigated to dry cropland agriculture in 1990 would have been a decline of $\$ 197$ per acre in returns to unpaid labor and management. It was estimated that the switch would have reduced non-farm personal income by approximately $\$ 449$ per acre. Therefore, the benefit of the irrigation in the Buford-Trenton district accrued approximately 30 percent to the irrigators and 70 percent to others. These figures were developed assuming sugar beets had been planted on 46.7 percent of the irrigated land. It may not be possible to develop additional sugar beet acreage. Therefore, these figures may not accurately indicate the effect of additional irrigation on the economy. However, additional irrigation would certainly benefit many people in addition to the irrigators.

The estimated crop budgets for southwest North Dakota, as published by the NDSU Extension Service, were used to compare the possible returns under irrigated and dry-land conditions. These budgets are intended to be used as a guide; therefore, these numbers are an indication of the potential gains under irrigation. The southwest
region was used because irrigated crop budgets for the northwest region were not available, however, the parameters affecting crop budgets in the southwest are similar in the northwest. The crop budget was developed by subtracting all direct cash costs, an 8 percent return to machinery investment, machinery depreciation, and an 8 percent return to land investment from the returns for an individual crop assuming constant production practices, yields, and input prices. The remaining amount is the return to unpaid labor and management. Table 3 shows returns for various crops grown under dry land conditions and farm program participation.

Table 3 - Per Acre Dry Land Crop Returns
To Unpaid Labor and Management

| Faxm Program | Non <br> Participation | $95 \%$ <br> of <br> Base | $80 \%$ <br> of <br> Base |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Crop |  |  |  |
| Spring Wheat (fallow) | $-\$ 45.45$ | $-\$ 25.78$ | $-\$ 22.09$ |
| Spring Wheat (recrop) | -39.97 | -13.30 | - |
| Durum (fallow) | -3.62 |  |  |
| Durum (recrop) | -36.54 | -16.87 | -13.18 |
| Feed Barley | -27.69 | - | 8.03 |
| Corn Grain | -29.65 | -20.55 | -18.34 |
| Flax | -49.51 | -35.63 | -33.02 |
| Oil Sunflowers | -43.74 |  |  |
| Drybeans | -31.30 |  |  |
| Millet | -22.12 |  |  |
| Buckwheat | -29.80 |  |  |
| Safflower | -3.51 |  |  |
| Winter Wheat | -10.47 |  |  |
| Rye | -17.09 | 2.58 |  |
|  |  |  |  |

Irrigated crop returns are shown in the upcoming sections dealing with each proposed project. The returns are calculated assuming five different repayment plans. All the plans have a 20 -year repayment schedule. The first three plans do not include
any cost-share, the irrigators would be responsible for the entire cost. A 10 percent interest rate is assumed for the first plan. The interest rate is based on the rate used by the Extension Service in developing the crop budgets. An interest rate of 5.5 percent is assumed for the second plan. The 5.5 percent interest is based on the current rates for 20-year bonds. Irrigation districts are eligible to use these bonds. However, the interest rate could change substantially by the time a project is ready for the bonds to be issued. The third plan is based on an assumed interest rate of 4 percent, with the State Water Commission providing the funds to lower the interest rate. Such a program is not currently available and would require authorization by the State Legislature. The fourth plan includes a 40 percent cost-share for the water supply system. The irrigators would be responsible for the equipment and pipe in each field and for the remaining 60 percent of the water supply system. A 10 percent interest rate is assumed for this plan. The fifth plan is identical to the fourth plan except for the interest rate. The interest rate for the fifth plan is assumed to be 5.5 percent based on 20-year bonds.

The pumping costs used in determining the returns are based on the estimated flow rates, size and number of pumps operating, and electricity cost information from McKenzie Electric Cooperative. These pumping costs are the only operation and maintenance costs included in this study. Additional O\&M costs will occur. However, it is difficult to determine these costs. If more than one area is developed it may be possible to share equipment and personnel, reducing the costs. The level of automation will also impact the operating personnel and the O\&M costs.

Further study will be needed to accurately determine the returns from irrigation. Any further study should include a composite economic model. This model would be based on the cost of developing irrigation, the possible crop rotations, the percentage of irrigated area on which each crop is grown, and the possible return from each crop. The result would be an estimate of the net returns per acre. Any additional study should also investigate the possibility of developing markets for specialty crops, particularly potatoes and sugar beets. The economic impact of potatoes and sugar beets can be substantial and may have a large effect on the feasibility of a project.

The State Water Commission often cost-shares on water development projects. Generally, on a large project the State Water Commission provides cost-share for each phase of the project. The phases may include feasibility, preliminary design, final design, and construction. The local sponsor requests funding for each phase. The Water Commission determines if a project will receive cost-share based on the feasibility of the project. Currently, the State Water Commission does not have any guidelines for cost-sharing on irrigation projects. Also the funds are not currently available for a project of this magnitude. An appropriation by the state legislature would be needed to provide the funds for either the cost-share or interest rate reduction. However, it certainly is in the interest of the state to assist in irrigation development as a means of economic development.

## V. CHARLSON AREA

## Description:

The Charlson area consists of 14 sections in Township 152 North, Range 96 West; Township 152 North, Range 95 West; and Township 153 North, Range 95 West. The irrigable land in this area is located on the uplands adjacent to Lake Sakakawea approximately 16 miles north and east of Watford City, Figure 5. Proposed pivot locations are shown in Figure 6. These proposed pivots are contingent upon more detailed land classification and landowner interest. A total of 2,446 acres could be irrigated if all the proposed pivots are developed.

Five water delivery systems were investigated. The intake for all five alternatives is located near the center of Section 33, Township 154 North, Range 95 West. The design flow at the intake for all the alternatives is $13,600 \mathrm{gpm}$. All of the alternatives will provide water to each pivot with sufficient pressure to operate the sprinklers.

## Alternative 1:

The pipeline distribution system for Alternative 1 is shown in Figure 7. The distribution system contains 79,030 feet of pipe, Appendix B. The intake and pumping plant will be located near the shore of Lake Sakakawea in the center of Section 33, Township 154 North, Range 95 West. The design flow at the beginning of the distribution system is $13,600 \mathrm{gpm}$, the design pressure head is 690 feet.

## FIGURE 5 <br> CHARLSON AREA LAND IRRIGATION CLASSIFICATION
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The estimated cost of this alternative is $\$ 6,424,000$. Distributed over the proposed irrigation area this results in a development cost of approximately $\$ 2,600$ per acre. The estimated annual pumping cost is $\$ 150,000$ or $\$ 61$ per acre.

## Alternatives 2, 3, and 4:

Alternatives 2, 3, and 4 are all similar to Alternative 1. The pumping plant for all three alternatives would be located in the center of Section 33, Township 154 North, Range 95 West. The distribution system for these alternatives are shown in Figures 8,9 and 10. The pumping plant for each of these alternatives would be similar to the pumping plant for Alternative 1, the design flow is identical. However, all three of these alternatives require the pumps to deliver more head than Alternative 1. The cost of the distribution system for all these alternatives is greater than Alternative 1. The cost of the distribution system and the total dynamic head (TDH) are summarized in Table 4. The cost of the pumping plants for Alternatives 2, 3, and 4 would be slightly higher than for Alternative 1 due to the increased head required.

|  | Table 4 <br> Distribution |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |
|  | System Costs and Head Required |  |
| Alternative | System Cost | TDH |
|  |  | Feet |
| 2 | $\$ 2,856,000$ |  |
| 3 | $2,970,000$ | 790 |
| 4 | $3,471,000$ | 770 |
|  | $3,622,000$ | 780 |
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The cost for Alternatives 2, 3, and 4 are greater than the cost of Alternative 1. Due to the increased head required the pumping cost will also be greater. Because these alternative would be more costly and provide no increased benefits compared to Alternative 1, they were eliminated from further consideration.

## Alternative 5:

The distribution system for Alternative 5 contains both pipelines and a canal, Figure 11. The intake and main pumping plant will be located near the shore of Lake Sakakawea in the center of Section 33, Township 154 North, Range 95 West. The distribution system splits in the northwest quarter of Section 15, Township 153 North, Range 95 West. A pipeline from this point supplies pivots in the northwest part of the area. The remaining water enters a canal which transports water to the northern edge of Section 35, Township 153 North, Range 95 West. A second pumping plant is located at this point which pressurizes the pipeline supplying the pivots in the southeast part of the area.

The total length of pipe required for this alternative is 71,770 feet, Appendix B. The canal has a triangular cross section, 2:1 (2 feet horizontal to 1 foot vertical) side slopes, and is 3 feet deep. The design flow for the canal is $10,000 \mathrm{gpm}$.

The estimated cost of this alternative is $\$ 6,122,000$. The estimated cost distributed over the proposed irrigation area results in a development cost of \$2,500 per acre. The estimated annual pumping cost is $\$ 172,000$ or $\$ 70$ per acre.

## FIGURE 11
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## Summary of Alternatives:

Five alternatives to develop irrigation in the Charlson area were investigated. Alternatives 2, 3, and 4 were eliminated from further consideration due to the cost of each of these alternatives. The estimated construction and pumping costs for Alternatives 1 and 5 are summarized in Table 5.

| Table 5 <br> Cost of Alternatives |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Construction Costs <br> Alternative <br> Total | Pumping Costs <br> Per Acre | Total | Per Acre |

Alternative 1 is the least costly alternative to operate. Alternatives 2,3 , and 4 are more costly both to construct and operate; therefore, they were eliminated. Alternative 5 is the least costly to construct. However, the operating costs for Alternative 5 are greater than the operating cost for Alternative 1.

Based on the above comparisons, Alternatives 1 and 5 were selected for economic analysis.

## Economics:

The estimated irrigated crop budget was used to determine the economic return for Alternatives 1 and 5 . The results of the budget for Alternative 1 are presented in Table 6. The results for Alternative 5 are shown in Table 7.

Based on the returns shown in Tables 6 and 7, it appears that this project is not feasible.

Table 6
Per Acre Returns to Unpaid Labor and Management Alternative 1

| Crop | Farm Program Participation | No Cost-Share Interest Rate |  |  | 40\% Cost-Share <br> Interest Rate <br> $10 \% \quad 5.5 \%$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 10\% | 5.5\% | 4\% |  |  |
| Corn Grain | Non | -\$325 | -\$236 | -\$210 | -\$218 | -\$160 |
| Corn Grain | 92.5\% of Base | - 275 | - 186 | - 159 | - 168 | - 110 |
| Corn Grain | 77.5\% of Base | - 265 | - 176 | - 150 | - 158 | - 100 |
| Corn Silage | Non | - 250 | - 162 | - 135 | - 143 | 85 |
| Corn Silage | 92.5\% of Base | - 200 | - 111 | - 85 | - 93 | 35 |
| Corn Silage | 77.5\% of Base | - 190 | - 101 | - 75 | - 83 | 25 |
| Alfalfa |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Establishment Year |  | - 360 | - 271 | - 244 | - 253 | - 195 |
| Alfalfa |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Established Stand |  | - 152 | - 64 | - 37 | - 45 | 13 |
| Drybeans |  | - 108 | - 20 | 7 | 1 | 57 |

Table 7
Per Acre Returns to Unpaid Labor and Management Alternative 5

|  | Farm Program |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ost-She } \\ & \text { rest } \end{aligned}$ | re Rate | 40\% Cos Interes | Share Rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Crop | Participation | 10\% | 5.5\% | 4\% | 10\% | 5.5\% |
| Corn Grain | Non | -\$318 | -\$234 | -\$209 | -\$217 | -\$162 |
| Corn Grain | 92.5\% of Base | - 268 | - 183 | - 158 | - 167 | - 111 |
| Corn Grain | 77.5\% of Base | - 258 | - 174 | - 148 | - 157 | - 102 |
| Corn Silage | Non | - 244 | - 159 | - 134 | - 143 | 87 |
| Corn Silage | 92.5\% of Base | - 193 | - 109 | - 83 | - 92 | - 37 |
| Corn Silage | 77.5\% of Base | - 183 | - 99 | -. 74 | - 82 | - 27 |
| Alfalfa |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Establishment YearAlfalfa |  | - 355 | - 270 | - 245 | - 254 | - 198 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Established Stand |  | - 147 | - 63 | - 38 | - 47 | 9 |
| Drybeans |  | - 99 | - 15 | 11 | 2 | 57 |

## VI. TOBACCO GARDEN AREA

## Description:

The Tobacco Garden area consists of 48 sections in Township 151 North, Range 98 West; Township 152 North, Range 97 West; Township 152 North, Range 98 West; and Township 153 North, Range 97 West. The irrigable land in this area extends approximately 15 miles north along Tobacco Garden Creek from a point 8 miles northeast of Watford City, Figure 12. Proposed pivot locations are shown in Figure 13. These proposed pivots are contingent upon more detailed land classification and landowner interest. A total of 1,646 acres could be irrigated if all the proposed pivots are developed. Alternatives 5, 6, and 7 considered only the northern six miles of the area. These alternatives would irrigate 1,052 acres.

Seven water delivery systems were investigated. The pumping system for all the alternatives consist of floating pumps on Lake Sakakawea and boosters pumps above the flood pool of the lake. All of the alternatives will provide water to each pivot with sufficient pressure to operate the sprinklers.

## Alternative 1:

The pipeline distribution system for Alternative 1 is shown in Figure 14. The distribution system contains 167,110 feet of pipe, Appendix B. The intake and pumping plant will be located on the edge of Lake Sakakawea near the submerged river channel in the $\mathrm{NW}^{11 / 4}$ of Section 24, Township 153 North, Range 98 West. The design flow at the intake is $9,800 \mathrm{gpm}$, the design pressure head is 480 feet.
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## Alternative 4:

Alternative 4 is shown in Figure 17. The distribution system contains 158,145 feet of pipe, Appendix B. The intake and pumping plant will be located near the shore of Lake Sakakawea in the NW $1 / 4$ of Section 36, Township 154 North, Range 97 West. This intake location is not near the submerged river channel, when the reservoir is low there may be problems pumping from this location. The design flow at the intake is $9,800 \mathrm{gpm}$, the design pressure head is 550 feet.

The cost estimate for Alternative 4 is $\$ 8,170,000$, or $\$ 4960$ per acre. This estimated cost is higher than the estimated cost for Alternative 1. Due to the increased head required the pumping cost for Alternative 4 will also be greater than the pumping çost for Alternative 1. Compared to Alternative 1, Alternative 4 will be more costly to construct and operate, will not provide any additional benefits, and has a less desirable intake location. Therefore, Alternative 4 was not considered further.

## Alternative 5:

Alternative 5 provides water to the northern part of the area, the remaining ' pivots would not be served under this alternative. This reduces the irrigated area to 1,052 acres. The pivots served and the proposed pipeline are shown in Figure 18.

The distribution system contains 86,590 feet of pipe, Appendix B. The intake and pumping plant will be located near the shore of Lake Sakakawea in the NW1/4 of



The estimated cost of Alternative 7 is $\$ 4,200,000$ or $\$ 4,000$ per acre. Because this cost and the design head are greater than for Alternative 5, this alternative was not investigated further.

## Summary of Alternatives:

Seven alternatives to develop irrigation in the Tobacco Garden area were investigated. The construction cost, irrigated area, and total dynamic head (TDH) are summarized in Table 9.

| Table 9 <br> Cost of Alternatives |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alternative | Construction Costs | Irrigated |  |  |
|  |  | Rer Acre | Area | TDH |
| 1 | $\$ 6,940,000$ | $\$ 4,220$ | 1,646 | 480 |
| 2 | $7,180,000$ | 4,360 | 1,646 | 523 |
| 3 | $7,610,000$ | 4,630 | 1,646 | 566 |
| 4 | $8,170,000$ | 4,960 | 1,646 | 553 |
| 5 | $3,730,000$ | 3,540 | 1,052 | 407 |
| 6 | $3,860,000$ | 3,670 | 1,052 | 429 |
| 7 | $4,200,000$ | 4,000 | 1,052 | 417 |

Alternatives $2,3,4,6$, and 7 are more costly both to construct and operate; therefore, they were eliminated. The estimated construction and pumping costs for Alternatives 1 and 5 are summarized in Table 10.

Table 10
Comparison Alternatives 1 and 5

|  | Construction Costs |  | Pumping Costs |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alternative | Total | Per Acre | Total | Per Acre |  |
| 1 |  | $\$ 6,940,000$ | $\$ 4,220$ | $\$ 110,000$ | $\$ 67$ |
| 5 | $3,730,000$ | 3,540 | 67,100 | 64 |  |

## VII. CHARBONNEAU/TIMBER CREEK AREA

## Description:

The Charbonneau/Timber Creek area consists of 57 sections in Township 151 North, Range 100 West; Township 151 North, Range 101 West; Township 151 North, Range 102 West; Township 151 North, Range 103 West; Township 151 North, Range 104 West; Township 152 North, Range 100 West; and Township 152 North, Range 101 West. The study area is along Charbonneau Creek and Timber Creek. The land irrigation classification and study area are shown in Figure 21. Proposed pivot locations are shown in Figure 22. These proposed pivots are contingent upon more detailed land classification and landowner interest. A total of 3,151 acres could be irrigated if all the proposed pivots are developed. Eight water delivery systems were investigated. Most of the alternatives consider only a portion of the total area.


#### Abstract

Alternative 1:

The pipeline distribution system for Alternative 1 is shown in Figure 23. The distribution system contains 219,180 feet of pipe, Appendix B. The intake and pumping plant will be located on the Yellowstone River near the abandoned railroad bridge in the NW $1 / 4$ of Section 35, Township 151 North, Range 104 West. The design flow at the intake is $18,200 \mathrm{gpm}$, the design pressure head is 740 feet.


The estimated cost of the pipeline plus the unlisted items, contingencies, and engineering for this alternative is $\$ 13,810,000$. Distributed over the proposed

FIGURE 18
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Section 24, Township 153 North, Range 98 West near the submerged river channel. The design flow at the intake is $6,600 \mathrm{gpm}$, the design pressure head is 410 feet.

The cost estimate for Alternative 5 is $\$ 3,730,000$. Distributed over the proposed irrigation area this results in a development cost of approximately $\$ 3,540$ per acre. The estimated annual pumping cost is $\$ 67,100$ or $\$ 64$ per acre.


#### Abstract

Alternative 6: Alternative 6 is similar to Alternative 5. The pumping plant would be at the same location, and the same pivots would be served. The distribution system shown in Figure 19 will contain 71,850 feet of pipe, Appendix B. The design flow at the intake is $6,600 \mathrm{gpm}$, the design pressure head is 430 feet.


The estimated cost of Alternative 6 is $\$ 3,860,000$ or $\$ 3,670$ per acre. Because this cost and the design head are greater than for Alternative 5, this alternative was not pursued.

## Alternative 7:

Alternative 7 irrigates the same area as Alternatives 5 and 6. The intake and pumping plant is located in the NW $1 / 4$ of Section 36, Township 154 North, Range 97 West. The distribution system, Figure 20, contains 78,285 feet of pipe, Appendix B. The design flow is $6,600 \mathrm{gpm}$, the design pressure head is 420 feet.



The estimated cost of Alternative 7 is $\$ 4,200,000$ or $\$ 4,000$ per acre. Because this cost and the design head are greater than for Alternative 5, this alternative was not investigated further.

## Summary of Alternatives:

Seven alternatives to develop irrigation in the Tobacco Garden area were investigated. The construction cost, irrigated area, and total dynamic head (TDH) are summarized in Table 9.

Table 9
Cost of Alternatives

|  | Construction Costs <br> Alternative <br> Total |  | Irrigated <br> Per Area | TDH |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\$ 6,9.40,000$ | $\$ 4,220$ | 1,646 |
| 1 | $7,180,000$ | 4,360 | 1,646 | 523 |
| 2 | $7,610,000$ | 4,630 | 1,646 | 566 |
| 3 | $8,170,000$ | 4,960 | 1,646 | 553 |
| 4 | $3,730,000$ | 3,540 | 1,052 | 407 |
| 5 | $3,860,000$ | 3,670 | 1,052 | 429 |
| 6 | $4,200,000$ | 4,000 | 1,052 | 417 |
| 7 |  |  |  |  |

Alternatives $2,3,4,6$, and 7 are more costly both to construct and operate; therefore, they were eliminated. The estimated construction and pumping costs for Alternatives 1 and 5 are summarized in Table 10.

Table 10
Comparison Alternatives 1 and 5

|  | Construction Costs |  | Pumping Costs |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alternative | Total | Per Acre | Total Per Acre |  |

Alternative 5 is the least costly to construct and operate. However, Alternative 1 would irrigate more area. Based on the above comparisons, Alternative 5 was selected for economic analysis.

## Economics:

The estimated irrigated crop budget was used to determine the economic return for Alternative 5. The results of the budget are presented in Table 11.

Based on the returns shown in Tables 11, it appears that this project is not feasible.

Table 11
Per Acre Returns to Unpaid Labor and Management Alternative 5

| Crop | Farm Program Participation | No Cost-Share Interest Rate |  |  | 40\% Cost-Share <br> Interest Rate <br> $10 \% \quad 5.5 \%$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 10\% | 5.5\% | 4\% |  |  |
| Corn Grain | Non | -\$435 | -\$316 | -\$280 | -\$291 | -\$213 |
| Corn Grain | 92.5\% of Base | - 385 | - 265 | - 229 | - 241 | - 163 |
| Corn Grain | 77.5\% of Base | - 375 | - 255 | - 219 | - 231 | - 153 |
| Corn Silage | Non | - 361 | - 241 | - 205 | - 217 | - 138 |
| Corn Silage | 92.5\% of Base | - 310 | - 191 | - 155 | - 166 | - 88 |
| Corn Silage | 77.5\% of Base | - 301 | - 181 | - 145 | - 156 | - 78 |
| Alfalfa |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Establishment Year |  | - 470 | - 351 | - 315 | - 326 | - 248 |
| Alfalfa |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Established Stand |  | - 263 | - 143 | - 108 | - 119 | - 41 |
| Drybeans |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | - 218 | - 98 | - 62 | - 74 | 4 |

## VII. CHARBONNEAU/TIMBER CREEK AREA

## Description:

The Charbonneau/Timber Creek area consists of 57 sections in Township 151 North, Range 100 West; Township 151 North, Range 101 West; Township 151 North, Range 102 West; Township 151 North, Range 103 West; Township 151 North, Range 104 West; Township 152 North, Range 100 West; and Township 152 North, Range 101 West. The study area is along Charbonneau Creek and Timber Creek. The land irrigation classification and study area are shown in Figure 21. Proposed pivot locations are shown in Figure 22. These proposed pivots are contingent upon more detailed land classification and landowner interest. A total of 3,151 acres could be irrigated if all the proposed pivots are developed. Eight water delivery systems were investigated. Most of the alternatives consider only a portion of the total area.


#### Abstract

Alternative 1: The pipeline distribution system for Alternative 1 is shown in Figure 23. The distribution system contains 219,180 feet of pipe, Appendix B. The intake and pumping plant will be located on the Yellowstone River near the abandoned railroad bridge in the NW $1 / 4$ of Section 35 , Township 151 North, Range 104 West. The design flow at the intake is $18,200 \mathrm{gpm}$, the design pressure head is 740 feet.


The estimated cost of the pipeline plus the unlisted items, contingencies, and engineering for this alternative is $\$ 13,810,000$. Distributed over the proposed
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irrigation area this results in a cost of approximately $\$ 4,380$ per acre for the pipeline. The cost of the pumping plant and pivots are not included in this amount. The cost of the pumping plant and pivots were not calculated because the cost of $\$ 4,380$ per acre is not economically feasible.


#### Abstract

Alternative 2: The irrigable area in Sections 29 and 30, Township 151 North, Range 100 West is not served by Alternative 2. The irrigated area is reduced to 2,959 acres. The pipeline distribution system for Alternative 2 and the pivots served are shown in Figure 24. The distribution system contains 189,480 feet of pipe, Appendix B. The intake and pumping plant will be located on the Yellowstone River near the abandoned railroad bridge in the northwest quarter of Section 35, Township 151 North, Range 104 West. The design flow at the intake is $17,200 \mathrm{gpm}$, the design pressure head is 760 feet.


The estimated cost of the pipeline plus the unlisted items, contingencies, and engineering for this alternative is $\$ 12,720,000$. Distributed over the proposed irrigation area this results in a cost of approximately $\$ 4,300$ per acre for the pipeline. The cost of the pumping plant and pivots are not included in this amount. The cost of the pumping plant and pivots were not calculated because the cost of $\$ 4,300$ per acre is not economically feasible.
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#### Abstract

Alternative 3: Alternative 3 provides water to 2,219 acres. The pipeline distribution system and the pivots served by Alternative 3 are shown in Figure 25. The distribution system contains 139,320 feet of pipe, Appendix B. The intake and pumping plant will be located on the Yellowstone River near the abandoned railroad bridge in the NW $1 / 4$ of Section 35, Township 151 North, Range 104 West. The design flow at the intake is $12,800 \mathrm{gpm}$, the design pressure head is 500 feet.


The estimated cost of the pipeline plus the unlisted items, contingencies, and engineering for this alternative is $\$ 9,060,000$. Distributed over the proposed irrigation area this results in a cost of approximately $\$ 4,080$ per acre for the pipeline. The cost of the pumping plant and pivots are not included in this amount. The cost of the pumping plant and pivots were not calculated because the cost of $\$ 4,080$ per acre is not economically feasible.

## Alternative 4:

Alternative 4 provides water to 548 acres. The pivots used and the pipeline distribution system for Alternative 4 are shown in Figure 26. The distribution system contains 54,580 feet of pipe, Appendix B. The intake and pumping plant will be located on the Yellowstone River near the abandoned railroad bridge in the NW $1 / 4$ of Section 35, Township 151 North, Range 104 West. The design flow at the intake is $3,200 \mathrm{gpm}$, the design pressure head is 470 feet.
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The total estimated cost for Alternative 4 is $\$ 1,950,000$, Appendix C. This estimate does include the pumping plant and pivots. Distributed over the proposed irrigation area this results in a development cost of approximately $\$ 3,560$ per acre. The estimated annual pumping cost is $\$ 41,300$ or $\$ 75$ per acre.

## Alternative 5:

Alternative 5 irrigates only 617 acres in Sections 27 and 28, Township 152 North, Range 100 West. The pipeline distribution system for Alternative 5 and the pivots served are shown in Figure 27. The distribution system contains 13,280 feet of pipe, Appendix B. The intake and pumping plant will be located on the edge of Lake Sakakawea on the Section line between Sections 15 and 16, Township 152 North, Range 100 West. The design flow at the intake is $4,400 \mathrm{gpm}$, the design pressure head is 430 feet.

The total estimated cost for Alternative 5 is $\$ 1,240,000$, Appendix C. This estimate includes cost of the pumping plant and pivots. Distributed over the proposed irrigation area this results in a development cost of approximately $\$ 2,000$ per acre. The estimated annual pumping cost is $\$ 45,600$ or $\$ 74$ per acre.

## Alternative 6:

Alternative 6 irrigates 2,411 acres. The pipeline distribution system for Alternative 6 and the pivots served are shown in Figure 28. The distribution system
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contains 120,460 feet of pipe, Appendix B. The intake and pumping plant will be located on the edge of Lake Sakakawea on the Section line between Sections 15 and 16, Township 152 North, Range 100 West. The design flow at the intake is 14,200 gpm, the design pressure head is 550 feet.

The total estimated cost for Alternative 6 is $\$ 8,650,000$, Appendix C. This estimate includes cost of the pumping plant and pivots. Distributed over the proposed irrigation area this results in a development cost of approximately $\$ 3,590$ per acre. The estimated annual pumping cost is $\$ 153,500$ or $\$ 64$ per acre.


#### Abstract

Alternative 7: Alternative 7 consists of a canal following the abandoned Burlington Northern Railroad from the Yellowstone River in Section 35, Township 151 North, Range 104 West to Watford City. Figure 29 shows a profile of the railroad. The data for this profile was taken from USGS 7.5 minute quadrangle maps. There is 380 feet increase in elevation from near Cartwright to a point between Rawson and Arnegard. It would not be practical to build a canal along this route.


## Alternative 8:

Alternative 8 irrigates 2,480 acres. The distribution system for Alternative 8 and the pivots served are shown in Figure 30. The distribution system contains 102,060 feet of pipe, Appendix B. Two canals are used in this alternative. The first
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canal will be approximately 19,800 feet long. The estimated length of the second canal is 52,550 feet. Both canals will have a trapezoidal cross-section with a bottom width of 8 feet and $2: 1$ side slopes. The estimated volume of excavation for the canals is 173,500 cubic yards. The second canal will require 13 drop structures 6 feet high. The canals will also require 9 road crossings.

The intake and pumping plant will be located on the edge of Lake Sakakawea on the section line between Sections 15 and 16, Township 152 North, Range 100 West. The design flow at the intake is $14,200 \mathrm{gpm}$, the design pressure head is 520 feet. A relift pumping plant will be required at the end of the first canal in Section 13, Township 151 North, Range 100 West. The design flow for the canal relift pumps is $10,600 \mathrm{gpm}$, the design pressure head is 106 feet. A second relift pumping plant will be needed at the corner of Sections 1, 6, 7, and 12, Township 151 North, and Ranges 101 and 102 West. Two sets of pumps will be required at this site. One set will supply $3,800 \mathrm{gpm}$ at 140 feet of head to the pivots south of this point. The other set will supply $1,800 \mathrm{gpm}$ at 360 feet of head to the pivots to the north.

The total estimated cost for Alternative 8 is $\$ 7,900,000$, Appendix C. This estimate includes cost of the pumping plant and pivots. Distributed over the proposed irrigation area this results in a development cost of approximately $\$ 3,780$ per acre. The estimated annual pumping cost is $\$ 224,400$ or $\$ 90$ per acre.

## Summary of Alternatives:

Eight alternatives to develop irrigation in the Charbonneau/Timber Creek area were investigated. Alternative 7 was eliminated without calculating the construction cost due to the topography of the proposed canal route. The construction cost, irrigated area, and total dynamic head (TDH) for Alternatives 1 through 6 and 8, are summarized in Table 12.

| Table 12 <br> Cof Alternatives |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alternative | Construction Costs <br> Total | Irrigated <br> Per Acre |  |  |
|  | $\$ 13,810,000^{*}$ | $\$ 4,380 *$ | 3,151 | 740 |
| 1 | $\$ 12,720,000^{*}$ | $4,300^{*}$ | 2,959 | 760 |
| 2 | $9,060,000^{*}$ | $4,080^{*}$ | 2,219 | 500 |
| 3 | $1,950,000$ | 3,560 | 548 | 470 |
| 4 | $1,240,000$ | 2,000 | 617 | 430 |
| 5 | $8,650,000$ | 3,590 | 2,411 | 550 |
| 6 | $7,900,000$ | 3,180 | 2,480 | 520 |
| 8 |  |  |  |  |

Estimated cost of pumping plant and pivots not included.

The cost of the pipeline alone for Alternatives 1,2 , and 3 is over $\$ 4,000$ per acre; therefore, they were eliminated. The estimated construction and pumping costs for Alternatives 4, 5, 6, and 8, are summarized in Table 13.

Table 13
Alternatives 4 and 5

| Alternative | Construction Costs |  | Pumping Costs |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Per Acre | Total | Per Acre |
| 4 | \$1,950,000 | \$3,560 | \$ 41,300 | \$75 |
| 5 | 1,240,000 | 2,000 | 45,600 | 74 |
| 6 | 8,650,000 | 3,590 | 153,500 | 64 |
| 8 | 7,900,000 | 3,180 | 224,400 | 90 |

Each of these alternatives irrigate different areas. Therefore, a economic analysis was done for Alternative $4,5,6$, and 8 .

## Economics:

The estimated irrigated crop budgets were used to determine the economic return for Alternatives 4, 5, 6, and 8. The results of the budgets are presented in Tables 14, 15, 16, and 17.

Table 14
Per Acre Returns to Unpaid Labor and Management Alternative 4

| Crop | Farm Program Participation | No Cost-Share Interest Rate |  |  | $\begin{array}{cc} 40 \% \text { Cost-Share } \\ \text { Interest Rate } \\ 10 \% & 5.5 \% \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Corn Grain | Non | -\$448 | -\$327 | -\$291 | -\$303 | -\$225 |
| Corn Grain | 92.5\% of Base | - 397 | - 277 | - 241 | - 253 | - 174 |
| Corn Grain | 77.5\% of Base | - 388 | - 267 | - 231 | - 243 | - 164 |
| Corn Silage | Non | - 373 | - 253 | - 217 | - 229 | - 150 |
| Corn Silage | 92.5\% of Base | - 323 | - 202 | - 166 | - 178 | - 99 |
| Corn Silage | 77.5\% of Base | - 313 | - 193 | - 157 | - 168 | 90 |
| Alfalfa |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Establishment YearAlfalfa |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Established Stand - 278 - 158 - 122 - $134-55$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Drybeans |  | - 227 | - 107 | - 71 | - 83 | - 4 |

Table 15

## Per Acre Returns to Unpaid Labor and Management Alternative 5

| Crop | Farm Program Participation | No Cost-Share Interest Rate |  |  | 40\% Cost-Share <br> Interest Rate |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 10\% | 5.5\% | 4\% | 10\% | 5.5\% |
| Corn Grain | Non | -\$263 | -\$196 | -\$175 | -\$186 | -\$140 |
| Corn Grain | 92.5\% of Base | - 213 | - 145 | - 125 | - 135 | 90 |
| Corn Grain | 77.5\% of Base | - 203 | - 135 | - 115 | - 126 | 80 |
| Corn Silage | Non | - 189 | - 121 | - 101 | - 111 | 66 |
| Corn Silage | 92.5\% of Base | - 138 | 70 | - 50 | 61 | - 15 |
| Corn Silage | $77.5 \%$ of Base | - 128 | - 61 | - 40 | - 51 | - 6 |
| Alfalfa |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Establishment Year |  | - 301 | - 233 | - 213 | - 223 | - 178 |
| Alfalfa |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Established Stand |  | - 93 | - 26 | - 5 | - 16 | 30 |
| Drybeans |  | - 43 | 24 | 45 | 34 | 80 |

Table 16
Per Acre Returns to Unpaid Labor and Management
Alternative 6

| Crop | Farm Program Participation | No Int 10\% | st-Sh rest 5.5\% | re Rate $4 \%$ | 40\% Cost Interest $10 \%$ | -Share Rate 5.5\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Corn Grain | Non | -\$440 | -\$319 | -\$283 | -\$291 | -\$213 |
| Corn Grain | 92.5\% of Base | - 390 | - 269 | - 232 | - 241 | - 162 |
| Corn Grain | 77.5\% of Base | - 380 | - 259 | - 223 | - 231 | - 153 |
| Corn Silage | Non | - 366 | - 244 | - 208 | - 217 | - 138 |
| Corn Silage | 92.5\% of Base | - 315 | - 194 | - 158 | - 166 | - 88 |
| Corn Silage | 77.5\% of Base | - 305 | - 184 | - 148 | - 156 | 78 |
| Alfalfa |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Establishment Year Alfalfa |  | - 475 | - 354 | - 318 | - 326 | - 248 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Established Stand |  | - 268 | - 147 | - 110 | - 119 | - 41 |
| Drybeans |  | - 223 | - 102 | - 65 | - 74 | 5 |

Table 17
Per Acre Returns to Unpaid Labor and Management Alternative 8

| Crop | Farm Program Participation | No Cost-Share Interest Rate |  |  | 40\% Cost Interest $10 \%$ | Share <br> Rate 5.5\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Corn Grain | Non | -\$417 | -\$309 | -\$277 | -\$288 | -\$217 |
| Corn Grain | 92.5\% of Base | - 366 | - 259 | - 227 | - 237 | - 167 |
| Corn Grain | 77.5\% of Base | - 357 | - 249 | - 217 | - 228 | - 157 |
| Corn Silage | Non | - 342 | - 235 | - 202 | - 213 | - 143 |
| Corn Silage | 92.5\% of Base | - 292 | - 184 | - 152 | - 163 | - 92 |
| Corn Silage | 77.5\% of Base | - 282 | - 174 | - 142 | - 153 | - 82 |
| Alfalfa |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Establishment Year |  | - 458 | - 350 | - 318 | - 329 | - 258 |
| Alfalfa |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Established Stand |  | - 251 | - 143 | - 111 | - 121 | - 51 |
| Drybeans |  | - 192 | - 85 | - 53 | - 63 | 7 |

Based on the returns shown in Tables 14, 15, 16, and 17, it appears that Alternatives 4, 6, and 8 are not feasible. Alternative 5 may be feasible if cost-share and low interest rates are available.

## VIII. WATFORD CITY AREA

## Description:

The Watford City area consists of 53 sections in Township 150 North, Ranges 98 through 101 West. The study area extends from Alexander to a point 3 miles south and 4 miles east of Watford City. The land irrigation classification and study area are shown in Figures 31 through 35. Proposed pivot locations are shown in Figure 36. These proposed pivots are contingent upon more detailed land classification and landowner interest. Three water delivery systems were investigated. All three alternatives extend from either the Tobacco Garden area or the Charbonneau/Timber Creek area.

## Alternative 1:

Alternative 1 irrigates 7,215 acres. The pipeline distribution system and the pivots served are shown in Figure 37. The distribution system contains 491,320 feet of pipe, Appendix B. The intake and pumping plant will be located in the northwest quarter of Section 24, Township 153 North, Range 98 West. The design flow at the intake is $42,400 \mathrm{gpm}$, the design pressure head is 970 feet.

The estimated cost of the pipeline plus the unlisted items, contingencies, and engineering for this alternative is $\$ 29,850,000$. Distributed over the proposed irrigation area this results in a cost of approximately $\$ 4,140$ per acre for the pipeline. The cost of the pumping plant and pivots are not included in this amount. The cost of
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the pumping plant and pivots were not calculated because the cost of \$4,140 per acre is not economically feasible.


#### Abstract

Alternative 2: Alternative 2 provides water to 7,916 acres. The pipeline distribution system and the pivots served by Alternative 2 are shown in Figure 38. The distribution system contains 433,960 feet of pipe, Appendix B. The intake and pumping plant will be located on the edge of Lake Sakakawea on the Section line between Sections 15 and 16, Township 152 North, Range 100 West. The design flow at the intake is 46,800 gpm, the design pressure head is 960 feet.


The estimated cost of the pipeline plus the unlisted items, contingencies, and engineering for this alternative is $\$ 31,180,000$. Distributed over the proposed irrigation area this results in a cost of approximately $\$ 3,940$ per acre for the pipeline. The cost of the pumping plant and pivots are not included in this amount. The cost of the pumping plant and pivots were not calculated because the cost of $\$ 3,940$ per acre is not economically feasible.

## Alternative 3:

Alternative 3 irrigates 7,985 acres. The distribution system for Alternative 3 and the pivots served are shown in Figure 39. The distribution system contains 402,000 feet of pipe, Appendix B. Two canals are used in this alternative. The first

FIGURE 38
WATFORD CITY AREA
ALTERNATIVE 2


FIGURE 39
WATFORD CITY AREA
ALTERNATIVE 3

canal will be approximately 19,800 feet long. The estimated length of the second canal is 52,550 feet. Both canals will have a trapezoidal cross-section with a bottom width of 8 feet and $2: 1$ side slopes. The estimated volume of excavation for the canals is 246,500 cubic yards. The canals will require 14 drop structures 6 feet high, 1 drop structure 4 feet high, and 1 drop structure 3 feet high. The canals will also require 9 road crossings.

The intake and pumping plant will be located on the edge of Lake Sakakawea on the section line between Sections 15 and 16, Township 152 North, Range 100 West. The design flow at the intake is $46,800 \mathrm{gpm}$, the design pressure head is approximately 500 feet. A relift pumping plant will be required at the end of the first canal in Section 13, Township 151 North, Range 100 West. The design flow for the canal relift pumps is $43,200 \mathrm{gpm}$, the design pressure head is 107 feet. A second relift pumping plant will be needed on the section line between Sections 20 and 21, Township 151 North, Range 100 West. These pumps will supply the pivots south of this point. The design flow is $32,600 \mathrm{gpm}$, the design head is 315 feet. A third relift pumping plant will be needed at the corner of Sections 1, 6, 7, and 12, Township 151 North, Ranges 101 and 102 West. Two sets of pumps will be required at this site. One set will supply $3,800 \mathrm{gpm}$ at 140 feet of head to the pivots south of this point. The other set will supply 1,800 gpm at 360 feet of head to the pivots to the north.

The total estimated cost for Alternative 3 is $\$ 31,120,000$, Appendix C. This estimate includes the cost of the pumping plants and pivots. Distributed over the proposed irrigation area this results in a development cost of approximately $\$ 3,900$ per acre.

## Summary of Alternatives:

Three alternatives to develop irrigation in the Watford City area were investigated. The construction cost, irrigated area, and total dynamic head (TDH) for the alternatives are summarized in Table 18. Due to the estimated cost none of the alternatives are feasible.


## IX. LEGAL REQUIREMENTS

## Land Acquisition and Easements:

The pumping plant sites should be acquired in fee simple. However, many of the sites are located on property owned by the Corps of Engineers which they acquired for Lake Sakakawea. An easement from the Corps will be required to locate any facilities on their property.

Perpetual easements will be needed for the pipelines and canals used in the distribution systems. In addition, temporary construction easements will have to be purchased for construction. The majority of the proposed distribution systems are pipelines which would be located adjacent to existing roads, and on section lines and quarter lines. There will be a minimal disturbance of the land, most of which will occur during construction. In many cases the landowners from whom easements are needed will be irrigating from the project. If pipelines are constructed in highway right-of-ways, a permit from the North Dakota Department of Transportation will be needed.

## Permits Required:

A water use permit is required for water appropriated from the Missouri River. This permit is issued by the North Dakota State Engineer. Currently, there is an ample supply of unappropriated water in the Missouri River.

The construction of the intakes and pumping plants will require excavation or placement of fill in a wetland. Therefore, a Section 404 permit must be obtained from the Corps of Engineers to meet the requirements of the Clean Water Act. In addition, construction will take place below the ordinary high watermark of the Missouri River, which is sovereign land. Consequently, a sovereign land permit, issued by the North Dakota State Engineer, will be required. A construction permit from the State Engineer will also be needed.

## Irrigation Districts:

In areas where there is interest in proceeding with a project an irrigation district could be formed. The district could serve as the local sponsor for the project. To establish an irrigation district a petition describing the proposed district must be signed by landowners who own a majority of land within the proposed district and filed with the State Engineer. A feasibility report on the proposed plan of irrigation must be included with the petition. The feasibility report must include preliminary designs of all the proposed conveyance systems and other works, in sufficient detail to show the proposed method of construction. The feasibility report must also include an analysis of the soil and water compatibility of the irrigable land. This reconnaissance report will meet these requirements. The memorandum in Appendix E outlines in more detail the requirements for establishing an irrigation district.

## X. FURTHER STUDY REQUIRED

Any project that is to be constructed will require further study. The next step in the process will be a feasibility study. This study should include:

- A soil survey of the land being proposed for irrigation, with emphasis on the conditional soils. The information from the soils survey would be critical in making the final determination of the land to be irrigated.
- Discussion with the landowners to establish the fields to be irrigated and the type of system to be used on each field.
- Preliminary detailed designs of the necessary pumping plants, reservoirs, conveyance systems and all other facilities of the project.
- Cost estimates and economic analysis of the project, including a composite economic model.
- Discussion of the environmental, economic, and social impacts of the project.
- Identification and location of existing pipelines, utilities, wildlife areas, archeological sites, and federally and state owned land. The impacts on these areas and procedures needed to accommodate them will be discussed.


## XI. SUMMARY

The possibility of developing irrigation in four separate areas in McKenzie County was investigated. Table 19 summarizes the areas, the cost of construction, and the pumping cost for the selected alternative in each of these areas.

Table 19
Summary of Proposed Irrigation Areas

| Area | $\begin{gathered} \text { Irrigated } \\ \text { Area } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Construction Costs Total Per Acre |  | Pumping Costs Total Per Acre |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Charlson | 2,446 | \$6,420,000 | \$2,600 | \$150,000 | \$61 |
| Tobacco Garden | 1,052 | 3,730,000 | 3,540 | 67,100 | 64 |
| Charbonneau/ Timber Creek |  |  |  |  |  |
| Alt. 5 | 617 | 1,240,000 | 2,000 | 45,600 | 74 |
| Alt. 8 | 2,480 | 7,900,000 | 3,180 | 224,400 | 90 |
| Watford City | 7,985 | 31,120,000 | 3,900 |  |  |

Unfortunately, the irrigable land in McKenzie County is not arranged to allow a project to serve the land at a reasonable cost. The irrigable land is distant from the river and is in small scattered parcels rather than a large contiguous area. A distribution system to serve these small parcels is very expensive. Also, the elevation of the land in the study area is relatively high. Lifting water from either the Yellowstone or the Missouri River is expensive, estimated pumping costs exceed $\$ 60$ per acre for all the alternatives considered. Because of the high costs it appears infeasible to develop a large scale irrigation project to serve several landowners in McKenzie County. This does not mean that it would not be possible for individuals to develop irrigation in the county.

## XII. RECOMMIENDATIONS

Based on the estimated cost of developing irrigation, $\$ 2,000$ per acre is the lowest estimate, and the estimated pumping costs which exceed $\$ 60$ per acre per year, it does not appear that development of any of the projects investigated in this study are feasible. Therefore, it is recommended that no further study of the areas investigated in this report be done.

APPENDIXA

Agreement

SWC Project \#1857 November 4, 1991

AGREEMENT<br>Reconnaissance Investigation<br>of Irrigation in<br>McKenzie County

## I. PARTIES

THIS AGREEMENT is between the North Dakota State Water Commission, hereinafter Commission, through its Secretary, David A. Sprynczynatyk; and the McKenzie County Water Resource District, hereinafter District, through its Chairman, John Anderson.
II. PROJECT, LOCATION, AND PURPOSE

The District has requested the Commission to investigate the feasibility of developing irrigation within the county. Emphasis will be placed on areas in proximity to the Missouri and Yellowstone Rivers.

## III. PRELIMINARY INVESTIGATION

The parties agree that further information is necessary concerning the feasibility of irrigation in McKenzie County. Therefore, the Commission agrees to:

1. Identify areas with irrigable soils using Soil Conservation Service soils maps.
2. Develop proposals for delivery of water to areas with irrigation potential.
3. Prepare preliminary cost estimates for the proposals.
4. Identify survey requirements for the possible irrigation areas.
5. Develop cost estimates for surveys and other field work necessary for preliminary design to be used as basis for cost-share for preliminary design.
6. Prepare a reconnaissance report containing the results of the investigation.
IV. PAYMENT AND TERM

The District agrees to pay the Commission a total of $\$ 500.00$ to partially defray the cost of the investigation. The payment must be made by the District prior to December 1, 1991. The parties agree that the project is to be completed by June 30 , 1993.

## V. RIGHTS-OF-ENTRY

The District agrees to obtain written permission from any affected landowners for field investigations by the Commission which are required for the reconnaissance investigation.

## VI. INDEMNIFICATION

The District agrees to indemnify and hold harmless the State of North Dakota, the Commission, its Secretary, their employees and agents, from all claims, suits or actions of whatsoever nature resulting out of the design, construction, operation, or maintenance of the project. In the event a suit is initiated or judgement is entered against the State of North Dakota, the Commission, its Secretary, their employees or their agents, the District shall indemnify any or all of them for all costs and
expenses, including legal fees, and any judgment arrived at or satisfied or settlement entered.

## VII. MERGER CLAUSE

This agreement constitutes the entire agreement between the parties. No waiver, consent, modification or change of terms of this agreement shall bind either party unless in writing, signed by the parties, and attached hereto. Such waiver, consent, modification or change, if made, shall be effective only in the specific instance and for the specific purpose given. There are no understandings, agreements, or representations, oral or written, not specified herein regarding this agreement.

NORTH DAKOTA STATE WATER COMMISSION



DATE:
$11 / 6 / 11$

MCKENZIE COUNTY WATER RESOURCE DISTRICT
By:
ArnoldCeynar
Vice Chairman

WITNESS:


DATE:


## APPENDIX B

## Distribution System Pipe Requirements

# Table B-1 - Charlson Area 

Alternative 1

| Pipe Diameter | Lenath | Cost | Cost |
| :---: | ---: | :---: | ---: |
| (inches) | (feet) | (per foot) |  |
| 6 | 6,600 | $\$ 4.00$ | $\$ 26,400$ |
| 10 | 12,320 | 8.50 | 104,720 |
| 12 | 2,540 | 12.00 | 30,480 |
| 14 | 3,960 | 18.00 | 71,280 |
| 16 | 5,600 | 22.00 | 123,200 |
| 18 | 7,740 | 28.50 | 220,590 |
| 20 | 5,460 | 35.00 | 191,100 |
| 36 | 34,810 | 60.00 | $\underline{2,088,600}$ |
|  | 79,030 |  | $\$ 2,856,370$ |

Table B-2 - Charlson Area Alternative 2

| Pipe Diameter | Lenath | Cost | Cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (inches) | (feet) | (per foot) |  |
| 6 | 3,300 | \$ 4.00 | \$ 13,200 |
| 10 | 4,960 | 8.50 | 42,160 |
| 12 | 5,280 | 12.00 | 63,360 |
| 14 | 9,560 | 18.00 | 172,080 |
| 16 | 3,960 | 22.00 | 87,120 |
| 18 | 14,520 | 28.50 | 413,820 |
| 20 | 5,280 | 35.00 | 184,800 |
| 27 | 5,940 | 44.00 | 261, 360 |
| 36 | 28,870 | 60.00 | 1,732,200 |
| Total | 81,670 |  | 2,970,100 |

B-1

Table B-3 - Charlson Area Alternative 3

| Pipe Diameter | Lenath | Cost | Cost |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| (inches) | (feet) | (per foot) |  |  |
| 6 | 3,300 | $\$ 8.00$ | $\$$ | 13,200 |
| 10 | 2,640 | $\$ .50$ | 22,440 |  |
| 12 | 5,280 | 12.00 | 63,360 |  |
| 16 | 2,580 | 22.00 | 56,760 |  |
| 20 | 5,340 | 35.00 | 186,900 |  |
| 27 | 9,240 | 44.00 | 406,560 |  |
| 36 | $\underline{45,370}$ | 60.00 | $\underline{2,722,200}$ |  |
|  | 73,750 |  | $3,471,420$ |  |

## Table B-4 - Charlson Area <br> Alternative 4

| Pipe Diameter | Lenath | Cost | Cost |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| (inches) | (feet) | (per foot) |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| 6 | 3,300 | $\$ ~ 4.00$ | 13,200 |
| 10 | 2,640 | 8.50 | 22,440 |
| 16 | 1,320 | 22.00 | 29,040 |
| 18 | 1,060 | 28.50 | 30,210 |
| 20 | 6,860 | 35.00 | 240,100 |
| 24 | 4,060 | 40.00 | 162,400 |
| 27 | 9,140 | 44.00 | 402,160 |
| 36 | $\underline{45,370}$ | 60.00 | $\underline{2,722,200}$ |
|  |  |  | $3,621,750$ |

Table B-5 - Charlson Area Alternative 5

| Pipe Diameter | Lenath | Cost | Cost |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| (inches) | (feet) | (per foot) |  |
| 6 | 3,960 | $\$ 4.00$ | $\$$ |
| 8 | 6,600 | 5.50 | 15,840 |
| 10 | 5,280 | 8.50 | 36,300 |
| 14 | 7,260 | 18.00 | 44,880 |
| 16 | 5,940 | 22.00 | 130,680 |
| 18 | 6,600 | 28.50 | 130,680 |
| 20 | 8,480 | 35.00 | 188,100 |
| 24 | 5,380 | 40.00 | 296,800 |
| 27 | 18,320 | 44.00 | 215,200 |
| 36 |  | 60.00 | 58,080 |
|  |  |  |  |
| Total | 69,130 |  | $1,098,600$ |
|  |  |  | $2,215,160$ |

Table B-6 - Tobacco Gardens Area
Alternative 1

| Pipe Diameter | Lenath | Cost | Cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (inches) | (feet) | (per foot) |  |
| 6 | 20,790 | \$ 4.00 | \$ 83,160 |
| 8 | 28,380 | 5.50 | 156,090 |
| 10 | 14,180 | 8.50 | 120,530 |
| 12 | 21,540 | 12.00 | 258,480 |
| 14 | 3,300 | 18.00 | 59,400 |
| 16 | 23,232 | 22.00 | 511,104 |
| 18 | 8,448 | 28.50 | 240,768 |
| 20 | 10,560 | 35.00 | 369,600 |
| 24 | 9,620 | 40.00 | 384,800 |
| 27 | 10,560 | $\triangle 4.00$ | 464,640 |
| 36 | 16,500 | 60.00 | 290,000 |
| Total | 167,110 |  | 3,638,572 |

Table B-7 - Tobacco Gardens Area
Alternative 2

| Pipe Diameter | Lenath | cost | cost |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (inches) | (feet) | (per foot) |  |  |
| 6 | 23,900 | \$ 4.00 | \$ | 95,600 |
| 8 | 19,140 | 5.50 |  | 105,270 |
| 10 | 32,300 | 8.50 |  | 274,550 |
| 12 | 12,540 | 12.00 |  | 150,480 |
| 16 | 23,760 | 22.00 |  | 522,720 |
| 18 | 5,280 | 28.50 | 1 | 150,480 |
| 20 | 8,580 | 35.00 |  | 300,300 |
| 24 | 14,980 | 40.00 | , | 599,200 |
| 27 | 8,580 | 44.00 | , | 377,520 |
| 36 | 20,460 | 60.00 |  | 1,227,600 |
| Total | 169,520 |  |  | 3,803,720 |

Table B-8 - Tobacco Gardens Area
Alternative 3

| Pipe Diameter | Lenath | Cost | Cost |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| (inches) | (feet) | (per foot) |  |
| 6 | 15,840 | $\$ 4.00$ | $\$$ |
| 8 | 19,800 | 5.50 | 63,360 |
| 10 | 24,900 | 8.50 | 108,900 |
| 12 | 12,540 | 12.00 | 211,650 |
| 16 | 23,760 | 22.00 | 150,480 |
| 18 | 13,200 | 28.50 | 522,720 |
| 20 | 5,280 | 35.00 | 376,200 |
| 24 | 15,880 | 40.00 | 184,800 |
| 27 | 13,860 | 44.00 | 635,200 |
| 36 | $\underline{20,460}$ | 60.00 | 609,840 |
|  |  |  | $1,227,600$ |
| Total | 165,520 |  | $4,090,750$ |
|  |  |  |  |

# Table B-9 - Tobacco Gardens Area <br> Alternative 4 

| Pipe Diameter | Lenath | Cost | Cost |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| (inches) | (feet) | (per foot) |  |
| 6 | 9,240 | $\$ 4.00$ | $\$$ |
| 8 | 9,900 | 5.50 | 36,960 |
| 10 | 29,700 | 8.50 | 54,450 |
| 12 | 9,900 | 12.00 | 252,450 |
| 16 | 21,120 | 22.00 | 118,800 |
| 18 | 15,840 | 28.50 | 464,640 |
| 20 | 7,920 | 35.00 | 451,440 |
| 24 | 15,880 | 40.00 | 277,200 |
| 27 | 99,240 | 44.00 | 635,200 |
| 36 |  | 60.00 | 406,560 |
|  |  |  | $1,764,300$ |
| Total | 158,145 |  | $4,462,000$ |
|  |  |  |  |

## Table B-10 - Tobacco Gardens Area <br> Alternative 5

| Pipe Diameter | Length | Cost | Cost |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| (inches) | (feet) | (per foot) |  |  |
| 6 | 17,490 | $\$ 4.00$ | $\$$ | 69,960 |
| 8 | 1,320 | 5.50 | 7,260 |  |
| 10 | 5,600 | 8.50 | 47,600 |  |
| 12 | 11,640 | 12.00 | 139,680 |  |
| 14 | 12,540 | 18.00 | 225,720 |  |
| 16 | 7,772 | 22.00 | 170,984 |  |
| 18 | 3,168 | 28.50 | 90,288 |  |
| 20 | 10,560 | 35.00 | 369,600 |  |
| 24 | 16,500 | 40.00 | 660.000 |  |
| Total | 86,590 |  | $1,781,092$ |  |

Table B-11 - Tobacco Gardens Area Alternative 6

| Pipe Diameter | Lenath | Cost | Cost |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| (inches) | (feet) | (per foot) |  |
| 6 | 8,580 | $\$ 8.00$ | $\$$ |
| 8 | 1,320 | 5.50 | 34,320 |
| 10 | 12,410 | 8.50 | 7,260 |
| 12 | 1,320 | 12.00 | 105,485 |
| 16 | 3,960 | 22.00 | 15,840 |
| 18 | 9,940 | 28.50 | 87,120 |
| 20 | 7,260 | 35.00 | 283,290 |
| 24 |  | 40.00 | 254,100 |
|  |  |  | $1,082,400$ |
| Total | 71,850 |  | $1,869,815$ |
|  |  |  |  |

## Table B-12 - Tobacco Gardens Area Alternative 7

| Pipe Diameter | Lenath | Cost | Cost |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| (inches) | (feet) | (per foot) |  |
| 6 | 3,960 | $\$ 8.00$ | $\$$ |
| 10 | 16,500 | 8.50 | 15,840 |
| 12 | 5,280 | 12.00 | 140,250 |
| 16 | 3,960 | 22.00 | 63,360 |
| 18 | 9,940 | 28.50 | 87,120 |
| 20 | 7,260 | 35.00 | 283,290 |
| 24 | 31,385 | 40.00 | 254,100 |
|  |  |  |  |
| Total | 78,285 |  | $1,255,400$ |
|  |  |  | $2,099,360$ |

Table B-13 - Charbonneau/Timber Creeks Area Alternative 1

| Pipe Diameter | Length | Cost | Cost |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| (inches) | (feet) | (per foot) |  |
| 6 | 2,640 | $\$ 4.00$ | $\$$ |
| 8 | 8,040 | 5.50 | 10,560 |
| 10 | 42,900 | 8.50 | 44,220 |
| 12 | 1,320 | 12.00 | 364,650 |
| 14 | 10,560 | 18.00 | 15,840 |
| 18 | 2,640 | 28.50 | 190,080 |
| 20 | 9,240 | 35.00 | 75,240 |
| 24 | 3,520 | 40.00 | 323,400 |
| 27 | 55,120 | 44.00 | $1,300,800$ |
| 36 | $\underline{50,750}$ | 60.00 | 137,280 |
| 42 | 219,180 | 68.00 | $3,326,400$ |
|  |  |  | $\underline{3,451,680}$ |
| Total |  |  | $9,240,150$ |

Table B-14 - Charbonneau/Timber Creeks Area Alternative 2

| Pipe Diameter | Length | Cost | Cost |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| (inches) | (feet) | (per foot) |  |  |
| 6 | 1,320 | $\$ 4.00$ | $\$$ | 5,280 |
| 8 | 8,040 | 5.50 | 44,220 |  |
| 10 | 14,520 | 8.50 | 123,420 |  |
| 12 | 1,320 | 12.00 | 15,840 |  |
| 14 | 10,560 | 18.00 | 190,080 |  |
| 18 | 2,640 | 28.50 | 75,240 |  |
| 20 | 38,280 | 35.00 | $1,339,800$ |  |
| 24 | 6,600 | 40.00 | 264,000 |  |
| 27 | 10,560 | 64.00 | 464,640 |  |
| 36 | $\underline{30,680}$ | 60.00 | $3,880,800$ |  |
| 42 |  | 68.00 | $\underline{2,105,280}$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 189,480 |  | $8,508,600$ |  |

## Table B-15 - Charbonneau/Timber Creeks Area Alternative 3

| Pipe Diameter | Lenath | Cost | Cost |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| (inches) | (feet) | (per foot) |  |  |
| 6 | 1,320 | $\$ 8.00$ | $\$$ | 5,280 |
| 8 | 8,040 | $\$, 080$ | 5.50 | 44,220 |
| 10 | 13,680 | 8.50 | 85,680 |  |
| 14 | 2,640 | 18.00 | 246,240 |  |
| 18 | 7,920 | 28.50 | 75,400 |  |
| 20 | 7,920 | 35.00 | 277,200 |  |
| 24 | 15,840 | 40.00 | 316,800 |  |
| 27 | 11,880 | 44.00 | 696,960 |  |
| 36 | 139,320 | 60.00 | $4,312,800$ |  |
|  |  |  | $6,060,580$ |  |

Table B-16 - Charbonneau/Timber Creeks Area Alternative 4

| Pipe Diameter | Lenath | Cost | Cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (inches) | (feet) | (per foot) |  |


| 8 | 5,140 | $\$ 5.50$ | $\$$ | 28,270 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 10 | 18,480 | 8.50 | 157,080 |  |
| 14 | 14,520 | 18.00 | 261,360 |  |
| 16 | 9,240 | 22.00 | 203,280 |  |
| 18 | $\underline{7,200}$ | 28.50 | $\underline{205,200}$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 54,580 |  | 85,190 |  |

## Table B-17 - Charbonneau/Timber Creeks Area Alternative 5

| Pipe Diameter | Length | Cost | Cost |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| (inches) | (feet) | (per foot) |  |
| 12 | 2,640 |  | $\$ 12.00$ |
| 18 | 2,640 | 28.50 | 31,680 |
| 20 | 8,000 | 35.00 | 75,240 |
|  | 13,280 |  |  |
| Total |  |  | 386,000 |
|  |  |  |  |

Table B-18 - Charbonneau/Timber Creeks Area

| Pipe Diameter | Lenath | Cost | Cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (inches) | (feet) | (per foot) |  |
| 6 | 1,320 | \$ 4.00 | \$ 5,280 |
| 10 | 22,700 | 8.50 | 192,950 |
| 12 | 5,280 | 12.00 | 63,360 |
| 14 | 13,200 | 18.00 | 237,600 |
| 16 | 3,960 | 22.00 | 87,120 |
| 18 | 5,280 | 28.50 | 150,480 |
| 20 | 7,920 | 35.00 | 277,200 |
| 24 | 6,760 | 40.00 | 270,400 |
| 27 | 7,760 | 44.00 | 341,440 |
| 36 | 46.280 | 60.00 | 2,776,800 |
| Total | 120,460 |  | 4,402,630 |
| i |  |  |  |

Table B-19 - Charbonneau/Timber Creeks Area Alternative 8

| Pipe Diameter | Lenath | Cost | Cost |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| (inches) | (feet) | (per foot) |  |  |
| 6 | 2,640 | $\$ 4.00$ | $\$$ | 10,560 |
| 10 | 30,370 | 8.50 | 258,145 |  |
| 12 | 5,280 | 12.00 | 63,360 |  |
| 14 | 10,560 | 18.00 | 190,080 |  |
| 16 | 3,960 | 22.00 | 87,120 |  |
| 18 | 3,960 | 28.50 | 112,860 |  |
| 24 | 11,880 | 40.00 | 475,200 |  |
| 27 | 30,180 | 44.00 | 139,920 |  |
| 36 | 102,060 | 60.00 | $1,813,800$ |  |
|  |  |  | $3,151,045$ |  |
| Total |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

Table B-20 - Watford City Area
Alternative 1

| Pipe Diameter | Lenath | Cost | Cost |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| (inches) | (feet) | (per foot) |  |
| 6 | 64,920 | $\$ 4.00$ | $\$ 259,680$ |
| 8 | 36,300 | 5.50 | 199,650 |
| 10 | 50,720 | 8.50 | 431,120 |
| 12 | 44,640 | 12.00 | 535,680 |
| 14 | 28,380 | 18.00 | 510,840 |
| 16 | 11,352 | 22.00 | 249,744 |
| 18 | 23,908 | 28.50 | 681,378 |
| 20 | 18,860 | 35.00 | 660,100 |
| 24 | 25,080 | 40.00 | $1,003,200$ |
| 36 | 21,120 | 60.00 | $1,267,200$ |
| 42 | 31,680 | 68.00 | $2,154,240$ |
| 48 | 29,040 | 75.00 | $2,178,000$ |
| 54 | 58,080 | 88.00 | $5,111,040$ |
| 60 | 47,240 | 100.00 | $\underline{4}, 724,000$ |
|  |  |  | $19,965,872$ |
| Total | 491,320 |  |  |

Table B-21 - Watford City Area Alternative 2

| Pipe Diameter | Lenath | Cost | Cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (inches) | (feet) | (per foot) |  |
| 6 | 20,460 | \$ 4.00 | \$ 81,840 |
| 8 | 5,280 | 5.50 | 29,040 |
| 10 | 62,300 | 8.50 | 529,550 |
| 12 | 28,380 | 12.00 | 340,560 |
| 14 | 38,940 | 18.00 | 700,920 |
| 16 | 7,920 | 22.00 | 174,240 |
| 18 | 13,200 | 28.50 | 376,200 |
| 20 | 33,000 | 35.00 | 1,155,000 |
| 24 | 33,000 | 40.00 | 1,320,000 |
| 36 | 42,240 | 60.00 | 2,534,400 |
| 42 | 25,080 | 68.00 | 1,705,440 |
| 48 | 17,160 | 75.00 | 1,287,000 |
| 54 | 33,000 | 88.00 | 2,904,000 |
| 60 | 63,360 | 100.00 | 6,336,000 |
| 72 | 10,640 | 130.00 | 1,383,200 |
| Total | 433,960 |  | 20,857,390 |

Table B-22 - Watford City Area Alternative 3

| Pipe Diameter | Length | Cost | Cost |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| (inches) | (feet) | (per foot) |  |
| 6 | 29,700 | $\$ 4.00$ | $\$ 118,800$ |
| 8 | 2,640 | 5.50 | 14,520 |
| 10 | 75,500 | 8.50 | 641,750 |
| 12 | 19,800 | 12.00 | 237,600 |
| 14 | 44,220 | 18.00 | 795,960 |
| 16 | 15,180 | 22.00 | 333,960 |
| 18 | 21,120 | 28.50 | 601,920 |
| 20 | 33,000 | 35.00 | $1,155,000$ |
| 24 | 25,080 | 40.00 | $1,003,200$ |
| 27 | 17,700 | 44.00 | 778,800 |
| 36 | 69,340 | 60.00 | $4,160,400$ |
| 42 | 6,600 | 68.00 | 448,800 |
| 54 | 19,190 | 88.00 | $1,688,720$ |
| 60 | 12,290 | 100.00 | $1,229,000$ |
| 72 | 10,640 | 130.00 | $1,383,200$ |
| Total | 402,000 |  | $14,591,630$ |
|  |  |  |  |

## APPENDIX C

## Cost Estimates

F
.
.

54 $\square$
-$\square=-\bar{I}$

## Table C-1 - Charlson Area <br> Alternative 1

| Project | Quantity | Unit | Unit Price |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pumps |  | LS | \$ | \$ | 871,950 |
| Distribution System |  | LS |  |  | 2,856,370 |
| Subtotal |  |  |  | \$ | 3,728,320 |
| 15\% Unlisted |  |  |  |  | 559,280 |
| Subtotal |  |  |  | \$ | 4,287,600 |
| 30\% Contingencies | and Engi | Engineering |  | \$ | 1,286,200 |
| 160 Acre Pivots | 18 | Ea. | 35,000 | \$ | 630,000 |
| 40 Acre Pivots | 10 | Ea. | 22,000 | 5 | 220,000 |
| Total | \$ 6,423,800 |  |  |  |  |

Table C-2 - Charlson Area
Alternative 5


## Table C-3 - Tobacco Garden Area Alternative 1

| Project | Ouantity | Unit | Unit Price |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pumping Plant |  | LS | \$ | \$ | 447,000 |
| Distribution System |  | LS |  |  | 3,638,572 |
| Subtotal |  |  |  |  | 4,085,572 |
| 15\% Unlisted |  |  |  |  | 612.828 |
| Subtotal |  |  |  |  | 4,698,400 |
| 30\% Contingencies | and Engi | neerin |  |  | 1,409,500 |
| 160 Acre Pivots | 8 | Ea. | - 35,000 | \$ | 280,000 |
| 40 Acre Pivots | 25 | Ea. | 22,000 |  | 550.000 |
| Total |  |  |  |  | 6,937,900 |

Table C-4 - Tobacco Garden Area Alternative 2

| Project | Ouantity | Unit | Unit Price |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pumping Plant <br> Distribution System |  | LS | \$ | \$ | $\begin{array}{r} 442,000 \\ 3.803 .720 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| Subtotal |  |  |  |  | 4,245,720 |
| 15\% Unlisted |  |  |  |  | 636,860 |
| Subtotal |  |  |  |  | 4,882,580 |
| 30\% Contingencies | and Engi | leerin |  |  | 1,464,720 |
| 160 Acre Pivots | 8 | Ea. | 35,000 | \$ | 280,000 |
| 40 Acre Pivots | 25 | Ea. | 22,000 |  | 550,000 |
| Total |  |  |  |  | 7,177,300 |

Table C-5 - Tobacco Garden Area
Alternative 3

| Project | Quantity | Unit | Unit Price |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pumping Plant |  | LS | \$ | \$ | 447,500 |
| Distribution System |  | LS |  |  | 4.090.750 |
| Subtotal |  |  |  |  | 4,538,250 |
| 15\% Unlisted |  |  |  |  | 680.750 |
| Subtotal |  |  |  | \$ | 5,219,000 |
| 30\% Contingencies | and Engi | Engineering |  | \$ | 1,565,700 |
| 160 Acre Pivots | 8 | Ea. | 35,000 | \$ | 280,000 |
| 40 Acre Pivots | 25 | Ea. | 22,000 |  | 550,000 |
| Total |  |  |  | \$ | 7,614,700 |

Table C-6 Tobacco Garden Area
Alternative 4

| Project | Quantity | Unit | Unit Price |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pumping Plant |  | LS | \$ |  | 447,000 |
| Distribution System |  | LS |  |  | 4.462 .000 |
| Subtotal |  |  |  |  | 4,909,000 |
| 15\% Unlisted |  |  |  |  | 736.400 |
| Subtotal |  |  |  |  | 5,645,400 |
| 30\% Contingencies | and Engi | Engineering |  |  | 1,693,600 |
| 160 Acre Pivots | 8 | Ea.Ea. | $\begin{aligned} & 35,000 \\ & 25,000 \end{aligned}$ | \$ | 280,000 |
|  | 25 |  |  |  | 550,000 |
| Total |  |  |  |  | 8,169,000 |


| Project | Ouantity | Unit | Unit Price |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pumping Plant |  | LS | \$ | \$ | 374,916 |
| Distribution System |  | LS |  |  | 1.781,092 |
| Subtotal |  |  |  |  | 2,156,008 |
| 15\% Unlisted |  |  |  |  | 323,392 |
| Subtotal |  |  |  | \$ | 2,479,400 |
| 30\% Contingencies | and Engi | neering |  | \$ | 743,800 |
| 160 Acre Pivots | 5 | Ea. | 35,000 | \$ | 175,000 |
| 40 Acre Pivots | 15 | Ea. | 22,000 |  | 330.000 |
| Total |  |  |  | \$ | 3,728,200 |

## Table C-8 - Tobacco Garden Area <br> Alternative 6

| Proiect | Ouantity | Unit | Unit Pric |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pumping Plant |  | LS | \$ | \$ | 374,916 |
| Distribution System |  | LS |  |  | 1.869,815 |
| Subtotal |  |  |  | \$ | 2,244,731 |
| 15\% Unlisted |  |  |  |  | 336.709 |
| Subtotal |  |  |  | \$ | 2,581,440 |
| 30\% Contingencies | and Engi | Engineering |  | \$ | 774,460 |
| 160 Ac. Pivots 40 Ac. Pivots | 5 | Ea. | 35,000 | \$ | 175,000 |
|  | 15 | Ea. | 22,000 |  | 330,000 |
| Total |  |  |  | \$ | 3,860,900 |

Table C-9 - Tobacco Garden Area Alternative 7

| Project | Quantity | Unit | Unit Price | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pumping Plant |  |  | \$ | \$ 374,916 |
| Distribution System |  | LS |  | 2,099,360 |
| Subtotal |  |  |  | \$ 2,474,276 |
| 15\% Unlisted |  |  |  | 371,124 |
| Subtotal |  |  |  | \$ 2,845,400 |
| 30\% Contingencies | and Engi | neerin |  | \$ 853,600 |
| 160 Ac. Pivots | 5 | Ea. | 35,000 | \$ 175,000 |
| 40 Ac. Pivots | 15 | Ea. | 22,000 | -330,000 |
| Total |  |  |  | \$ 4,204,000 |

# Table C-10 - Carbonneau/Timber Creek Area Alternative 4 

| Project | Ouantity | Unit | Unit Price |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pumping Plant |  | LS |  | \$ | 271,120 |
| Distribution System |  | LS |  |  | 855.190 |
| Subtotal |  |  |  | \$ | 126,310 |
| 15\% Unlisted |  |  |  |  | 168.920 |
| Subtotal |  |  |  | \$ | 295,230 |
| 30\% Contingencies | and Engi | neeri |  | \$ | 388,570 |
| 160 Ac. Pivots | 2 | Ea. | 35,000 | \$ | 70,000 |
| 40 Ac. Pivots | 9 | Ea. | 22,000 |  | 198,000 |
| Total |  |  |  | \$ 1,951,800 |  |

Table C-11 - Charbonneau/Timber Creek Area
Alternative 5

| Project | Quantity | Unit | Unit Pri |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pumping Plant |  | LS | \$ | \$ | 293,784 |
| Distribution System |  | LS |  |  | 386,920 |
| Subtotal |  |  |  | \$ | 680,704 |
| 15\% Unlisted |  |  |  |  | 102.106 |
| Subtotal |  |  |  | \$ | 782,810 |
| 30\% Contingencies | and Engi | eeri |  | \$ | 234,890 |
| 160 Ac. Pivots | 5 | Ea. | 35,000 | \$ | 175,000 |
| 40 Ac. Pivots | 2 | Ea. | 22,000 |  | 44,000 |
| Total |  |  |  | \$ 1,236,700 |  |

# Table C-12 - Charbonneau/Timber Creek Area Alternative 6 

| Project | Quantity | Unit | Unit Price |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pumping Plant |  | LS | \$ | \$ | 706,000 |
| Distribution System |  | LS |  |  | 4,402,630 |
| Subtotal |  |  |  |  | 5,108,630 |
| 15\% Unlisted |  |  |  |  | 766,295 |
| Subtotal |  |  |  |  | 5,874,925 |
| 30\% Contingencies | and Engi | Engineering |  |  | 1,762,475 |
| 160 Ac. Pivots 40 Ac. Pivots | $\begin{aligned} & 15 \\ & 22 \end{aligned}$ | Ea. Ea. | $\begin{aligned} & 35,000 \\ & 22,000 \end{aligned}$ | \$ | 525,000 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 484.000 |
| Total |  |  |  |  | 8,646,400 |

## Table C-13 - Charbonneau/Timber Creek Area Alternative 8

| Project | Quantity | Unit | Unit Price |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pumping Plants: |  |  |  |  |  |
| River Pumping Plant |  | LS | \$ | \$ | - 716,000 |
| Canal Relift |  |  |  |  | 222,000 |
| Pipeline Booster Pump |  |  |  |  | 183,500 |
| Canal ${ }_{\text {Distribution System }}$ |  |  |  |  | 285,302 |
|  |  | LS |  |  | 3,151,045 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 4,557,845 |
| 15\% Unlisted |  |  |  |  | 683,675 |
| Subtotal |  |  |  |  | 5,241,524 |
| 30\% Contingencies and Engineering |  |  |  |  | 1,572,480 |
| 160 Ac. Pivots | 14 | Ea. | 35,000 | \$ | 490,000 |
| 40 Ac. Pivots | 27 | Ea. | 22,000 |  | 594,000 |
| Total |  |  |  |  | 7,898,000 |

## Table C-14 - Watford City Area

 Alternative 1| Project | Ouantity | Unit |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Distribution System | US | Total |
| Distribution System | LS | $\$ 8,372,782$ |
| Subtotal |  | $11,593,090$ |
| 15\% Unlisted |  | $\$ 19,965,872$ |
| Subtotal | $\frac{2,994,888}{}$ |  |
| 30\% Contingencies and Engineering | $\$ 22,960,760$ |  |
| Total | $\$ 6,888,240$ |  |

## Table C-15 - Watford City Area Alternative 2

| Project | Ouantity | Unit | Unit Price | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Distribution System |  | LS |  | 8,366,230 |
| Distribution System |  | LS |  | 12.491.160 |
| Subtotal |  |  |  | \$20,857,390 |
| 15\% Unlisted |  |  |  | 3,128.610 |
| Subtotal |  |  |  | \$23,986,000 |
| 30\% Contingencies | and Engi | eeri |  | \$ 7,195,800 |
| Total |  |  |  | \$31,181,800 |

## APPENDIX D

## Irrigated Crop Budgets

Table D-1 - Charlson Area
Alternative 1
No Cost Share, 10 Percent Interest
Pumping Cost (Irrigation Electricity) \$2.63 per acre-inch

|  | Corn |  | Alfalfa |  | Drybeans |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Establishment | Established |  |
|  | Grain | Silage | Year | Year |  |
| Market Income | \$240.00 | \$300.00 | \$165.00 | \$330.00 | \$396.00 |
| Direct Costs |  |  |  |  |  |
| Seed | \$ 28.00 | \$ 19.50 | \$ 41.25 | \$ 0.00 | \$ 27.00 |
| Herbicides | 22.53 | 22.53 | 6.82 | 0.00 | 18.90 |
| Fungicides | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Insecticides | 14.09 | 14.09 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Fertilizer | 29.66 | 44.60 | 24.31 | 48.62 | 23.47 |
| Crop Insurance | 8.00 | 8.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 10.00 |
| Fuel \& Lubrication | 7.11 | 15.87 | 12.11 | 7.83 | 9.72 |
| Repairs | 8.06 | 9.32 | 9.24 | 5.41 | 7.39 |
| Drying | 12.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Hauling | 12.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 4.40 |
| Irrigation Electricity | 54.11 | 54.11 | 67.66 | 67.66 | 38.40 |
| Irrigation Repairs | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 |
| Soil Testing, Other | 1.05 | 1.05 | 3.85 | 6.60 | 1.20 |
| Interest | 10.53 | 10.08 | 7.56 | 6.63 | 7.83 |
| Sum of Listed Direct Costs | \$213.14 | \$205.15 | \$178.80 | \$148.75 | \$154.31 |
| Indirect (Fixed) Costs |  |  |  |  |  |
| Land Taxes | \$ 1.29 | \$ 1.29 | \$ 1.29 | \$ 1.29 | \$ 1.29 |
| Mach. Investment | 9.61 | 7.08 | 7.25 | 2.61 | 8.86 |
| Irrigation Investment | 308.55 | 308.55 | 308.55 | 308.55 | 308.55 |
| Mach. Depreciation | 15.74 | 11.58 | 11.86 | 4.27 | 14.50 |
| Land Investment | 16.80 | 16.80 | 16.80 | 16.80 | 16.80 |
| Sum of Listed Direct Costs | \$351.99 | \$345.30 | \$345.75 | \$333.52 | \$350.00 |
| Sum of All Listed Costs | \$565.13 | \$550.45 | \$524.55 | \$482.27 | \$504.31 |
| Return to Unpaid Labor and Management |  |  |  |  |  |
| Non-participation | -\$325.13 | -\$250.45 | -\$359.55 | -\$152.27 | -\$108.31 |
| Planting 92.5\% of Base |  |  |  |  |  |
| Deficiency Payment(+) | \$ 53.45 | \$ 53.45 |  |  |  |
| Cost of Setaside(-) | 2.97 | 2.97 |  |  |  |
| Return | -274.65 | -199.97 |  |  |  |
| Planting $77.5 \%$ of Base |  |  |  |  |  |
| Deficiency Payment(+) | \$ 63.80 | \$ 63.80 |  |  |  |
| Cost of Setaside(-) | 3.54 | 3.54 |  |  |  |
| Return | -264.87 | -190.19 |  |  |  |

# Table D-2 - Charlson Area Alternative 1 

No Cost Share, 5.5 Percent Interest<br>Pumping Cost (Irrigation Electricity) \$2.63 per acre-inch

|  | Corn |  | Alfalfa |  | Drybeang |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Establishment Year | Established Year |  |
|  | Grabin | Silage |  |  |  |
| Market Income | \$240.00 | \$300.00 | \$165.00 | \$330.00 | \$396.00 |
| Direct Costs |  |  |  |  |  |
| Seed | \$ 28.00 | \$ 19.50 | \$ 41.25 | \$ 0.00 | \$ 27.00 |
| Herbicides | 22.53 | 22.53 | 6.82 | 0.00 | 18.90 |
| Fungicides | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Insecticides | 14.09 | 14.09 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Fertilizer | 29.66 | 44.60 | 24.31 | 48.62 | 23.47 |
| Crop Insurance | 8.00 | 8.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 10.00 |
| Fuel \& Lubrication | 7.11 | 15.87 | 12.11 | 7.83 | 9.72 |
| Repairs | 8.06 | 9.32 | 9.24 | 5.41 | 7.39 |
| Drying | 12.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Hauling | 12.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 4.40 |
| Irrigation Electricity | 54.11 | 54.11 | 67.66 | 67.66 | 38.40 |
| Irrigation Repairs | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 |
| Soil Testing, Other | 1.05 | 1.05 | 3.85 | 6.60 | 1.20 |
| Interest | 10.53 | 10.08 | 7.56 | 6.63 | 7.83 |
| Sum of Listed Direct Costs | \$213.14 | \$205.15 | \$178.80 | \$148.75 | \$154.31 |
| Indirect (Fixed) Costs |  |  |  |  |  |
| Land Taxes | \$ 1.29 | \$ 1.29 | \$ 1.29 | \$ 1.29 | \$ 1.29 |
| Mach. Investment | 9.61 | 7.08 | 7.25 | 2.61 | 8.86 |
| Irrigation Investment | 219.80 | 219.80 | 219.80 | 219.80 | 219.80 |
| Mach. Depreciation | 15.74 | 11.58 | 11.86 | 4.27 | 14.50 |
| Land Investment | 16.80 | 16.80 | 16.80 | 16.80 | 16.80 |
| Sum of Listed Direct Costs | \$263.24 | \$256.55 | \$257.00 | \$244.77 | \$261.25 |
| Sum of All Listed Costs | \$476.38 | \$461.70 | \$435.80 | \$393.52 | \$415.56 |
| Return to Unpaid Labor and Management |  |  |  |  |  |
| Non-participation | -\$236.38 | -\$161.70 | -\$270.80 | -\$ 63.52 | -\$ 19.56 |
| Planting 92.5\% of Base |  |  |  |  |  |
| Deficiency Payment (+) | \$ 53.45 | \$ 53.45 |  |  |  |
| Cost of setaside(-) | 2.97 | 2.97 |  |  |  |
| Return | -185.90 | -111.22 |  |  |  |
| Planting 77.5\% of Base |  |  |  |  |  |
| Deficiency Payment(+) | \$ 63.80 | \$ 63.80 |  |  |  |
| Cost of Setaside(-) | 3.54 | 3.54 |  |  |  |
| Return | -176.12 | -101.44 |  |  |  |

Table D-3 - Charlson Area Alternative 1

No Cost-Share, 4 Percent Interest
Pumping Cost (Irrigation Electricity) \$2.63 per acre-inch

|  | Corn |  | Alfalfa |  | Drybeans |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Establishment | Established |  |
|  | Grain | Silage | Year | Year |  |
| Market Income | \$240.00 | \$300.00 | \$165.00 | \$330.00 | \$396.00 |
| Direct Costs |  |  |  |  |  |
| Seed | \$ 28.00 | \$ 19.50 | \$ 41.25 | \$ 0.00 | \$ 27.00 |
| Herbicides | 22.53 | - 22.53 | 6.82 | 0.00 | 18.90 |
| Fungicides | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Insecticides | 14.09 | 14.09 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Fertilizer | 29.66 | 44.60 | 24.31 | 48.62 | 23.47 |
| Crop Insurance | 8.00 | 8.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 10.00 |
| Fuel \& Lubrication | 7.11 | 15.87 | 12.11 | 7.83 | 9.72 |
| Repairs | 8.06 | 9.32 | 9.24 | 5.41 | 7.39 |
| Drying | 12.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Hauling | 12.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 4.40 |
| Irrigation Electricity | 54.11 | 54.11 | 67.66 | 67.66 | 38.40 |
| Irrigation Repairs | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 |
| Soil Testing, Other | 1.05 | 1.05 | 3.85 | 6.60 | 1.20 |
| Interest | 10.53 | 10.08 | 7.56 | 6.63 | 7.83 |
| Sum of Listed Direct Costs | \$213.14 | \$205.15 | \$178.80 | \$148.75 | \$154.31 |
| Indirect (Fixed) Costs |  |  |  |  |  |
| Land Taxes | \$ 1.29 | \$ 1.29 | \$ 1.29 | \$ 1.29 | \$ 1.29 |
| Mach. Investment | 9.61 | 7.08 | 7.25 | 2.61 | 8.86 |
| Irrigation Investment | 193.27 | 193.27 | 193.27 | 193.27 | 193.27 |
| Mach. Depreciation | 15.74 | 11.58 | 11.86 | 4.27 | 14.50 |
| Land Investment | 16.80 | 16.80 | 16.80 | 16.80 | 16.80 |
| Sum of Listed Direct Costs | \$236.71 | \$230.02 | \$230.47 | \$218.24 | \$234.72 |
| Sum of All Listed Costs | \$449.85 | \$435.17 | \$409.27 | \$366.99 | \$389.03 |
| Return to Unpaid Labor and Management |  |  |  |  |  |
| Non-participation | -\$209.85 | -\$135.17 | -\$244.27 | -\$ 36.99 | \$ 6.97 |
| Planting $92.5 \%$ of Base |  |  |  |  |  |
| Deficiency Payment(+) | \$ 53.45 | \$ 53.45 |  |  |  |
| Cost of Setaside(-) | 2.97 | + 2.97 |  |  |  |
| Return | -159.37 | -84.69 |  |  |  |
| Planting $77.5 \%$ of Base |  | 1 |  |  |  |
| Deficiency Payment (+) | \$ 63.80 | \$ 63.80 |  |  |  |
| Cost of Setaside(-) | 3.54 | 3.54 |  |  |  |
| Return | -149.59 | -74.91 | - |  |  |

Table D-4 - Charlson Area Alternative 1

40 Percent Cost-Share, 10 Percent Interest
Pumping Cost (Irrigation Electricity) \$2.63 per acre-inch

|  | Corn |  | Alfalfa |  | Drybeang |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Establishment | Established |  |
|  | Grain | Silage | Year | Year |  |
| Market Income | \$240.00 | \$300.00 | \$165.00 | \$330.00 | \$396.00 |
| Direct Costs |  |  |  |  |  |
| Seed | \$ 28.00 | \$ 19.50 | \$ 41.25 | \$ 0.00 | \$ 27.00 |
| Herbicides | 22.53 | - 22.53 | 6.82 | 0.00 | 18.90 |
| Fungicides | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Insecticides | 14.09 | 14.09 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Fertilizer | 29.66 | 44.60 | 24.31 | 48.62 | 23.47 |
| Crop Insurance | 8.00 | 8.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 10.00 |
| Fuel \& Lubrication | 7.11 | 15.87 | 12.11 | 7.83 | 9.72 |
| Repairs | 8.06 | 9.32 | 9.24 | 5.41 | 7.39 |
| Drying | 12.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Hauling | 12.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 4.40 |
| Irrigation Electricity | 54.11 | 54.11 | 67.66 | 67.66 | 38.40 |
| Irrigation Repairs | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 |
| Soil Testing, Other | 1.05 | 1.05 | 3.85 | 6.60 | 1.20 |
| Interest | 10.53 | 10.08 | 7.56 | 6.63 | 7.83 |
| Sum of Listed Direct Costs | \$213.14 | \$205.15 | \$178.80 | \$148.75 | \$154.31 |
| Indirect (Fixed) Costs |  |  |  |  |  |
| Land Taxes | \$ 1.29 | \$ 1.29 | \$ 1.29 | \$ 1.29 | \$ 1.29 |
| Mach. Investment | 9.61 | 7.08 | 7.25 | 2.61 | 8.86 |
| Irrigation Investment | 201.51 | 201.51 | 201.51 | 201.51 | 201.51 |
| Mach. Depreciation | 15.74 | 11.58 | 11.86 | 4.27 | 14.50 |
| Land Investment | 16.80 | 16.80 | 16.80 | 16.80 | 16.80 |
| Sum of Listed Direct Costs | \$244.95 | \$238.26 | \$238.71 | \$226.48 | \$242.96 |
| Sum of All Listed Costs | \$458.09 | \$443.41 | \$417.51 | \$375.23 | \$397.27 |
| Return to Unpaid Labor and Management |  |  |  |  |  |
| Non-participation | -\$218.09 | -\$143.41 | -\$252.51 | -\$ 45.23 | -\$ 1.27 |
| Planting 92.5\% of Base |  |  |  |  |  |
| Deficiency Payment(+) | \$ 53.45 | \$ 53.45 |  |  |  |
| Cost of Setaside(-) | 2.97 | 2.97 |  |  |  |
| Return | -167.61 | -92.93 |  |  |  |
| Planting 77.5\% of Base |  |  |  |  |  |
| Deficiency Payment (+) | \$ 63.80 | \$ 63.80 |  |  |  |
| Cost of Setaside(-) | 3.54 | 3.54 |  |  |  |
| Return | -157.83 | -83.15 | . |  |  |

# Table D-5 - Charlson Area <br> Alternative 1 

40 Percent Cost-Share, 5.5 Percent Interest
Pumping Cost (Irrigation Electricity) \$2.63 per acre-inch

|  | Corn |  | Alfalfa |  | Drybeans |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Establishment | Established |  |
|  | Grain | Silage | Year | Year |  |
| Market Income | \$240.00 | \$300.00 | \$165.00 | \$330.00 | \$396.00 |
| Direct Costs |  |  |  |  |  |
| Seed | \$ 28.00 | \$ 19.50 | \$ 41.25 | \$ 0.00 | \$ 27.00 |
| Herbicides | 22.53 | 22.53 | 6.82 | 0.00 | 18.90 |
| Fungicides | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Insecticides | 14.09 | 14.09 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Fertilizer | 29.66 | 44.60 | 24.31 | 48.62 | 23.47 |
| Crop Insurance | 8.00 | 8.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 10.00 |
| Fuel \& Lubrication | 7.11 | 15.87 | 12.11 | 7.83 | 9.72 |
| Repairs | 8.06 | 9.32 | 9.24 | 5.41 | 7.39 |
| Drying | 12.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Hauling | 12.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 4.40 |
| Irrigation Electricity | 54.11 | 54.11 | 67.66 | 67.66 | 38.40 |
| Irrigation Repairs | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 |
| Soil Testing, Other | 1.05 | 1.05 | 3.85 | 6.60 | 1.20 |
| Interest | 10.53 | 10.08 | 7.56 | 6.63 | 7.83 |
| Sum of Listed Direct Costs | \$213.14 | \$205.15 | \$178.80 | \$148.75 | \$154.31 |
| Indirect (Fixed) Costs |  |  |  |  |  |
| Land Taxes | \$ 1.29 | \$ 1.29 | \$ 1.29 | \$ 1.29 | \$ 1.29 |
| Mach. Investment | 9.61 | 7.08 | 7.25 | 2.61 | 8.86 |
| Irrigation Investment | 143.55 | 143.55 | 143.55 | 143.55 | 143.55 |
| Mach. Depreciation | 15.74 | 11.58 | 11.86 | 4.27 | 14.50 |
| Land Investment | 16.80 | 16.80 | 16.80 | 16.80 | 16.80 |
| Sum of Listed Direct Costs | \$186.99 | \$180.30 | \$180.75 | \$168.52 | \$185.00 |
| Sum of All Listed Costs | \$400.13 | \$385.45 | \$359.55 | \$317.27 | \$339.31 |
| Return to Unpaid Labor and Management |  |  |  |  |  |
| Non-participation | -\$160.13 | -\$ 85.45 | -\$194.55 | \$ 12.73 | \$ 56.69 |
| Planting 92.5\% of Base |  |  |  |  |  |
| Deficiency Payment(+) | \$ 53.45 | \$ 53.45 |  |  |  |
| Cost of Setaside(-) | 2.97 | 2.97 |  |  |  |
| Return | -109.65 | -34.97 |  |  |  |
| Planting 77.5\% of Base |  |  |  |  |  |
| Deficiency Payment(+) | \$ 63.80 | \$ 63.80 |  |  |  |
| Cost of Setaside(-) | 3.54 | 3.54 |  |  |  |
| Return | -99.87 | -25.19 | . |  |  |

Table D-6 - Charlson Area Alternative 5

No Cost-Share, 10 Percent Interest

## Pumping Cost (Irrigation Electricity) $\$ 3.02$ per acre-inch

|  | Corn |  | Alfalfa |  | Drybeans |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Establishment | Established |  |
|  | Grain | Silage | Year | Year |  |
| Market Income | \$240.00 | \$300.00 | \$165.00 | \$330.00 | \$396.00 |
| Direct Costs |  |  |  |  |  |
| Seed | \$ 28.00 | \$ 19.50 | \$ 41.25 | \$ 0.00 | \$ 27.00 |
| Herbicides | 22.53 | 22.53 | 6.82 | 0.00 | 18.90 |
| Fungicides | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Insecticides | 14.09 | 14.09 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Fertilizer | 29.66 | 44.60 | 24.31 | 48.62 | 23.47 |
| Crop Insurance | 8.00 | 8.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 10.00 |
| Fuel \& Lubrication | 7.11 | 15.87 | 12.11 | 7.83 | 9.72 |
| Repairs | 8.06 | 9.32 | 9.24 | 5.41 | 7.39 |
| Drying | 12.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Hauling | 12.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 4.40 |
| Irrigation Electricity | 62.14 | 62.14 | 77.69 | 77.69 | 44.09 |
| Irrigation Repairs | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 |
| Soil Testing, Other | 1.05 | 1.05 | 3.85 | 6.60 | 1.20 |
| Interest | 10.53 | 10.08 | 7.56 | 6.63 | 7.83 |
| Sum of Listed Direct Costs | \$221.17 | \$213.18 | \$188.83 | \$158.78 | \$160.00 |
| Indirect (Fixed) Costs |  |  |  |  |  |
| Land Taxes | \$ 1.29 | \$ 1.29 | \$ 1.29 | \$ 1.29 | \$ 1.29 |
| Mach. Investment | 9.61 | 7.08 | 7.25 | 2.61 | 8.86 |
| Irrigation Investment | 293.75 | 293.75 | 293.75 | 293.75 | 293.75 |
| Mach. Depreciation | 15.74 | 11.58 | 11.86 | 4.27 | 14.50 |
| Land Investment | 16.80 | 16.80 | 16.80 | 16.80 | 16.80 |
| Sum of Listed Direct Costs | \$337.19 | \$330.50 | \$330.95 | \$318.72 | \$335.20 |
| Sum of All Listed Costs | \$558.36 | \$543.68 | \$519.78 | \$477.50 | \$495.20 |
| Return to Unpaid Labor and Management |  |  |  |  |  |
| Non-participation | -\$318.36 | -\$243.68 | -\$354.78 | -\$147.50 | -\$99.20 |
| Planting 92.5\% of Base |  |  |  |  |  |
| Deficiency Payment(+) | \$ 53.45 | \$ 53.45 |  |  |  |
| Cost of Setaside(-) | 2.97 | 2.97 |  |  |  |
| Return | -267.88 | -193.20 |  |  |  |
| Planting 77.5\% of Base |  |  |  |  |  |
| Deficiency Payment(+) | \$ 63.80 | \$ 63.80 |  |  |  |
| Cost of Setaside(-) | 3.54 | 3.54 |  |  |  |
| Return | -258.10 | -183.42 | * |  |  |

# Table D-7 - Charlson Area Alternative 5 

## No Cost-Share, 5.5 Percent Interest

Pumping Cost (Irrigation Electricity) $\$ 3.02$ per acre-inch

|  | Corn |  | Alfalfa |  | Drybeans |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Establishment | Established |  |
|  | Grain | Silage | Year | Year |  |
| Market Income | \$240.00 | \$300.00 | \$165.00 | \$330.00 | \$396.00 |
| Direct Costs |  |  |  |  |  |
| Seed | \$ 28.00 | \$ 19.50 | \$ 41.25 | \$ 0.00 | \$ 27.00 |
| Herbicides | 22.53 | 22.53 | 6.82 | 0.00 | 18.90 |
| Fungicides | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Insecticides | 14.09 | 14.09 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Fertilizer | 29.66 | 44.60 | 24.31 | 48.62 | 23.47 |
| Crop Insurance | 8.00 | 8.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 10.00 |
| Fuel \& Lubrication | 7.11 | 15.87 | 12.11 | 7.83 | 9.72 |
| Repairs | 8.06 | 9.32 | 9.24 | 5.41 | 7.39 |
| Drying | 12.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Hauling | 12.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 4.40 |
| Irrigation Electricity | 62.14 | 52.14 | 77.69 | 77.69 | 44.09 |
| Irrigation Repairs | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 |
| Soil Testing, Other | 1.05 | 1.05 | 3.85 | 6.60 | 1.20 |
| Interest | 10.53 | 10.08 | 7.56 | 6.63 | 7.83 |
| Sum of Listed Direct Costs | \$221.17 | \$213.18 | \$188.83 | \$158.78 | \$160.00 |
| Indirect (Fixed) Costs |  |  |  |  |  |
| Land Taxes | \$ 1.29 | \$ 1.29 | \$ 1.29 | \$ 1.29 | \$ 1.29 |
| Mach. Investment | 9.61 | 7.08 | 7.25 | 2.61 | 8.86 |
| Irrigation Investment | 209.25 | 209.25 | 209.25 | 209.25 | 209.25 |
| Mach. Depreciation | 15.74 | 11.58 | 11.86 | 4.27 | 14.50 |
| Land Investment | 16.80 | 16.80 | 16.80 | 16.80 | 16.80 |
| Sum of Listed Direct Costs | \$252.69 | \$246.00 | \$246.45 | \$234.22 | \$250.70 |
| Sum of All Listed Costs | \$473.86 | \$459.18 | \$435.28 | \$393.00 | \$410.70 |
| Return to Unpaid Labor and Management |  |  |  |  |  |
| Non-participation | -\$233.86 | -\$159.18 | -\$270.28 | -\$ 63.00 | -\$ 14.70 |
| Planting $92.5 \%$ of Base |  |  |  |  |  |
| Deficiency Payment(+) | \$ 53.45 | \$ 53.45 |  |  |  |
| Cost of Setaside(-) | 2.97 | 2.97 |  |  |  |
| Return | -183.38 | -108.70 |  |  |  |
| Planting 77.5\% of Base |  | (53.80 | - |  |  |
| Deficiency Payment (+) | \$ 63.80 | \$ 63.80 |  |  |  |
| Cost of Setaside(-) | 3.54 | 3.54 |  |  |  |
| Return | -173.60 | -98.92 | $\cdots$ |  |  |

Table D-8 - Charlson Area Alternative 5

No Cost-Share, 4 Percent Interest
Pumping Cost (Irrigation Electricity) \$3.02 per acre-inch

|  | Corn |  | Alfalfa |  | Drubeans |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Establishment | Established |  |
|  | Grain | Silage | Year | Year |  |
| Market Income | \$240.00 | \$300.00 | \$165.00 | \$330.00 | \$396.00 |
| Direct Costs |  |  |  |  |  |
| Seed | \$ 28.00 | \$ 19.50 | \$ 41.25 | \$ 0.00 | \$27.00 |
| Herbicides | 22.53 | 22.53 | 6.82 | 0.00 | 18.90 |
| Fungicides | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Insecticides | 14.09 | 14.09 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Fertilizer | 29.66 | 44.60 | 24.31 | 48.62 | 23.47 |
| Crop Insurance | 8.00 | 8.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 10.00 |
| Fuel \& Lubrication | 7.11 | 15.87 | 12.11 | 7.83 | 9.72 |
| Repairs | 8.06 | 9.32 | 9.24 | 5.41 | 7.39 |
| Drying | 12.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Hauling | 12.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 4.40 |
| Irrigation Electricity | 62.14 | 62.14 | 77.69 | 77.69 | 44.09 |
| Irrigation Repairs | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 |
| Soil Testing, Other | 1.05 | 1.05 | 3.85 | 6.60 | 1.20 |
| Interest | 10.53 | 10.08 | 7.56 | 6.63 | 7.83 |
| Sum of Listed Direct Costs | \$221.17 | \$213.18 | \$188.83 | \$158.78 | \$160.00 |
| Indirect (Fixed) Costs |  |  |  |  |  |
| Land Taxes | \$ 1.29 | \$ 1.29 | \$ 1.29 | \$ 1.29 | \$ 1.29 |
| Mach. Investment | 9.61 | 7.08 | 7.25 | 2.61 | 8.86 |
| Irrigation Investment | 184.00 | 184.00 | 184.00 | 184.00 | 184.00 |
| Mach. Depreciation | 15.74 | 11.58 | 11.86 | 4.27 | 14.50 |
| Land Investment | 16.80 | 16.80 | 16.80 | 16.80 | 16.80 |
| Sum of Listed Direct Costs | \$227.44 | \$220.75 | \$221.20 | \$208.97 | \$225.45 |
| Sum of All Listed Costs | \$448.61 | \$433.93 | \$410.03 | \$367.75 | \$385.45 |
| Return to Unpaid Labor and Management |  |  |  |  |  |
| Non-participation | -\$208.61 | -\$133.93 | -\$245.03 | -\$ 37.75 | \$ 10.53 |
| Planting $92.5 \%$ of Base |  |  |  |  |  |
| Deficiency Payment(+) | \$ 53.45 | . \$ 53.45 |  |  |  |
| Cost of Setaside(-) | 2.97 | 2.97 |  |  |  |
| Return | -158.13 | -83.45 |  |  |  |
| Planting 77.5\% of Base |  |  |  |  |  |
| Deficiency Payment (+) | \$ 63.80 | \$ 63.80 |  |  |  |
| Cost of Setaside(-) | 3.54 | +3.54 |  |  |  |
| Return | -148.35 | -73.67 | - |  |  |

# Table D-9 - Charlson Area <br> Alternative 5 

40 Percent Cost-Share, 10 Percent Interest
Pumping Cost (Irrigation Electricity) \$3.02 per acre-inch

|  | Corn |  | Alfalfa |  | Drybeans |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Establishment | Established |  |
|  | Grain | Silage | Year | Year |  |
| Market Income | \$240.00 | \$300.00 | \$165.00 | \$330.00 | \$396.00 |
| Direct Costs |  |  |  |  |  |
| Seed | \$ 28.00 | \$ 19.50 | \$ 41.25 | \$ 0.00 | \$ 27.00 |
| Herbicides | 22.53 | 22.53 | 6.82 | 0.00 | 18.90 |
| Fungicides | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Insecticides | 14.09 | 14.09 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Fertilizer | 29.66 | 44.60 | 24.31 | 48.62 | 23.47 |
| Crop Insurance | 8.00 | 8.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 10.00 |
| Fuel \& Lubrication | 7.11 | 15.87 | 12.11 | 7.83 | 9.72 |
| Repairs | 8.06 | 9.32 | 9.24 | 5.41 | 7.39 |
| Drying | 12.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Hauling | 12.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 4.40 |
| Irrigation Electricity | 62.14 | 62.14 | 77.69 | 77.69 | 44.09 |
| Irrigation Repairs | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 |
| Soil Testing, Other | 1.05 | 1.05 | 3.85 | 6.60 | 1.20 |
| Interest | 10.53 | 10.08 | 7.56 | 6.63 | 7.83 |
| Sum of Listed Direct Costs | \$221.17 | \$213.18 | \$188.83 | \$158.78 | \$160.00 |
| Indirect (Fixed) Costs |  |  |  |  |  |
| Land Taxes | \$ 1.29 | \$ 1.29 | \$ 1.29 | \$ 1.29 | \$ 1.29 |
| Mach. Investment | 9.61 | 7.08 | 7.25 | 2.61 | 8.86 |
| Irrigation Investment | 192.82 | 192.82 | 192.82 | 192.82 | 192.82 |
| Mach. Depreciation | 15.74 | 11.58 | 11.86 | 4.27 | 14.50 |
| Land Investment | 16.80 | 16.80 | 16.80 | 16.80 | 16.80 |
| Sum of Listed Direct Costs | \$236.26 | \$229.57 | \$230.02 | \$217.79 | \$234.27 |
| Sum of All Listed Costs | \$457.43 | \$442.75 | \$418.85 | \$376.57 | \$394.27 |
| Return to Unpaid Labor and Management |  |  |  |  |  |
| Non-participation | -\$217.43 | -\$142.75 | -\$253.83 | -\$ 46.57 | \$ 1.73 |
| Planting 92.5\% of Base |  |  |  |  |  |
| Deficiency Payment(+) | \$ 53.45 | \$ 53.45 |  |  |  |
| Cost of Setaside(-) | 2.97 | 2.97 |  |  |  |
| Return | -166.95 | -92.27 |  |  |  |
| Planting 77.5\% of Base |  |  |  |  |  |
| Deficiency Payment(+) | \$ 63.80 | \$ 63.80 |  |  |  |
| Cost of Setaside(-) | 3.54 | 3.54 |  |  |  |
| Return | -157.17 | -82.49 | - |  |  |

# Table D-10 - Charlson Area Alternative 5 <br> <br> 40 Percent Cost-Share, 5.5 Percent Interest 

 <br> <br> 40 Percent Cost-Share, 5.5 Percent Interest}

Pumping Cost (Irrigation Electricity) \$3.02 per acre-inch

|  | Corn |  | Alfalfa |  | Drybeans |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Establishment | Established |  |
|  | Grain | Silage | Year | Year |  |
| Market Income | \$240.00 | \$300.00 | \$165.00 | \$330.00 | \$396.00 |
| Direct Costs |  |  |  |  |  |
| Seed | \$ 28.00 | \$ 19.50 | \$ 41.25 | \$ 0.00 | \$27.00 |
| Herbicides | 22.53 | 22.53 | 6.82 | 0.00 | 18.90 |
| Fungicides | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Insecticides | 14.09 | 14.09 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Fertilizer | 29.66 | 44.60 | 24.31 | 48.62 | 23.47 |
| Crop Insurance | 8.00 | 8.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 10.00 |
| Fuel \& Lubrication | 7.11 | 15.87 | 12.11 | 7.83 | 9.72 |
| Repairs | 8.06 | 9.32 | 9.24 | 5.41 | 7.39 |
| Drying | 12.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Hauling | 12.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 4.40 |
| Irrigation Electricity | 62.14 | 62.14 | 77.69 | 77.69 | 44.09 |
| Irrigation Repairs | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 |
| Soil Testing, Other | 1.05 | 1.05 | 3.85 | 6.60 | 1.20 |
| Interest | 10.53 | 10.08 | 7.56 | 6.63 | 7.83 |
| Sum of Listed Direct Costs | \$221.17 | \$213.18 | \$188.83 | \$158.78 | \$160.00 |
| Indirect (Fixed) Costs |  |  |  |  |  |
| Land Taxes | \$ 1.29 | \$ 1.29 | \$ 1.29 | \$ 1.29 | \$ 1.29 |
| Mach. Investment | 9.61 | 7.08 | 7.25 | \$ 2.61 | \$ 8.86 |
| Irrigation Investment | 137.35 | 137.35 | 137.35 | 137.35 | 137.35 |
| Mach. Depreciation | 15.74 | 11.58 | 11.86 | 4.27 | 14.50 |
| Land Investment | 16.80 | 16.80 | 16.80 | 16.80 | 16.80 |
| Sum of Listed Direct Costs | \$180.79 | \$174.10 | \$174.55 | \$162.32 | \$178.80 |
| Sum of All Listed Costs | \$401.96 | \$387.28 | \$363.38 | \$321.10 | \$338.80 |
| Return to Unpaid Labor and Management |  |  |  |  |  |
| Non-participation | -\$161.96 | -\$ 87.28 | -\$198.38 | \$ 8.90 | \$ 57.20 |
| Planting 92.5\% of Base |  |  |  |  |  |
| Deficiency Payment(+) | \$ 53.45 | \$ 53.45 |  |  |  |
| Cost of Setaside(-) | 2.97 | 2.97 |  |  |  |
| Return | -111.48 | -36.80 |  |  |  |
| Planting 77.5\% of Base |  |  |  |  |  |
| Deficiency Payment(+) | \$ 63.80 | \$ 63.80 |  |  |  |
| Cost of Setaside(-) | 3.54 | 3.54 |  |  |  |
| Return | -101.70 | -27.02 |  |  |  |


#### Abstract

Table D-11 - Tobacco Garden Alternative 5

No Cost-Share, 10 Percent Interest


Pumping Cost (Irrigation Electricity) $\$ 2.75$ per acre-inch

|  | Corn |  | Alfalfa |  | Drybeans |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Establishment | Established |  |
|  | Grain | Silage | Year | Year |  |
| Market Income | \$240.00 | \$300.00 | \$165.00 | \$330.00 | \$396.00 |
| Direct Costs |  |  |  |  |  |
| Seed | \$ 28.00 | \$ 19.50 | \$ 41.25 | \$ 0.00 | \$ 27.00 |
| Herbicides | 22.53 | 22.53 | 6.82 | 0.00 | 18.90 |
| Fungicides | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Insecticides | 14.09 | 14.09 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Fertilizer | 29.66 | 44.60 | 24.31 | 48.62 | 23.47 |
| Crop Insurance | 8.00 | 8.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 10.00 |
| Fuel \& Lubrication | 7.11 | 15.87 | 12.11 | 7.83 | 9.72 |
| Repairs | 8.06 | 9.32 | 9.24 | 5.41 | 7.39 |
| Drying | 12.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Hauling | 12.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 4.40 |
| Irrigation Electricity | 56.58 | 56.58 | 70.74 | 70.74 | 40.15 |
| Irrigation Repairs | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 |
| Soil Testing, Other | 1.05 | 1.05 | 3.85 | 6.60 | 1.20 |
| Interest | 10.53 | 10.08 | 7.56 | 6.63 | 7.83 |
| Sum of Listed Direct Costs | \$215.61 | \$207.62 | \$181.88 | \$151.83 | \$156.06 |
| Indirect (Fixed) Costs |  |  |  |  |  |
| Land Taxes | \$ 1.29 | \$ 1.29 | \$ 1.29 | \$ 1.29 | \$ 1.29 |
| Mach. Investment | 9.61 | 7.08 | 7.25 | 2.61 | 8.86 |
| Irrigation Investment | 416.42 | 416.42 | 416.42 | 416.42 | 416.42 |
| Mach. Depreciation | 15.74 | 11.58 | 11.86 | 4.27 | 14.50 |
| Land Investment | 16.80 | 16.80 | 16.80 | 16.80 | 16.80 |
| Sum of Listed Direct Costs | \$459.86 | \$453.17 | \$453. 62 | \$441.39 | \$457.87 |
| Sum of All Listed Costs | \$675.47 | \$660.79 | \$635.50 | \$593.22 | \$613.93 |
| Return to Unpaid Labor and Management |  |  |  |  |  |
| Non-participation | -\$435.47 | -\$360.79 | $-\$ 470.50$ | -\$263.22 | -\$217.93 |
| Planting 92.5\% of Base |  |  |  |  |  |
| Deficiency Payment(+) | \$ 53.45 | \$ 53.45 | 1 |  |  |
| Cost of Setaside(-) | 2.97 | 2.97 |  |  |  |
| Return | -384.99 | -310.31 | , |  |  |
| Planting $77.5 \%$ of Base |  |  |  |  |  |
| Deficiency Payment(+) | \$ 63.80 | \$ 63.80 |  |  |  |
| Cost of Setaside(-) | 3.54 | 3.54 |  |  |  |
| Return | -375.21 | -300.53 | , |  |  |

Table D-12 - Tobacco Garden Alternative 5

No Cost-Share, 5.5 Percent Interest
Pumping Cost (Irrigation Electricity) \$2.75 per acre-inch

|  | Corn |  | Alfalfa |  | Drybeans |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Establishment | Established |  |
|  | Grain | Silage | Year | Year |  |
| Market Income | \$240.00 | \$300.00 | \$165.00 | \$330.00 | \$396.00 |
| Direct Costs |  |  |  |  |  |
| Seed | \$ 28.00 | \$ 19.50 | \$ 41.25 | \$ 0.00 | \$ 27.00 |
| Herbicides | 22.53 | 22.53 | 6.82 | 0.00 | 18.90 |
| Fungicides | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Insecticides | 14.09 | 14.09 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Fertilizer | 29.66 | 44.60 | 24.31 | 48.62 | 23.47 |
| Crop Insurance | 8.00 | 8.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 10.00 |
| Fuel \& Lubrication | 7.11 | 15.87 | 12.11 | 7.83 | 9.72 |
| Repairs | 8.06 | 9.32 | 9.24 | 5.41 | 7.39 |
| Drying | 12.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Hauling | 12.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 4.40 |
| Irrigation Electricity | 56.58 | 56.58 | 70.74 | 70.74 | 40.15 |
| Irrigation Repairs | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 |
| Soil Testing, Other | 1.05 | 1.05 | 3.85 | 6.60 | 1.20 |
| Interest | 10.53 | 10.08 | 7.56 | 6.63 | 7.83 |
| Sum of Listed Direct Costs | \$215.61 | \$207.62 | \$181.88 | \$151.83 | \$156.06 |
| Indirect (Fixed) Costs |  |  |  |  |  |
| Land Taxes | \$ 1.29 | \$ 1.29 | \$ 1.29 | \$ 1.29 | \$ 1.29 |
| Mach. Investment | 9.61 | 7.08 | 7.25 | 2.61 | 8.86 |
| Irrigation Investment | 296.63 | 296.63 | 296.63 | 296.63 | 296.63 |
| Mach. Depreciation | 15.74 | 11.58 | 11.86 | 4.27 | 14.50 |
| Land Investment | 16.80 | 16.80 | 16.80 | 16.80 | 16.80 |
| Sum of Listed Direct Costs | \$340.07 | \$333.38 | \$333.83 | \$321.60 | \$338.08 |
| Sum of All Listed Costs | \$555.68 | \$541.00 | \$515.71 | \$473.43 | \$494.14 |
| Return to Unpaid Labor and Management |  |  |  |  |  |
| Non-participation | -\$315.68 | -\$241.00 | -\$350.71 | -\$143.43 | -\$ 98.14 |
| Planting $92.5 \%$ of Base |  |  |  |  |  |
| Deficiency Payment(+) | \$ 53.45 | \$ 53.45 |  |  |  |
| Cost of Setaside(-) | 2.97 | 2.97 |  |  |  |
| Return | -265.20 | -190.52 |  |  |  |
| Planting $77.5 \%$ of Base |  |  |  |  |  |
| Deficiency Payment(+) | \$ 63.80 | \$ 63.80 |  |  |  |
| Cost of Setaside(-) | 3.54 | \$ 3.54 |  |  |  |
| Return | -255.42 | -180.74 | . |  |  |

# Table D-13 - Tobacco Garden Alternative 5 

## No Cost-Share, 4 Percent Interest

Pumping Cost (Irrigation Electricity) \$2.75 per acre-inch

|  | Corn |  | Alfalfa |  | Drybeans |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Establishment | Established |  |
|  | Grain | Silage | Year | Year |  |
| Market Income | \$240.00 | \$300.00 | \$165.00 | \$330.00 | \$396.00 |
| Direct Costs |  |  |  |  |  |
| Seed | \$ 28.00 | \$ 19.50 | \$ 41.25 | \$ 0.00 | \$ 27.00 |
| Herbicides | 22.53 | 22.53 | 6.82 | 0.00 | 18.90 |
| Fungicides | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Insecticides | 14.09 | 14.09 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Fertilizer | 29.66 | 44.60 | 24.31 | 48.62 | 23.47 |
| Crop Insurance | 8.00 | 8.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 10.00 |
| Fuel \& Lubrication | 7.11 | 15.87 | 12.11 | 7.83 | 9.72 |
| Repairs | 8.06 | 9.32 | 9.24 | 5.41 | 7.39 |
| Drying | 12.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Hauling | 12.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 4.40 |
| Irrigation Electricity | 56.58 | 56.58 | 70.74 | 70.74 | 40.15 |
| Irrigation Repairs | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 |
| Soil Testing, Other | 1.05 | 1.05 | 3.85 | 6.60 | 1.20 |
| Interest | 10.53 | 10.08 | 7.56 | 6.63 | 7.83 |
| Sum of Listed Direct Costs | \$215.61 | \$207.62 | \$181.88 | \$151.83 | \$156.06 |
| Indirect (Fixed) Costs |  |  |  |  |  |
| Land Taxes | \$ 1.29 | \$ 1.29 | \$ 1.29 | \$ 1.29 | \$ 1.29 |
| Mach. Investment | 9.61 | 7.08 | 7.25 | 2.61 | 8.86 |
| Irrigation Investment | 260.84 | 260.84 | 260.84 | 260.84 | 260.84 |
| Mach. Depreciation | 15.74 | 11.58 | 11.86 | 4.27 | 14.50 |
| Land Investment | 16.80 | 16.80 | 16.80 | 16.80 | 16.80 |
| Sum of Listed Direct Costs | \$304.28 | \$297.59 | \$298.04 | \$285.81 | \$302.29 |
| Sum of All Listed Costs | \$519.89 | \$505.21 | \$479.92 | \$437.64 | \$458.35 |
| Return to Unpaid Labor and Management |  |  |  |  |  |
| Non-participation | -\$279.89 | -\$205.21 | -\$314.92 | -\$107.64 | -\$ 62.35 |
| Planting $92.5 \%$ of Base |  |  |  |  |  |
| Deficiency Payment (+) | \$ 53.45 | \$ 53.45 |  |  |  |
| Cost of Setaside(-) | 2.97 | 2.97 |  |  |  |
| Return | -229.41 | -154.73 |  |  |  |
| Planting 77.5\% of Base |  |  |  |  |  |
| Deficiency Payment (+) | \$ 63.80 | \$ 63.80 |  |  |  |
| Cost of Setaside(-) | 3.54 | 3.54 |  |  |  |
| Return | -219.63 | -144.95 | . |  |  |

## Table D-14 - Tobacco Garden Alternative 5

## 40 Percent Cost-Share, 10 Percent Interest

Pumping Cost (Irrigation Electricity) $\$ 2.75$ per acre-inch

|  | Coxn |  | Alfalfa |  | Drybeans |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Establishment | Established |  |
|  | Grain | Silage | Year | Year |  |
| Market Income | \$240.00 | \$300.00 | \$165.00 | \$330.00 | \$396.00 |
| Direct Costs |  |  |  |  |  |
| seed | \$ 28.00 | \$ 19.50 | \$ 41.25 | \$ 0.00 | \$27.00 |
| Herbicides | 22.53 | 22.53 | 6.82 | 0.00 | 18.90 |
| Fungicides | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Insecticides | 14.09 | 14.09 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Fertilizer | 29.66 | 44.60 | 24.31 | 48.62 | 23.47 |
| Crop Insurance | 8.00 | 8.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 10.00 |
| Fuel \& Lubrication | 7.11 | 15.87 | 12.11 | 7.83 | 9.72 |
| Repairs | 8.06 | 9.32 | 9.24 | 5.41 | 7.39 |
| Drying | 12.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Hauling | 12.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 4.40 |
| Irrigation Electricity | 56.58 | 56.58 | 70.74 | 70.74 | 40.15 |
| Irrigation Repairs | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 |
| Soil Testing, Other | 1.05 | 1.05 | 3.85 | 6.60 | 1.20 |
| Interest | 10.53 | 10.08 | 7.56 | 6.63 | 7.83 |
| Sum of Listed Direct Costs | \$215.61 | \$207. 62 | \$181.88 | \$151.83 | \$156.06 |
| Indirect (Fixed) Costs |  |  |  |  |  |
| Land Taxes | \$ 1.29 | \$ 1.29 | \$ 1.29 | \$ 1.29 | \$ 1.29 |
| Mach. Investment | 9.61 | 7.08 | 7.25 | 2.61 | 8.86 |
| Irrigation Investment | 272.37 | 272.37 | 272.37 | 272.37 | 272.37 |
| Mach. Depreciation | 15.74 | 11.58 | 11.86 | 4.27 | 14.50 |
| Land Investment | 16.80 | 16.80 | 16.80 | 16.80 | 16.80 |
| Sum of Listed Direct Costs | \$315.81 | \$309.12 | \$309.57 | \$297.34 | \$313.82 |
| Sum of All Listed Costs | \$531.42 | \$516.74 | \$491.45 | \$449.17 | \$469.88 |
| Return to Unpaid Labor and Management |  |  |  |  |  |
| Non-participation | -\$291.42 | -\$216.74 | -\$326.45 | -\$119.17 | -\$ 73.88 |
| Planting $92.5 \%$ of Base |  |  |  |  |  |
| Deficiency Payment (+) | \$ 53.45 | \$ 53.45 |  |  |  |
| Cost of Setaside(-) | 2.97 | 2.97 |  |  |  |
| Return | -240.94 | -166.26 |  |  |  |
| Planting 77.5\% of Base |  |  |  |  |  |
| Deficiency Payment (+) | \$ 63.80 | \$ 63.80 |  |  |  |
| Cost of Setaside(-) | 3.54 | + 3.54 |  |  |  |
| Return | -231.16 | -156.48 | * |  |  |

# Table D-15 - Tobacco Garden Alternative 5 

## 40 Percent Cost-Share, 5.5 Percent Interest

Pumping Cost (Irrigation Electricity) \$2.75 per acre-inch

|  | Corn |  | Alfalfa |  | Drybeans |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Establishment | Established |  |
|  | Grain | Silage | Year | Year |  |
| Market Income | \$240.00 | \$300.00 | \$165.00 | \$330.00 | \$396.00 |
| Direct Costs |  |  |  |  |  |
| Seed | \$ 28.00 | \$ 19.50 | \$ 41.25 | \$ 0.00 | \$ 27.00 |
| Herbicides | 22.53 | 22.53 | 6.82 | 0.00 | 18.90 |
| Fungicides | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Insecticides | 14.09 | 14.09 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Fertilizer | 29.66 | 44.60 | 24.31 | 48.62 | 23.47 |
| Crop Insurance | 8.00 | 8.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 10.00 |
| Fuel \& Lubrication | 7.11 | 15.87 | 12.11 | 7.83 | 9.72 |
| Repairs | 8.06 | 9.32 | 9.24 | 5.41 | 7.39 |
| Drying | 12.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Hauling | 12.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 4.40 |
| Irrigation Electricity | 56.58 | 56.58 | 70.74 | 70.74 | 40.15 |
| Irrigation Repairs | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 |
| Soil Testing, Other | 1.05 | 1.05 | 3.85 | 6.60 | 1.20 |
| Interest | 10.53 | 10.08 | 7.56 | 6.63 | 7.83 |
| Sum of Listed Direct Costs | \$215.61 | \$207.62 | \$181.88 | \$151.83 | \$156.06 |
| Indirect (Fixed) Costs |  |  |  |  |  |
| Land Taxes | \$ 1.29 | \$ 1.29 | \$ 1.29 | \$ 1.29 | \$ 1.29 |
| Mach. Investment | 9.61 | 7.08 | 7.25 | 2.61 | 8.86 |
| Irrigation Investment | 194.02 | 194.02 | 194.02 | 194.02 | 199.02 |
| Mach. Depreciation | 15.74 | 11.58 | 11.86 | 4.27 | 14.50 |
| Land Investment | 16.80 | 16.80 | 16.80 | 16.80 | 16.80 |
| Sum of Listed Direct Costs | \$237.46 | \$230.77 | \$231.22 | \$218.99 | \$235.47 |
| Sum of All Listed Costs | \$453.07 | \$438.39 | \$413.10 | \$370.82 | \$391.53 |
| Return to Unpaid Labor and Management |  |  |  |  |  |
| Non-participation | -\$213.07 | -\$138.39 | -\$248.10 | -\$ 40.82 | \$ 4.47 |
| Planting 92.5\% of Base |  |  |  |  |  |
| Deficiency Payment(+) | \$ 53.45 | \$ 53.45 | 1 |  |  |
| Cost of Setaside(-) | 2.97 | 2.97 |  |  |  |
| Return | -162.59 | -87.91 | ; |  |  |
| Planting 77.5\% of Base |  |  |  |  |  |
| Deficiency Payment(+) | \$ 63.80 | \$ 63.80 |  |  |  |
| Cost of Setaside(-) | 3.54 | 3.54 |  |  |  |
| Return | -152.81 | -78.13 | . |  |  |

# Table D-16 - Charbonneau/Timber Creek Area 

Alternative 4

No Cost Share, 10 Percent Interest
Pumping Cost (Irrigation Electricity) \$3.25 per acre-inch

|  | Corn |  | Alfalfa |  | Drybeans |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Establishment | Established |  |
|  | Grain | Silaqe | Year | Year |  |
| Market Income | \$240.00 | \$300.00 | \$165.00 | \$330.00 | \$396.00 |
| Direct Costs |  |  |  |  |  |
| Seed | \$ 28.00 | \$ 19.50 | \$ 41.25 | \$ 0.00 | \$ 27.00 |
| Herbicides | 22.53 | + 22.53 | 6.82 | 0.00 | 18.90 |
| Fungicides | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Insecticides | 14.09 | 14.09 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Fertilizer | 29.66 | 44.60 | 24.31 | 48.62 | 23.47 |
| Crop Insurance | 8.00 | 8.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 10.00 |
| Fuel \& Lubrication | 7.11 | 15.87 | 12.11 | 7.83 | 9.72 |
| Repairs | 8.06 | 9.32 | 9.24 | 5.41 | 7.39 |
| Drying | 12.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Hauling | 12.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 4.40 |
| Irrigation Electricity | 66.87 | 66.87 | 83.61 | 83.61 | 47.45 |
| Irrigation Repairs | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 |
| Soil Testing, Other | 1.05 | 1.05 | 3.85 | 6.60 | 1.20 |
| Interest | 10.53 | 10.08 | 7.56 | 6.63 | 7.83 |
| Sum of Listed Direct Costs | \$225.90 | \$217.91 | \$194.75 | \$164.70 | \$163.36 |
| Indirect (Fixed) Costs |  |  |  |  |  |
| Land Taxes | \$ 1.29 | \$ 1.29 | \$ 1.29 | \$ 1.29 | \$ 1.29 |
| Mach. Investment | 9.61 | 7.08 | 7.25 | 2.61 | 8.86 |
| Irrigation Investment | 418.50 | 418.50 | 418.50 | 418.50 | 418.50 |
| Mach. Depreciation | 15.74 | 11.58 | 11.86 | 4.27 | 14.50 |
| Land Investment | 16.80 | 16.80 | 16.80 | 16.80 | 16.80 |
| Sum of Listed Direct Costs | \$461.94 | \$455.25 | \$455.70 | \$443.47 | \$459.95 |
| Sum of All Listed Costs | \$687.84 | \$673.16 | \$650.45 | \$608.17 | \$623.31 |
| Return to Unpaid Labor and Management |  |  |  |  |  |
| Non-participation | -\$447.84 | -\$373.16 | -\$485.45 | -\$278.17 | -\$227.31 |
| Planting $92.5 \%$ of Base |  |  |  |  |  |
| Deficiency Payment(+) | \$ 53.45 | \$ 53.45 |  |  |  |
| Cost of Setaside(-) | 2.97 | 2.97 |  |  |  |
| Return | -397.36 | -322.68 |  |  |  |
| Planting 77.5\% of Base |  |  |  |  |  |
| Deficiency Payment(+) | \$ 63.80 | \$ 63.80 |  |  |  |
| Cost of Setaside(-) | 3.54 | 3.54 |  |  |  |
| Return | -387.58 | -312.90 | . |  |  |

# Table D-17 - Charbonneau/Timber Creek Area 

Alternative 4
No Cost-Share, 5.5 Percent Interest
Pumping Cost (Irrigation Electricity) $\$ 3.25$ per acre-inch

|  | Corn |  | Alfalfa |  | Drybeans |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Establishment | Established |  |
|  | Grain | Silage | Year | Year |  |
| Market Income | \$240.00 | \$300.00 | \$165.00 | \$330.00 | \$396.00 |
| Direct Costs |  |  |  |  |  |
| Seed | \$ 28.00 | \$ 19.50 | \$ 41.25 | \$ 0.00 | \$ 27.00 |
| Herbicides | 22.53 | 22.53 | 6.82 | 0.00 | 18.90 |
| Fungicides | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Insecticides | 14.09 | 14.09 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Fertilizer | 29.66 | 44.60 | 24.31 | 48.62 | 23.47 |
| Crop Insurance | 8.00 | 8.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 10.00 |
| Fuel \& Lubrication | 7.11 | 15.87 | 12.11 | 7.83 | 9.72 |
| Repairs | 8.06 | 9.32 | 9.24 | 5.41 | 7.39 |
| Drying | 12.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Hauling | 12.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 4.40 |
| Irrigation Electricity | 66.87 | 66.87 | 83.61 | 83.61 | 47.45 |
| Irrigation Repairs | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 |
| Soil Testing, Other | 1.05 | 1.05 | 3.85 | 6.60 | 1.20 |
| Interest | 10.53 | 10.08 | 7.56 | 6.63 | 7.83 |
| Sum of Listed Direct Costs | \$225.90 | \$217.91 | \$194.75 | \$164.70 | \$163.36 |
| Indirect (Fixed) Costs |  |  |  |  |  |
| Land Taxes | \$ 1.29 | \$ 1.29 | \$ 1.29 | \$ 1.29 | \$ 1.29 |
| Mach. Investment | 9.61 | 7.08 | 7.25 | 2.61 | 8.86 |
| Irrigation Investment | 298.12 | 298.12 | 298.12 | 298.12 | 298.12 |
| Mach. Depreciation | 15.74 | 11.58 | 11.86 | 4.27 | 14.50 |
| Land Investment | 16.80 | 16.80 | 16.80 | 16.80 | 16.80 |
| Sum of Listed Direct Costs | \$341. 56 | \$334.87 | \$335.32 | \$323.09 | \$339.57 |
| Sum of All Listed Costs | \$567.46 | \$552.78 | \$530.07 | \$487.79 | \$502.93 |
| Return to Unpaid Labor and Management |  |  |  |  |  |
| Non-participation | -\$327.46 | -\$252.78 | -\$365.07 | -\$157.79 | -\$106.93 |
| Planting $92.5 \%$ of Base |  |  |  |  |  |
| Deficiency Payment(+) | \$ 53.45 | \$ 53.45 |  |  |  |
| Cost of Setaside(-) | 2.97 | 2.97 |  |  |  |
| Return | -276.98 | -202.30 |  |  |  |
| Planting 77.5\% of Base |  |  |  |  |  |
| Deficiency Payment(+) | \$ 63.80 | \$ 63.80 |  |  |  |
| Cost of Setaside(-) | 3.54 | 3.54 |  |  |  |
| Return | -267.20 | -192.52 | - |  |  |

No Cost-Share, 4 Percent Interest
Pumping Cost (Irrigation Electricity) $\$ 3.25$ per acre-inch

|  | Corn |  | Alfalfa |  | Drybeans |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Establishment | Established |  |
|  | Grain | Silage | Year | Year |  |
| Market Income | \$240.00 | \$300.00 | \$165.00 | \$330.00 | \$396.00 |
| Direct Costs |  |  |  |  |  |
| seed | \$ 28.00 | \$ 19.50 | \$ 41.25 | \$ 0.00 | \$ 27.00 |
| Herbicides | 22.53 | 22.53 | 6.82 | 0.00 | 18.90 |
| Fungicides | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Insecticides | 14.09 | 14.09 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Fertilizer | 29.66 | 44.60 | 24.31 | 48.62 | 23.47 |
| Crop Insurance | 8.00 | 8.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 10.00 |
| Fuel \& Lubrication | 7.11 | 15.87 | 12.11 | 7.83 | 9.72 |
| Repairs | 8.06 | 9.32 | 9.24 | 5.41 | 7.39 |
| Drying | 12.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Hauling | 12.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 4.40 |
| Irrigation Electricity | 66.87 | 66.87 | 83.61 | 83.61 | 47.45 |
| Irrigation Repairs | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 |
| Soil Testing, Other | 1.05 | 1.05 | 3.85 | 6.60 | 1.20 |
| Interest | 10.53 | 10.08 | 7.56 | 6.63 | 7.83 |
| Sum of Listed Direct Costs | \$225.90 | \$217.91 | \$194.75 | \$164.70 | \$163.36 |
| Indirect (Fixed) Costs |  |  |  |  |  |
| Land Taxes | \$ 1.29 | \$ 1.29 | \$ 1.29 | \$ 1.29 | \$ 1.29 |
| Mach. Investment | 9.61 | 7.08 | 7.25 | 2.61 | 8.86 |
| Irrigation Investment | 262.14 | 262.14 | 262.14 | 262.14 | 262.14 |
| Mach. Depreciation | 15.74 | 11.58 | 11.86 | 4.27 | 14.50 |
| Land Investment | 16.80 | 16.80 | 16.80 | 16.80 | 16.80 |
| Sum of Listed Direct Costs | \$305.58 | \$298.89 | \$229.34 | \$287.11 | \$303.59 |
| Sum of All Listed Costs | \$531.48 | \$516.80 | \$494.09 | \$451.81 | \$466.95 |
| Return to Unpaid Labor and Management |  |  |  |  |  |
| Non-participation | -\$291.48 | -\$216.80 | -\$329.09 | -\$121.81 | -\$ 70.95 |
| Planting 92.5\% of Base |  |  |  |  |  |
| Deficiency Payment(+) | \$ 53.45 | \$ 53.45 |  |  |  |
| Cost of Setaside(-) | 2.97 | 2.97 |  |  |  |
| Return | -241.00 | -166.32 |  |  |  |
| Planting 77.5\% of Base |  |  |  |  |  |
| Deficiency Payment(+) | \$ 63.80 | \$ 63.80 |  |  |  |
| Cost of Setaside(-) | 3.54 | 3.54 |  |  |  |
| Return | -231.22 | -156.54 | . |  |  |

# Table D-19 - Charbonneau/Timber Creek Area Alternative 4 

40 Percent Cost Share, 10 Percent Interest
Pumping Cost (Irrigation Electricity) $\$ 3.25$ per acre-inch

|  | Corn |  | Alfalfa |  | Drybeans |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Establishment | Established |  |
|  | Grain | Silage | Year | Year |  |
| Market Income | \$240.00 | \$300.00 | \$165.00 | \$330.00 | \$396.00 |
| Direct Costs |  |  |  |  |  |
| seed | \$ 28.00 | \$ 19.50 | \$ 41.25 | \$ 0.00 | \$ 27.00 |
| Herbicides | 22.53 | 22.53 | 6.82 | \$ 0.00 | + 18.90 |
| Fungicides | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Insecticides | 14.09 | 14.09 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Fertilizer | 29.66 | 44.60 | 24.31 | 48.62 | 23.47 |
| Crop Insurance Fuel \& Lubrication | 8.00 | 8.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 10.00 |
| Fuel \& Lubrication | 7.11 | 15.87 | 12.11 | 7.83 | 9.72 |
| Repairs | 8.06 | 9.32 | 9.24 | 5.41 | 7.39 |
| Drying | 12.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Hauling | 12.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 4.40 |
| Irrigation Electricity | 66.87 6.00 | 66.87 6.00 | 83.61 6.00 | 83.61 6.00 | 47.45 6.00 |
| Soil Testing, Other | 1.05 | 1.05 | 3.85 | 6.60 | 6.00 1.20 |
| Interest | 10.53 | 10.08 | 7.56 | 6.63 | 7.83 |
| Sum of Listed Direct Costs | \$225.90 | \$217.91 | \$194.75 | \$164.70 | \$163.36 |
| Indirect (Fixed) Costs |  |  |  |  |  |
| Land Taxes | \$ 1.29 | \$ 1.29 | \$ 1.29 | \$ 1.29 | \$ 1.29 |
| Mach. Investment | 9.61 | 7.08 | 7.25 | \$ 2.61 | 8.86 |
| Irrigation Investment | 274.09 | 274.09 | 274.09 | 274.09 | 274.09 |
| Mach. Depreciation | 15.74 | 11.58 | 11.86 | 4.27 | 14.50 |
| Land Investment | 16.80 | 16.80 | 16.80 | 16.80 | 16.80 |
| Sum of Listed Direct Costs | \$317.53 | \$310.84 | \$311.29 | \$299.06 | \$315.54 |
| Sum of All Listed Costs | \$543.43 | \$528.75 | \$506.04 | \$463.76 | \$478.90 |
| Return to Unpaid Labor and Management |  |  |  |  |  |
| Non-participation | -\$303.43 | -\$228.75 | -\$341.04 | -\$133.76 | -\$ 82.90 |
| Planting 92.5\% of Base |  |  |  |  |  |
| Deficiency Payment(+) | \$ 53.45 | \$ 53.45 | I |  |  |
| Cost of Setaside(-) | 2.97 | 2.97 |  |  |  |
| Return | -252.95 | -178.27 | ; |  |  |
| Planting $77.5 \%$ of Base |  |  |  |  |  |
| Deficiency Payment ( + ) | \$ 63.80 | \$ 63.80 |  |  |  |
| Cost of Setaside(-) | 3.54 | 3.54 |  |  |  |
| Return | -243.17 | -168.49 |  |  |  |

# Table D-20 - Charbonneau/Timber Creek Area Alternative 4 

## 40 Percent Cost-Share, 5.5 Percent Interest

Pumping Cost (Irrigation Electricity) $\$ 3.25$ per acre-inch

|  | Corn |  | Alfalfa |  | Drybeans |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Establishment | Established |  |
|  | Grain | Silage | Year | Year |  |
| Market Income | \$240.00 | \$300.00 | \$165.00 | \$330.00 | \$396.00 |
| Direct Costs |  |  |  |  |  |
| Seed | \$ 28.00 | \$. 19.50 | \$ 41.25 | \$ 0.00 | \$ 27.00 |
| Herbicides | 22.53 | 22.53 | 6.82 | 0.00 | 18.90 |
| Fungicides | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Insecticides | 14.09 | 14.09 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Fertilizer | 29.66 | 44.60 | 24.31 | 48.62 | 23.47 |
| Crop Insurance | 8.00 | 8.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 10.00 |
| Fuel \& Lubrication | 7.11 | 15.87 | 12.11 | 7.83 | 9.72 |
| Repairs | 8.06 | 9.32 | 9.24 | 5.41 | 7.39 |
| Drying | 12.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Hauling | 12.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 4.40 |
| Irrigation Electricity | 66.87 | 66.87 | 83.61 | 83.61 | 47.45 |
| Irrigation Repairs | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 |
| Soil Testing, Other | 1.05 | 1.05 | 3.85 | 6.60 | 1.20 |
| Interest | 10.53 | 10.08 | 7.56 | 6.63 | 7.83 |
| Sum of Listed Direct Costs | \$225.90 | \$217.91 | \$194.75 | \$164.70 | \$163.36 |
| Indirect (Fixed) Costs |  |  |  |  |  |
| Land Taxes | \$ 1.29 | \$ 1.29 | \$ 1.29 | \$ 1.29 | \$ 1.29 |
| Mach. Investment | 9.61 | 7.08 | 7.25 | 2.61 | 8.86 |
| Irrigation Investment | 195.24 | 195.24 | 195.24 | 195.24 | 195.24 |
| Mach. Depreciation | 15.74 | 11.58 | 11.86 | 4.27 | 14.50 |
| Land Investment | 16.80 | 16.80 | 16.80 | 16.80 | 16.80 |
| Sum of Listed Direct Costs | \$238.68 | \$231.99 | \$232.44 | \$220.21 | \$236.69 |
| Sum of All Listed Costs | \$464.58 | \$449.90 | \$427.19 | \$384.91 | \$400.05 |
| Return to Unpaid Labor and Management |  |  |  |  |  |
| Non-participation | -\$224.58 | -\$149.90 | -\$262.19 | -\$ 54.91 | -\$ 4.05 |
| Planting $92.5 \%$ of Base |  |  |  |  |  |
| Deficiency Payment(+) | \$ 53.45 | \$ 53.45 |  |  |  |
| Cost of Setaside(-) | 2.97 | 2.97 |  |  |  |
| Return | -174.10 | -99.42 |  |  |  |
| Planting 77.5\% of Base |  |  |  |  |  |
| Deficiency Payment(+) | \$ 63.80 | \$ 63.80 |  |  |  |
| Cost of Setaside(-) | 3.54 | 3.54 |  |  |  |
| Return | -164.32 | -89.64 | - |  |  |

No Cost Share, 10 Percent Interest
Pumping Cost (Irrigation Electricity) \$3.18 per acre-inch

|  | corn |  | Alfalfa |  | Drybeans |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Establishment | Established |  |
|  | Grain | Silage | Year | Year |  |
| Market Income | \$240.00 | \$300.00 | \$165.00 | \$330.00 | \$396.00 |
| Direct Costs |  |  |  |  |  |
| seed | \$ 28.00 | \$. 19.50 | \$ 41.25 | \$ 0.00 | \$ 27.00 |
| Herbicides | 22.53 | 22.53 | 6.82 | 0.00 | 18.90 |
| Fungicides | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Insecticides | 14.09 | 14.09 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Fertilizer | 29.66 | 44.60 | 24.31 | 48.62 | 23.47 |
| Crop Insurance | 8.00 | 8.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 10.00 |
| Fuel \& Lubrication | 7.11 | 15.87 | 12.11 | 7.83 | 9.72 |
| Repairs | 8.06 | 9.32 | 9.24 | 5.41 | 7.39 |
| Drying | 12.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Hauling | 12.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 4.40 |
| Irrigation Electricity | 65.43 | 65.43 | 81.81 | 81.81 | 46.43 |
| Irrigation Repairs | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 |
| Soil Testing, Other | 1.05 | 1.05 | 3.85 | 6.60 | 1.20 |
| Interest | 10.53 | 10.08 | 7.56 | 6.63 | 7.83 |
| Sum of Listed Direct Costs | \$224.46 | \$216.47 | \$192.95 | \$162.90 | \$162.34 |
| Indirect (Fixed) Costs |  |  |  |  |  |
| Land Taxes | \$ 1.29 | \$ 1.29 | \$ 1.29 | \$ 1.29 | \$ 1.29 |
| Mach. Investment | 9.61 | 7.08 | 7.25 | 2.61 | 8.86 |
| Irrigation Investment | 235.51 | 235.51 | 235.51 | 235.51 | 235.51 |
| Mach. Depreciation | 15.74 | 11.58 | 11.86 | 4.27 | 14.50 |
| Land Investment | 16.80 | 16.80 | 16.80 | 16.80 | 16.80 |
| Sum of Listed Direct Costs | \$278.95 | \$272.26 | \$272.71 | \$260.48 | \$276.96 |
| Sum of All Listed Costs | \$503.41 | \$488.73 | \$465.66 | \$423.38 | \$439.30 |
| Return to Unpaid Labor and Management |  |  |  |  |  |
| Non-participation | -\$263.41 | -\$188.73 | -\$300.66 | -\$ 93.38 | -\$ 43.30 |
| Planting 92.5\% of Base |  |  |  |  |  |
| Deficiency Payment(+) | \$ 53.45 | \$ 53.45 |  |  |  |
| Cost of Setaside(-) | 2.97 | 2.97 |  |  |  |
| Return | -212.93 | -138.25 |  |  |  |
| Planting 77.5\% of Base |  |  |  |  |  |
| Deficiency Payment(+) | \$ 63.80 | \$ 63.80 |  |  |  |
| Cost of Setaside(-) | 3.54 | 3.54 |  |  |  |
| Return | -203.15 | -128.47 |  |  |  |

Table D-22 - Charlson/Timber Creek Area
Alternative 5

|  |  |  | Alfa |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | In | Establishment | Established |  |
|  | Grain | Silage | Year | year | Drybeans |
| Market Income | \$240.00 | \$300.00 | \$165.00 | \$330.00 | \$396.00 |
| Direct Costs |  |  |  |  |  |
| Seed | \$ 28.00 | \$ 19.50 | \$ 41.25 | \$ 0.00 | \$ 27.00 |
| Herbicides | 22.53 | 22.53 | 6.82 | 0.00 | 18.90 |
| Fungicides | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Insecticides | 14.09 | 14.09 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Fertilizer | 29.66 | 44.60 | 24.31 | 48.62 | 23.47 |
| Crop Insurance | 8.00 | 8.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 10.00 |
| Fuel \& Lubrication | 7.11 | 15.87 | 12.11 | 7.83 | 9.72 |
| Repairs | 8.06 | 9.32 | 9.24 | 5.41 | 7.39 |
| Drying | 12.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Hauling | 12.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 4.40 |
| Irrigation Electricity | 65.43 | 65.43 | 81.81 | 81.81 | 46.93 |
| Irrigation Repairs | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 |
| Soil Testing, Other | 1.05 | 1.05 | 3.85 | 6.60 | 1.20 |
| Interest | 10.53 | 10.08 | 7.56 | 6.63 | 7.83 |
| Sum of Listed Direct Costs | \$224.46 | \$216.47 | \$192.95 | \$162.90 | \$162.34 |
| Indirect (Fixed) Costs |  |  |  |  |  |
| Land Taxes | \$ 1.29 | \$ 1.29 | \$ 1.29 | \$ 1.29 | \$ 1.29 |
| Mach. Investment | 9.61 | 7.08 | 7.25 | + 2.61 | \$ 8.86 |
| Irrigation Investment | 167.76 | 167.76 | 167.76 | 167.67 | 167.67 |
| Mach. Depreciation | 15.74 | 11.58 | 11.86 | 4.27 | 14.50 |
| Land Investment | 16.80 | 16.80 | 16.80 | 16.80 | 16.80 |
| Sum of Listed Direct Costs | \$211. 20 | \$204.51 | \$204.96 | \$192.73 | \$209.21 |
| Sum of All Listed Costs | \$435.66 | \$420.98 | \$397.91 | \$355.63 | \$371.55 |
| Return to Unpaid Labor and Management |  |  |  |  |  |
| Non-participation | -\$195.66 | -\$120.98 | -\$232.91 | -\$ 25.63 | \$ 24.45 |
| Planting $92.5 \%$ of Base | , |  |  |  |  |
| Deficiency Payment(+) | \$ 53.45 | \$ 53.45 |  |  |  |
| Cost of Setaside(-) | 2.97 | 2.97 |  |  |  |
| Return | -145.18 | -70.50 |  |  |  |
| Planting 77.5\% of Base | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| Deficiency Payment (+) | \$ 63.80 | \$ 63.80 |  |  |  |
| Cost of Setaside(-) | 3.54 | + 3.54 | . |  |  |
| Return | -135.40 | -60.72 | . |  |  |

No Cost-Share, 4 Percent Interest
Pumping Cost (Irrigation Electricity) $\$ 3.18$ per acre-inch

|  | Corn |  | Alfalfa |  | Drybeans |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Establishment | Established |  |
|  | Grain | Silage | Year | Year |  |
| Market Income | \$240.00 | \$300.00 | \$165.00 | \$330.00 | \$396.00 |
| Direct Costs |  |  |  |  |  |
| Seed | \$ 28.00 | \$. 19.50 | \$ 41.25 | \$ 0.00 | \$ 27.00 |
| Herbicides | 22.53 | 22.53 | 6.82 | 0.00 | 18.90 |
| Fungicides | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Insecticides | 14.09 | 14.09 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Fertilizer | 29.66 | 44.60 | 24.31 | 48.62 | 23.47 |
| Crop Insurance | 8.00 | 8.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 10.00 |
| Fuel \& Lubrication | 7.11 | 15.87 | 12.11 | 7.83 | 9.72 |
| Repairs | 8.06 | 9.32 | 9.24 | 5.41 | 7.39 |
| Drying | 12.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Hauling | 12.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 4.40 |
| Irrigation Electricity | 65.43 | 65.43 | 81.81 | 81.81 | 46.43 |
| Irrigation Repairs | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 |
| Soil Testing, Other | 1.05 | 1.05 | 3.85 | 6.60 | 1.20 |
| Interest | 10.53 | 10.08 | 7.56 | 6.63 | 7.83 |
| Sum of Listed Direct Costs | \$224.46 | \$216.47 | \$192.95 | \$162.90 | \$162.34 |
| Indirect (Fixed) Costs |  |  |  |  |  |
| Land Taxes | \$ 1.29 | \$ 1.29 | \$ 1.29 | \$ 1.29 | \$ 1.29 |
| Mach. Investment | 9.61 | 7.08 | 7.25 | 2.61 | 8.86 |
| Irrigation Investment | 147.52 | 147.52 | 147.52 | 147.52 | 147.52 |
| Mach. Depreciation | 15.74 | 11.58 | 11.86 | 4.27 | 14.50 |
| Land Investment | 16.80 | 16.80 | 16.80 | 16.80 | 16.80 |
| Sum of Listed Direct Costs | \$190.96 | \$184.27 | \$184.72 | \$172.49 | \$188.97 |
| Sum of All Listed Costs | \$415.92 | \$400.74 | \$377.67 | \$335.39 | \$351.31 |
| Return to Unpaid Labor and Management |  |  |  |  |  |
| Non-participation | -\$175.42 | -\$100.74 | -\$212.67 | -\$ 5.39 | \$ 44.69 |
| Planting 92.5\% of Base |  |  |  |  |  |
| Deficiency Payment(+) | \$ 53.45 | \$ 53.45 | 1 |  |  |
| Cost of Setaside(-) | 2.97 | 2.97 | ; |  |  |
| Return | -124.94 | -50.26 | ; |  |  |
| Planting 77.5\% of Base |  |  |  |  |  |
| Deficiency Payment (+) | \$ 63.80 | \$ 63.80 |  |  |  |
| Cost of Setaside(-) | 3.54 | 3.54 | . |  |  |
| Return | -115.16 | -40.48 |  |  |  |

## Table D-24 - Charbonneau/Timber Creek Area Alternative 5 <br> 40 Percent Cost Share, 10 Percent Interest

Pumping Cost (Irrigation Electricity) \$3.18 per acre-inch

|  | Corn |  | Alfalfa |  | Drybeans |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Establishment | Established |  |
|  | Grain | Silage | Year | Year |  |
| Market Income | \$240.00 | \$300.00 | \$165.00 | \$330.00 | \$396.00 |
| Direct Costs |  |  |  |  |  |
| Seed | \$ 28.00 | \$ 19.50 | \$ 41.25 | \$ 0.00 | \$ 27.00 |
| Herbicides | 22.53 | 22.53 | 6.82 | 0.00 | 18.90 |
| Fungicides | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Insecticides | 14.09 | 14.09 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Fertilizer | 29.66 | 44.60 | 24.31 | 48.62 | 23.47 |
| Crop Insurance | 8.00 | 8.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 10.00 |
| Fuel \& Lubrication | 7.11 | 15.87 | 12.11 | 7.83 | 9.72 |
| Repairs | 8.06 | 9.32 | 9.24 | 5.41 | 7.39 |
| Drying | 12.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Hauling | 12.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 4.40 |
| Irrigation Electricity | 65.43 | 65.43 | 81.81 | 81.81 | 46.43 |
| Irrigation Repairs | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 |
| Soil Testing, Other | 1.05 | 1.05 | 3.85 | 6.60 | 1.20 |
| Interest | 10.53 | 10.08 | 7.56 | 6.63 | 7.83 |
| Sum of Listed Direct Costs | \$224.46 | \$216.47 | \$192.95 | \$162.90 | \$162.34 |
| Indirect (Fixed) Costs |  |  |  |  |  |
| Land Taxes | \$ 1.29 | \$ 1.29 | \$ 1.29 | \$ 1.29 | \$ 1.29 |
| Mach. Investment | 9.61 | 7.08 | 7.25 | 2.61 | 8.86 |
| Irrigation Investment | 157.99 | 157.99 | 157.99 | 157.99 | 157.99 |
| Mach. Depreciation | 15.74 | 11.58 | 11.86 | 4.27 | 14.50 |
| Land Investment | 16.80 | 16.80 | 16.80 | 16.80 | 16.80 |
| Sum of Listed Direct Costs | \$201.43 | \$194.74 | \$195.19 | \$182.96 | \$199.44 |
| Sum of All Listed Costs | \$425.89 | \$411.21 | \$388.14 | \$345.86 | \$361.78 |
| Return to Unpaid Labor and Management |  |  |  |  |  |
| Non-participation | -\$185.89 | -\$111.21 | -\$223.14 | -\$ 15.86 | \$ 34.22 |
| Planting $92.5 \%$ of Base |  |  |  |  |  |
| Deficiency Payment(+) | \$ 53.45 | \$ 53.45 |  |  |  |
| Cost of Setaside(-) | 2.97 | 2.97 |  |  |  |
| Return | -135.41 | -60.73 |  |  |  |
| Planting 77.5\% of Base |  |  |  |  |  |
| Deficiency Payment(+) | \$ 63.80 | \$ 63.80 |  |  |  |
| Cost of Setaside(-) | 3.54 | 3.54 |  |  |  |
| Return | -125.63 | -50.95 |  |  |  |

# Table D-25 - Charbonneau/Timber Creek Area Alternative 5 

40 Percent Cost-Share, 5.5 Percent Interest
Pumping Cost (Irrigation Electricity) $\$ 3.18$ per acre-inch

|  |  |  | Alf |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Establishment | Established |  |
|  | Grain | Silage | Year | Year | Drybeans |
| Market Income | \$240.00 | \$300.00 | \$165.00 | \$330.00 | \$396.00 |
| Direct Costs |  |  |  |  |  |
| Seed | \$ 28.00 | \$ 19.50 | \$ 41.25 | \$ 0.00 | \$ 27.00 |
| Herbicides | 22.53 | 22.53 | 6.82 | 0.00 | 18.90 |
| Fungicides | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Insecticides | 14.09 | 14.09 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Fertilizer | 29.66 | 44.60 | 24.31 | 48.62 | 23.47 |
| Crop Insurance | 8.00 | 8.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 10.00 |
| Fuel \& Lubrication | 7.11 | 15.87 | 12.11 | 7.83 | 9.72 |
| Repairs | 8.06 | 9.32 | 9.24 | 5.41 | 7.39 |
| Drying | 12.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Hauling | 12.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 4.40 |
| Irrigation Electricity | 65.43 | 65.43 | 81.81 | 81.81 | 46.43 |
| Irrigation Repairs | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 |
| Soil Testing, Other | 1.05 | 1.05 | 3.85 | 6.60 | 1.20 |
| Interest | 10.53 | 10.08 | 7.56 | 6.63 | 7.83 |
| Sum of Listed Direct Costs | \$224.46 | \$216.47 | \$192.95 | \$162.90 | \$162.34 |
| Indirect (Fixed) Costs |  |  |  |  |  |
| Land Taxes | \$ 1.29 | \$ 1.29 | \$ 1.29 | \$ 1.29 | \$ 1.29 |
| Mach. Investment | 9.61 | 7.08 | 7.25 | 2.61 | 8.86 |
| Irrigation Investment | 112.54 | 112.54 | 112.54 | 112.54 | 112.54 |
| Mach. Depreciation | 15.74 | 11.58 | 11.86 | 4.27 | 14.50 |
| Land Investment | 16.80 | 16.80 | 16.80 | 16.80 | 16.80 |
| Sum of Listed Direct Costs | \$155.98 | \$149.29 | \$149.74 | \$137.51 | \$153.99 |
| Sum of All Listed Costs | \$380.44 | \$365.76 | \$342.69 | \$300.41 | \$316.33 |
| Return to Unpaid Labor and Management |  |  |  |  |  |
| Non-participation | -\$140.44 | -\$ 65.76 | -\$177.69 | \$ 29.59 | \$ 79.67 |
| Planting 92.5\% of Base |  |  |  |  |  |
| Deficiency Payment (+) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cost of Setaside(-) | $2.97$ | $2.97$ |  |  |  |
| Return | -89.96 | -15.28 |  |  |  |
| Planting 77.5\% of Base |  |  |  |  |  |
| Deficiency Payment (+) | \$ 63.80 | \$ 63.80 |  |  |  |
| Cost of Setaside(-) | 3.54 | 3.54 | - |  |  |
| Return | -80.18 | -5.50 |  |  |  |

# Table D-26 - Charbonneau/Timber Creek Area <br> Alternative 6 

No Cost Share, 10 percent Interest
Pumping Cost (Irrigation Electricity) \$2.74 per acre-inch

|  | Corn |  | Alfalfa |  | Drybeans |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Establishment | Established |  |
|  | Grain | Silage | Year | Year |  |
| Market Income | \$240.00 | \$300.00 | \$165.00 | \$330.00 | \$396.00 |
| Direct Costs |  |  |  |  |  |
| Seed | \$ 28.00 | \$. 19.50 | \$ 41.25 | \$ 0.00 | \$ 27.00 |
| Herbicides | 22.53 | 22.53 | 6.82 | 0.00 | 18.90 |
| Fungicides | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Insecticides | 14.09 | 14.09 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Fertilizer | 29.66 | 44.60 | 24.31 | 48.62 | 23.47 |
| Crop Insurance | 8.00 | 8.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 10.00 |
| Fuel \& Lubrication | 7.11 | 15.87 | 12.11 | 7.83 | 9.72 |
| Repairs | 8.06 | 9.32 | 9.24 | 5.41 | 7.39 |
| Drying | 12.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Hauling | 12.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 4.40 |
| Irrigation Electricity | 56.38 | 56.38 | 70.49 | 70.49 | 40.00 |
| Irrigation Repairs | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 |
| Soil Testing, Other | 1.05 | 1.05 | 3.85 | 6.60 | 1.20 |
| Interest | 10.53 | 10.08 | 7.56 | 6.63 | 7.83 |
| Sum of Listed Direct Costs | \$215.41 | \$207.42 | \$181.63 | \$151.58 | \$155.91 |
| Indirect (Fixed) Costs |  |  |  |  |  |
| Land Taxes | \$ 1.29 | \$ 1.29 | \$ 1.29 | \$ 1.29 | \$ 1.29 |
| Mach. Investment | 9.61 | 7.08 | 7.25 | 2.61 | 8.86 |
| Irrigation Investment | 421.38 | 421.38 | 421.38 | 421.38 | 421.38 |
| Mach. Depreciation | 15.74 | 11.58 | 11.86 | 4.27 | 14.50 |
| Land Investment | 16.80 | 16.80 | 16.80 | 16.80 | 16.80 |
| Sum of Listed Direct Costs | \$464.82 | \$458.13 | \$458.58 | \$446.35 | \$462.83 |
| Sum of All Listed Costs | \$680.23 | \$665.55 | \$640.21 | \$597.93 | \$618.74 |
| Return to Unpaid Labor and Management |  |  |  |  |  |
| Non-participation | -\$440.23 | -\$365.55 | -\$475.21 | -\$267.93 | -\$222.74 |
| Planting $92.5 \%$ of Base |  |  |  |  |  |
| Deficiency Payment(+) | \$ 53.45 | \$ 53.45 |  |  |  |
| Cost of Setaside(-) | 2.97 | 2.97 |  |  |  |
| Return | -389.75 | -315.07 |  |  |  |
| Planting 77.5\% of Base |  |  |  |  |  |
| Deficiency Payment(+) | \$ 63.80 | \$ 63.80 |  |  |  |
| Cost of Setaside(-) | 3.54 | 3.54 |  |  |  |
| Return | -379.97 | -305.29 |  |  |  |

## Table D-27 - Charbonneau/Timber Creek Area Alternative 6

No Cost Share, 5.5 Percent Interest
Pumping Cost (Irrigation Electricity) \$2.74 per acre-inch

|  | Corn |  | Alfalfa |  | Drybeans |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Establishment | Established |  |
|  | Grain | Silage | Year | Year |  |
| Market Income | \$240.00 | \$300.00 | \$165.00 | \$330.00 | \$396.00 |
| Direct Costs |  |  |  |  |  |
| Seed | \$ 28.00 | \$. 19.50 | \$ 41.25 | \$ 0.00 | \$ 27.00 |
| Herbicides | 22.53 | 22.53 | 6.82 | 0.00 | 18.90 |
| Fungicides | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Insecticides | 14.09 | 14.09 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Fertilizer | 29.66 | 44.60 | 24.31 | 48.62 | 23.47 |
| Crop Insurance | 8.00 | 8.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 10.00 |
| Fuel \& Lubrication | 7.11 | 15.87 | 12.11 | 7.83 | 9.72 |
| Repairs | 8.06 | 9.32 | 9.24 | 5.41 | 7.39 |
| Drying | 12.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Hauling | 12.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 4.40 |
| Irrigation Electricity | 56.38 | 56.38 | 70.49 | 70.49 | 40.00 |
| Irrigation Repairs | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 |
| Soil Testing, Other | 1.05 | 1.05 | 3.85 | 6.60 | 1.20 |
| Interest | 10.53 | 10.08 | 7.56 | 6.63 | 7.83 |
| Sum of Listed Direct Costs | \$215.41 | \$207.42 | \$181. 63 | \$151.58 | \$155.91 |
| Indirect (Fixed) Costs |  |  |  |  |  |
| Land Taxes | \$ 1.29 | \$ 1.29 | \$ 1.29 | \$ 1.29 | \$ 1.29 |
| Mach. Investment | 9.61 | 7.08 | 7.25 | 2.61 | 8.86 |
| Irrigation Investment | 300.17 | 300.17 | 300.17 | 300.17 | 300.17 |
| Mach. Depreciation | 15.74 | 11.58 | 11.86 | 4.27 | 14.50 |
| Land Investment | 16.80 | 16.80 | 16.80 | 16.80 | 16.80 |
| Sum of Listed Direct Costs | \$343.61 | \$336.92 | \$337.37 | \$325.14 | \$341.62 |
| Sum of All Listed Costs | \$559.02 | \$544.34 | \$519.00 | \$476. 72 | \$497.53 |
| Return to Unpaid Labor and Management |  |  |  |  |  |
| Non-participation | -\$319.02 | -\$244.34 | -\$354.00 | -\$146.72 | -\$101.53 |
| Planting $92.5 \%$ of Base |  |  |  |  |  |
| Deficiency Payment(+) | \$ 53.45 | \$ 53.45 |  |  |  |
| Cost of Setaside(-) | 2.97 | 2.97 |  |  |  |
| Return | -268.54 | -193.86 |  |  |  |
| Planting 77.5\% of Base |  |  |  |  |  |
| Deficiency Payment (+) | \$ 63.80 | \$ 63.80 |  |  |  |
| Cost of Setaside(-) | 3.54 | 3.54 | . |  |  |
| Return | -258.76 | -184.08 |  |  |  |

# Table D-28 - Charbonneau/Timber Creek Area <br> Alternative 6 

No Cost-Share, 4 Percent Interest
Pumping Cost (Irrigation Electricity) \$2.74 per acre-inch

|  | Corn |  | Alfalfa |  | Drybeans |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Establishment | Established |  |
|  | Grain | Silage | Year | Year |  |
| Market Income | \$240.00 | \$300.00 | \$165.00 | \$330.00 | \$396.00 |
| Direct Costs |  |  |  |  |  |
| Seed | \$ 28.00 | \$. 19.50 | \$ 41.25 | \$ 0.00 | \$ 27.00 |
| Herbicides | 22.53 | 22.53 | 6.82 | 0.00 | + 18.90 |
| Fungicides | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Insecticides | 14.09 | 14.09 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Fertilizer | 29.66 | 44.60 | 24.31 | 48.62 | 23.47 |
| Crop Insurance | 8.00 | 8.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 10.00 |
| Fuel \& Lubrication | 7.11 | 15.87 | 12.11 | 7.83 | 9.72 |
| Repairs | 8.06 | 9.32 | 9.24 | 5.41 | 7.39 |
| Drying | 12.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Hauling | 12.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 4.40 |
| Irrigation Electricity | 56.38 | 56.38 | 70.49 | 70.49 | 40.00 |
| Irrigation Repairs | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 |
| Soil Testing, Other | 1.05 | 1.05 | 3.85 | 6.60 | 1.20 |
| Interest | 10.53 | 10.08 | 7.56 | 6.63 | 7.83 |
| Sum of Listed Direct Costs | \$215.41 | \$207.42 | \$181.63 | \$151.58 | \$155.91 |
| Indirect (Fixed) Costs |  |  |  |  |  |
| Land Taxes | \$ 1.29 | \$ 1.29 | \$ 1.29 | \$ 1.29 | \$ 1.29 |
| Mach. Investment | 9.61 | 7.08 | 7.25 | 2.61 | 8.86 |
| Irrigation Investment | 263.95 | 263.95 | 263.95 | 263.95 | 263.95 |
| Mach. Depreciation | 15.74 | 11.58 | 11.86 | 4.27 | 14.50 |
| Land Investment | 16.80 | 16.80 | 16.80 | 16.80 | 16.80 |
| Sum of Listed Direct Costs | \$307.39 | \$300.70 | \$301.15 | \$288.92 | \$305.40 |
| Sum of All Listed Costs | \$522.80 | \$508.12 | \$482.78 | \$440.50 | \$461.31 |
| Return to Unpaid Labor and Management |  |  |  |  |  |
| Non-participation | -\$282.80 | -\$208.12 | -\$317.78 | -\$110.50 | -\$ 65.31 |
| Planting $92.5 \%$ of Base |  |  |  |  |  |
| Deficiency Payment(+) | \$ 53.45 | \$ 53.45 |  |  |  |
| Cost of Setaside(-) | 2.97 | 2.97 |  |  |  |
| Return | -232.32 | -157.64 |  |  |  |
| Planting 77.5\% of Base |  |  |  |  |  |
| Deficiency Payment(+) | \$ 63.80 | \$ 63.80 |  |  |  |
| Cost of Setaside(-) | 3.54 | 3.54 |  |  |  |
| Return | -222.54 | -147.86 |  |  |  |

# Table D-29 - Charbonneau/Timber Creek Area Alternative 6 

## 40 Percent Cost-Share, 5.5 Percent Interest

Pumping Cost (Irrigation Electricity) \$2.74 per acre-inch

|  | Corn |  | Alfalfa |  | Drybeans |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Establishment | Established |  |
|  | Grain | Silage | Year | Year |  |
| Market Income | \$240.00 | \$300.00 | \$165.00 | \$330.00 | \$396.00 |
| Direct Costs |  |  |  |  |  |
| Seed | \$ 28.00 | \$ 19.50 | \$ 41.25 | \$ 0.00 | \$ 27.00 |
| Herbicides | 22.53 | 22.53 | 6.82 | 0.00 | 18.90 |
| Fungicides | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Insecticides | 14.09 | 14.09 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Fertilizer | 29.66 | 44.60 | 24.31 | 48.62 | 23.47 |
| Crop Insurance | 8.00 | 8.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 10.00 |
| Fuel \& Lubrication | 7.11 | 15.87 | 12.11 | 7.83 | 9.72 |
| Repairs | 8.06 | 9.32 | 9.24 | 5.41 | 7.39 |
| Drying | 12.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Hauling | 12.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 4.40 |
| Irrigation Electricity | 56.38 | 56.38 | 70.49 | 70.49 | 40.00 |
| Irrigation Repairs | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 |
| Soil Testing, Other | 1.05 | 1.05 | 3.85 | 6.60 | 1.20 |
| Interest | 10.53 | 10.08 | 7.56 | 6.63 | 7.83 |
| Sum of Listed Direct Costs | \$215.41 | \$207.42 | \$181.63 | \$151.58 | \$155.91 |
| Indirect (Fixed) Costs |  |  |  |  |  |
| Land Taxes | \$ 1.29 | \$ 1.29 | \$ 1.29 | \$ 1.29 | \$ 1.29 |
| Mach. Investment | 9.61 | 7.08 | 7.25 | 2.61 | 8.86 |
| Irrigation Investment | 272.50 | 272.50 | 272.50 | 272.50 | 272.50 |
| Mach. Depreciation | 15.74 | 11.58 | 11.86 | 4.27 | 14.50 |
| Land Investment | 16.80 | 16.80 | 16.80 | 16.80 | 16.80 |
| Sum of Listed Direct Costs | \$315.94 | \$309.25 | \$309.70 | \$297.47 | \$313.95 |
| Sum of All Listed Costs | \$531.35 | \$516.67 | \$491.33 | \$449.05 | \$469.86 |
| Return to Unpaid Labor and Management |  |  |  |  |  |
| Non-participation | -\$291.35 | -\$216. 67 | -\$326.33 | -\$119.05 | -\$ 73.86 |
| Planting 92.5\% of Base |  |  |  |  |  |
| Deficiency Payment(+) | \$ 53.45 | \$ 53.45 |  |  |  |
| Cost of Setaside(-) | 2.97 | 2.97 |  |  |  |
| Return | -240.87 | -166.19 |  |  |  |
| Planting 77.5\% of Base |  |  |  |  |  |
| Deficiency Payment(+) | \$ 63.80 | \$ 63.80 |  |  |  |
| Cost of Setaside(-) | 3.54 | 3.54 | . |  |  |
| Return | -231.09 | -156.41 |  |  |  |

## Table D-30 - Charbonneau/Timber Creek Area Alternative 6

## No Cost-Share, 5.5 Percent Interest

Pumping Cost (Irrigation Electricity) \$2.74 per acre-inch

|  | Corn |  | Alfalfa |  | Drybeans |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Establishment | Established |  |
|  | Grain | Silage | Year | Year |  |
| Market Income | \$240.00 | \$300.00 | \$165.00 | \$330.00 | \$396.00 |
| Direct Costs |  |  |  |  |  |
| seed | \$ 28.00 | \$. 19.50 | \$ 41.25 | \$ 0.00 | \$ 27.00 |
| Herbicides | 22.53 | 22.53 | 6.82 | 0.00 | 18.90 |
| Fungicides | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Insecticides | 14.09 | 14.09 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Fertilizer | 29.66 | 44.60 | 24.31 | 48.62 | 23.47 |
| Crop Insurance | 8.00 | 8.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 10.00 |
| Fuel \& Lubrication | 7.11 | 15.87 | 12.11 | 7.83 | 9.72 |
| Repairs | 8.06 | 9.32 | 9.24 | 5.41 | 7.39 |
| Drying | 12.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Hauling | 12.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 4.40 |
| Irrigation Electricity | 56.38 | 56.38 | 70.49 | 70.49 | 40.00 |
| Irrigation Repairs | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 |
| Soil Testing, Other | 1.05 | 1.05 | 3.85 | 6.60 | 1.20 |
| Interest | 10.53 | 10.08 | 7.56 | 6.63 | 7.83 |
| Sum of Listed Direct Costs | \$215.41 | \$207.42 | \$181.63 | \$151.58 | \$155.91 |
| Indirect (Fixed) Costs |  |  |  |  |  |
| Land Taxes | \$ 1.29 | \$ 1.29 | \$ 1.29 | \$ 1.29 | \$ 1.29 |
| Mach. Investment | 9.61 | 7.08 | 7.25 | 2.61 | 8.86 |
| Irrigation Investment | 194.11 | 194.11 | 194.11 | 194.11 | 194.11 |
| Mach. Depreciation | 15.74 | 11.58 | 11.86 | 4.27 | 14.50 |
| Land Investment | 16.80 | 16.80 | 16.80 | 16.80 | 16.80 |
| Sum of Listed Direct Costs | \$237.55 | \$230.86 | \$231.31 | \$219.08 | \$235.56 |
| Sum of All Listed Costs | \$452.96 | \$438.28 | \$412.94 | \$370.66 | \$391.47 |
| Return to Unpaid Labor and Management |  |  |  |  |  |
| Non-participation | -\$212.96 | -\$138.28 | -\$247.94 | -\$ 40.66 | \$ 4.53 |
| Planting $92.5 \%$ of Base |  |  |  |  |  |
| Deficiency Payment(+) | \$ 531.45 | \$ 53.45 |  |  |  |
| Cost of Setaside(-) | 2.97 | 2.97 |  |  |  |
| Return | -162.48 | -87.80 |  |  |  |
| Planting 77.5\% of Base |  |  |  |  |  |
| Deficiency Payment(+) | \$ 63.80 | \$ 63.80 |  |  |  |
| Cost of Setaside(-) | 3.54 | 3.54 |  |  |  |
| Return | -152.70 | -78.02 |  |  |  |

# Table D-31 - Charbonneau/Timber Creek Area <br> Alternative 8 

No Cost Share, 10 Percent Interest
Pumping Cost (Irrigation Electricity) $\$ 3.90$ per acre-inch

|  |  |  | Alfa |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Co |  | Establishment | Established |  |
|  | Grain | Silage | Year | Year | Drybeans |
| Market Income | \$240.00 | \$300.00 | \$165.00 | \$330.00 | \$396.00 |
| Direct Costs |  |  |  |  |  |
| Seed | \$ 28.00 | \$ 19.50 | \$ 41.25 | \$ 0.00 | \$ 27.00 |
| Herbicides | 22.53 | 22.53 | 6.82 | 0.00 | 18.90 |
| Fungicides | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Insecticides | 14.09 | 14.09 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Fertilizer | 29.66 | 44.60 | 24.31 | 48.62 | 23.47 |
| Crop Insurance | 8.00 | 8.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 10.00 |
| Fuel \& Lubrication | 7.11 | 15.87 | 12.11 | 7.83 | 9.72 |
| Repairs | 8.06 | 9.32 | 9.24 | 5.41 | 7.39 |
| Drying | 12.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Hauling | 12.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 4.40 |
| Irrigation Electricity | 80.24 | 80.24 | 100.33 | 100.33 | 56.94 |
| Irrigation Repairs | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 |
| Soil Testing, Other | 1.05 | 1.05 | 3.85 | 6.60 | 1.20 |
| Interest | 10.53 | 10.08 | 7.56 | 6.63 | 7.83 |
| Sum of Listed Direct Costs | \$239.27 | \$231.28 | \$211.47 | \$181.42 | \$172.85 |
| Indirect (Fixed) Costs | \$ 1.29 | \$ 1.29 | \$ 1.29 | \$ 1.29 | \$ 1.29 |
| Mach. Investment | \$ 9.61 | 7.08 | 7.25 | 2.61 | 8.86 |
| Irrigation Investment | 374.20 | 374.20 | 374.20 | 374.20 | 374.20 |
| Mach. Depreciation | 15.74 | 11.58 | 11.86 | 4.27 | 14.50 |
| Land Investment | 16.80 | 16.80 | 16.80 | 16.80 | 16.80 |
| Sum of Listed Direct Costs | \$417.64 | \$410.95 | \$411.40 | \$399.17 | \$415.65 |
| Sum of All Listed Costs | \$656.91 | \$642.23 | \$622.87 | \$580.59 | \$588.50 |
| Return to Unpaid Labor and Management |  |  |  |  |  |
| Non-participation | -\$416.91 | -\$342.23 | -\$457.87 | -\$250.59 | -\$192.50 |
| Planting $92.5 \%$ of Base |  |  |  |  |  |
| Deficiency Payment(+) | \$ 53.45 | \$ 53.45 |  | 1 |  |
| Cost of Setaside(-) | 2.97 | 2.97 |  |  |  |
| Return | -366.43 | -291.75 |  | , |  |
| Planting 77.5\% of Base |  |  |  | , |  |
| Deficiency Payment(+) | \$ 63.80 | \$ 63.80 |  |  |  |
| Cost of Setaside(-) | 3.54 | 3.54 |  |  |  |
| Return | -356.65 | -281.97 |  |  |  |

```
        Table D-32 - Charbonneau/Timber Creek Area
                        Alternative 8
No Cost-Share, 5.5 Percent Interest
Pumping Cost (Irrigation Electricity) \$3.90 per acre-inch
```

|  | Corn |  | Alfalfa |  | Drybeans |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Establishment | Established |  |
|  | Grain | Silage | Year | Year |  |
| Market Income | \$240.00 | \$300.00 | \$165.00 | \$330.00 | \$396.00 |
| Direct Costs |  |  |  |  |  |
| Seed | \$ 28.00 | \$ 19.50 | \$ 41.25 | \$ 0.00 | \$ 27.00 |
| Herbicides | 22.53 | 22.53 | 6.82 | 0.00 | 18.90 |
| Fungicides | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Insecticides | 14.09 | 14.09 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Fertilizer | 29.66 | 44.60 | 24.31 | 48.62 | 23.47 |
| Crop Insurance | 8.00 | 8.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 10.00 |
| Fuel \& Lubrication | 7.11 | 15.87 | 12.11 | 7.83 | 9.72 |
| Repairs | 8.06 | 9.32 | 9.24 | 5.41 | 7.39 |
| Drying | 12.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Hauling | 12.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 4.40 |
| Irrigation Electricity | 80.24 | 80.24 | 100.33 | 100.33 | 56.94 |
| Irrigation Repairs | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 |
| Soil Testing, Other | 1.05 | 1.05 | 3.85 | 6.60 | 1.20 |
| Interest | 10.53 | 10.08 | 7.56 | 6.63 | 7.83 |
| Sum of Listed Direct Costs | \$239.27 | \$231.28 | \$211.47 | \$181.42 | \$172.85 |
| Indirect (Fixed) Costs Land Taxes | \$ 1.29 | \$ 1.29 | \$ 1.29 | \$ 1.29 | \$ 1.29 |
| Mach. Investment | \$ 9.61 | + 7.08 | + 7.25 | 2.61 | 8.86 |
| Irrigation Investment | 266.56 | 266.56 | 266.56 | 266.56 | 266.56 |
| Mach. Depreciation | 15.74 | 11.58 | 11.86 | 4.27 | 14.50 |
| Land Investment | 16.80 | 16.80 | 16.80 | 16.80 | 16.80 |
| Sum of Listed Direct Costs | \$310.00 | \$303.31 | \$303.76 | \$291.53 | \$308.01 |
| Sum of All Listed Costs | \$549.27 | \$534.59 | \$515.23 | \$472.95 | \$480.86 |
| Return to Unpaid Labor and Management |  |  |  |  |  |
| Non-participation | -\$309.27 | -\$234.59 | -\$350.23 | -\$142.95 | -\$ 84.86 |
| Planting $92.5 \%$ of Base |  |  |  |  |  |
| Deficiency Payment(+) | \$ 53.45 | \$ 53.45 |  |  |  |
| Cost of Setaside(-) | 2.97 | 2.97 |  |  |  |
| Return | -258.79 | -184.11 |  |  |  |
| Planting 77.5\% of Base |  |  |  |  |  |
| Deficiency Payment(+) | \$ 63.80 | \$ 63.80 |  |  |  |
| Cost of Setaside(-) | 3.54 | 3.54 |  |  |  |
| Return | -249.01 | -174.33 |  |  |  |

Table D-33 - Charbonneau/Timber Creek Area Alternative 8

No Cost-Share, 4 Percent Interest
Pumping Cost (Irrigation Electricity) \$3.90 per acre-inch

|  | Corn |  | Alfalfa |  | Drybeans |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Establishment | Established |  |
|  | Grain | Silage | Year | Year |  |
| Market Income | \$240.00 | \$300.00 | \$165.00 | \$330.00 | \$396.00 |
| Direct Costs |  |  |  |  |  |
| Seed | \$ 28.00 | \$. 19.50 | \$ 41.25 | \$ 0.00 | \$ 27.00 |
| Herbicides | 22.53 | 22.53 | 6.82 | 0.00 | 18.90 |
| Fungicides | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Insecticides | 14.09 | 14.09 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Fertilizer | 29.66 | 44.60 | 24.31 | 48.62 | 23.47 |
| Crop Insurance | 8.00 | 8.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 10.00 |
| Fuel \& Lubrication | 7.11 | 15.87 | 12.11 | 7.83 | 9.72 |
| Repairs | 8.06 | 9.32 | 9.24 | 5.41 | 7.39 |
| Drying | 12.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Hauling | 12.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 4.40 |
| Irrigation Electricity | 80.24 | 80.24 | 100.33 | 100.33 | 56.94 |
| Irrigation Repairs | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 |
| Soil Testing, Other | 1.05 | 1.05 | 3.85 | 6.60 | 1.20 |
| Interest | 10.53 | 10.08 | 7.56 | 6.63 | 7.83 |
| Sum of Listed Direct Costs | \$239.27 | \$231.28 | \$211.47 | \$181.42 | \$172.85 |
| Indirect (Fixed) Costs |  |  |  |  |  |
| Land Taxes | \$ 1.29 | \$ 1.29 | \$ 1.29 | \$ 1.29 | \$ 1.29 |
| Mach. Investment | 9.61 | 7.08 | 7.25 | 2.61 | 8.86 |
| Irrigation Investment | 234.39 | 234.39 | 234.39 | 234.39 | 234.39 |
| Mach. Depreciation | 15.74 | 11.58 | 11.86 | 4.27 | 14.50 |
| Land Investment | 16.80 | 16.80 | 16.80 | 16.80 | 16.80 |
| Sum of Listed Direct Costs | \$277.83 | \$271.14 | \$271.59 | \$259.36 | \$275.84 |
| Sum of All Listed Costs | \$517.10 | \$502.42 | \$483.06 | \$440.78 | \$448.69 |
| Return to Unpaid Labor and Management |  |  |  |  |  |
| Non-participation | -\$277.10 | -\$202.42 | -\$318.06 | -\$110.78 | -\$ 52.69 |
| Planting $92.5 \%$ of Base |  |  |  |  |  |
| Deficiency Payment(+) | \$ 53.45 | \$ 53.45 |  |  |  |
| Cost of Setaside(-) | 2.97 | 2.97 |  |  |  |
| Return | -226.62 | -151.94 |  |  |  |
| Planting 77.5\% of Base |  |  |  |  |  |
| Deficiency Payment(+) | \$ 63.80 | \$ 63.80 |  |  |  |
| Cost of Setaside(-) | 3.54 | 3.54 |  |  |  |
| Return | -216.84 | -142.16 |  |  |  |

# Table D-34 - Charbonneau/Timber Creek Area <br> Alternative 8 

40 Percent Cost-Share, 10 Percent Interest
Pumping Cost (Irrigation Electricity) $\$ 3.90$ per acre-inch

|  | Corn |  | Alfalfa |  | Drybeans |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Establishment | Established |  |
|  | Grain | Silage | Year | Year |  |
| Market Income | \$240.00 | \$300.00 | \$165.00 | \$330.00 | \$396.00 |
| Direct Costs |  |  |  |  |  |
| Seed | \$ 28.00 | \$. 19.50 | \$ 41.25 | \$ 0.00 | \$ 27.00 |
| Herbicides | 22.53 | 22.53 | 6.82 | 0.00 | 18.90 |
| Fungicides | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Insecticides | 14.09 | 14.09 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Fertilizer | 29.66 | 44.60 | 24.31 | 48.62 | 23.47 |
| Crop Insurance | 8.00 | 8.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 10.00 |
| Fuel \& Lubrication | 7.11 | 15.87 | 12.11 | 7.83 | 9.72 |
| Repairs | 8.06 | 9.32 | 9.24 | 5.41 | 7.39 |
| Drying | 12.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Hauling | 12.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 4.40 |
| Irrigation Electricity | 80.24 | 80.24 | 100.33 | 100.33 | 56.94 |
| Irrigation Repairs | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 |
| Soil Testing, Other | 1.05 | 1.05 | 3.85 | 6.60 | 1.20 |
| Interest | 10.53 | 10.08 | 7.56 | 6.63 | 7.83 |
| Sum of Listed Direct Costs | \$239.27 | \$231.28 | \$211.47 | \$181.42 | \$172.85 |
| Indirect (Fixed) Costs |  |  |  |  |  |
| Land Taxes | \$ 1.29 | \$ 1.29 | \$ 1.29 | \$ 1.29 | \$ 1.29 |
| Mach. Investment | 9.61 | 7.08 | 7.25 | 2.61 | 8.86 |
| Irrigation Investment | 245.06 | 245.06 | 245.06 | 245.06 | 245.06 |
| Mach. Depreciation | 15.74 | 11.58 | 11.86 | 4.27 | 14.50 |
| Land Investment | 16.80 | 16.80 | 16.80 | 16.80 | 16.80 |
| Sum of Listed Direct Costs | \$288.50 | \$281.81 | \$282.26 | \$270.03 | \$286.51 |
| Sum of All Listed Costs | \$527.77 | \$513.09 | \$493.73 | \$451.45 | \$459.36 |
| Return to Unpaid Labor and Management |  |  |  |  |  |
| Non-participation | -\$287.77 | -\$213.09 | -\$328.73 | -\$121.45 | -\$ 63.36 |
| Planting $92.5 \%$ of Base |  |  |  |  |  |
| Deficiency Payment(+) | \$ 53.45 | \$ 53.45 |  |  |  |
| Cost of Setaside(-) | 2.97 | 2.97 |  |  |  |
| Return | -237.29 | -162.61 |  |  |  |
| Planting 77.5\% of Base |  |  |  |  |  |
| Deficiency Payment (+) | \$ 63.80 | \$ 63.80 |  |  |  |
| Cost of Setaside(-) | 3.54 | 3.54 |  |  |  |
| Return | -227.51 | -152.83 |  |  |  |

# Table D-35 - Charbonneau/Timber Creek Area Alternative 8 <br> 40 Percent Cost-Share, 5.5 Percent Interest 

Pumping Cost (Irrigation Electricity) $\$ 3.90$ per acre-inch

|  | corn |  | Alfalfa |  | Drybeang |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Establishment | Established |  |
|  | Grain | Silage | Year | Year |  |
| Market Income | \$240.00 | \$300.00 | \$165.00 | \$330.00 | \$396.00 |
| Direct Costs |  |  |  |  |  |
| Seed | \$ 28.00 | \$. 19.50 | \$ 41.25 | \$ 0.00 | \$ 27.00 |
| Herbicides | 22.53 | 22.53 | 6.82 | 0.00 | 18.90 |
| Fungicides | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Insecticides | 14.09 | 14.09 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Fertilizer | 29.66 | 44.60 | 24.31 | 48.62 | 23.47 |
| Crop Insurance | 8.00 | 8.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 10.00 |
| Fuel \& Lubrication | 7.11 | 15.87 | 12.11 | 7.83 | 9.72 |
| Repairs | 8.06 | 9.32 | 9.24 | 5.41 | 7.39 |
| Drying | 12.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Hauling | 12.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 4.40 |
| Irrigation Electricity | 80.24 | 80.24 | 100.33 | 100.33 | 56.94 |
| Irrigation Repairs | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 |
| Soil Testing, Other | 1.05 | 1.05 | 3.85 | 6.60 | 1.20 |
| Interest | 10.53 | 10.08 | 7.56 | 6.63 | 7.83 |
| Sum of Listed Direct Costs | \$239.27 | \$231.28 | \$211.47 | \$181.42 | \$172.85 |
| Indirect (Fixed) Costs |  |  |  |  |  |
| Land Taxes | \$ 1.29 | \$ 1.29 | \$ 1.29 | \$ $\quad 1.29$ | \$ 1.29 |
| Mach. Investment | 9.61 | $\begin{array}{r}7.08 \\ 174 \\ \hline 17\end{array}$ | 7.25 174.57 | 2.61 174.57 | 8.86 174.57 |
| Irrigation Investment | 174.57 15.74 | 174.57 11.58 | 174.57 11.86 | 174.57 4.27 | 174.57 14.50 |
| Mach. Depreciation Land Investment | 15.74 16.80 | 11.58 16.80 | 11.86 16.80 | 4.27 16.80 | 14.50 16.80 |
| Sum of Listed Direct Costs | \$218.01 | \$211.32 | \$211.77 | \$199.54 | \$216.02 |
| Sum of All Listed costs | \$457.28 | \$442.60 | \$423.24 | \$380.96 | \$388.87 |
| Return to Unpaid Labor and Management |  |  |  |  |  |
| Non-participation | -\$217.28 | -\$142.60 | -\$258.24 | -\$ 50.96 | \$ 7.13 |
| Planting 92.5\% of Base Deficiency Payment(+) | \$ 53.45 | \$ 53.45 |  | I |  |
| Cost of Setaside(-) | 2.97 | 2.97 |  |  |  |
| Return | -166.80 | -92.12 |  |  |  |
| Planting 77.5\% of Base |  |  |  |  |  |
| Deficiency Payment(+) | \$ 63.80 | \$ 63.80 |  |  |  |
| Cost of Setaside(-) | 3.54 | 3.54 |  |  |  |
| Return | -157.02 | -82.34 |  |  |  |
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## APPENDIX E

## Establishing an Irrigation District

## MEMORANDUM



You asked me to provide you with an outline of what is necessary to form an irrigation district. The requirements for establishing an irrigation district are set forth below.
I. INTRODUCTION

Section 61-05-02 of the North Dakota Century Code provides that "[w]henever a majority of the electors within an area containing eighty acres . . . or more of land, susceptible of irrigation, desire to provide for the irrigation of such land, they may propose the organization of an irrigation district under the provisions of [chapter 61-05]." An "elector" is defined as "any landowner owning not less than five acres . . . of land whose land will be or is subject to assessments for construction or other costs, within a proposed or existing irrigation district, and who is a resident of this state." N.D. Cent. Code § 61-05-01(2) (1985).
II. THE PETITION AND OTHER DOCUMENTS

A petition for a proposed irrigation district must be filed with the State Engineer and must be signed by landowners who own a majority of land within the proposed district that will be subject to assessment. Id. § 61-05-07. The petition must describe the area to be included in the proposed district, and it must ask that the territory described be organized under the provisions of chapter 61-05. Id. Moreover, the petition must
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set forth the name and address of each petitioner and a description of his or her land, and the petition must have maps attached showing the boundary of the proposed district. Id. It should be noted that the proposed district may include lands which are not contiguous to any other lands in the district. Id. The map included with the petition must show the location of the proposed conveyance systems and other works. Id. § 61-05-08. In addition, if the water supply is from a natural stream, the flow of the stream must be stated in cubic feet per second. Id. If the water supply is to be gathered by a storage reservoir, the map must show the location of the reservoir and must indicate the reservoir's capacity in acre-feet. Id. If the water supply is from ground water, the map must show the location of the wells and the proposed pumping rates. Id. Furthermore, unless otherwise authorized by the State Engineer, the maps must be drawn to a scale of not less than two inches to the mile. Id. Moreover, preliminary designs of all the proposed conveyance systems and other works must be prepared in sufficient detail to show the contemplated method of construction. Id. In addition to the petition and the map, section 61-05-08 also requires a feasibility report on the proposed plan of irrigation. The feasibility report must include an analysis of the soil and water compatibility of the irrigable land of the proposed district. Id. It should also be noted that a
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registered professional engineer must prepare the map preliminary designs and feasibility report required by this section. Id.

Unless otherwise authorized by the state Engineer, the petition must also be accompanied by a bond. Id. § 51-05-09. The bond is to be for an amount double the probable cost of organizing the district, including the cost of the first election of the organization of the district. Id. The bond must be conditioned upon the surety's paying all costs in case the district shall not be approved by electors. Id. Moreover, within ten days after filing of a petition and the approval of the bond, the State Engineer must file a copy of the petition with the county auditor of each county in which the proposed irrigation district is situated. Id.

## III. SUMMARY REPORT

Prior to the hearing described in Section IV below, the state Engineer must review the maps, preliminary designs, and feasibility study and must prepare a summary report showing the probable cost of the proposed irrigation works and the practicability and feasibility of the plan of irrigation suggested by the petitioners. Id. § 61-05-10. A copy of the report must be filed with the county auditor of each county where the proposed irrigation district is situated. Id. The state Engineer must also submit the report to the electors of the proposed district at the hearing on the petition. Id.
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## IV. THE HEARING

After examining the petition, the maps and papers pertaining to the proposed irrigation district, and after preparing a summary report, the State Engineer must fix a time and place for a hearing on the petition. Id. $\$ 61-05-10$. A notice stating that the petition will be heard and stating the time and place of the hearing must be filed with the county auditor of each county in which the proposed district is located. Id. The notice must also be published once a week for two consecutive weeks in the newspapers of general circulation in which the district is located and in the official newspaper of each county in which the district is located. Id. The date set for the nearing on the petition may not be less than twenty days after the first publication of notice. Id.

It should also be noted that at the hearing the state Engineer may amend the plan of irrigation proposed in the petition. Id. $\$$ 61-05-11. The state Engineer may adjourn the hearing and make changes in the proposed boundaries as he deems advisable. Id. The boundaries of the district, however, may not be extended until the electors who own a majority of the acres of land subject to assessment to be included in the extension have consented in writing. Id.

## V. DECISION OF THE STATE ENGINEER

If the State Engineer determines the plan of irrigation is not practicable or that the plan is not economically sound, he
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must make an order denying the petition for the organization of the irrigation district. Id. § 61-05-12. He must also state his reasons for such action. Id. Also, a copy of the order must be filed with the county auditor in each county in which the proposed irrigation district is situated. Id.

If, however, the State Engineer finds that the establishment of the proposed irrigation district is advisable, and the plan proposed for irrigating the lands is practicable and economically sound, he must make an order establishing the irrigation district, subject to approval of tine electors of the district at an election called by the state Engineer for that purpose. Id. § 61-05-13. If the district embraces more than 10,000 irrigable acres, the state Engineer by such order must divide the district into five or seven divisions as he deems necessary for the convenience of the electors of the district. Id. The order must also set forth the following:

1. The time and place of holaing an election.
2. The boundaries of the district.
3. That a petition sufficient in form and substance was filed with the State Engineer.
4. That due and reasonable notice of the time and place of hearing on the petition was given to the qualified electors of the proposed district.

Id. A copy of the order must be filed with the county auditor of each county in which the irrigation district is situated. Id.
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## VI. THE ELECTION

After making an order establishing the irrigation district, the State Engineer must give notice of an election to be held in the district for the purpose of determining whether or not the electors in the district approve the establishment of an irrigation district. § 61-05-14. The notice must state that an elector desiring to be a candidate for the office of the district director must file his or her name with the State Engineer not less than twenty days before the election. Id. The notice must also carry a reference to the maps previously filed with the county auditor describing the boundaries of the lands included in the district as established by the State Engineer, and must designate a name for the district. Id. The notice must be filed with the county auditor of each county in which the proposed district is situated and must be published once a week for two consecutive weeks in the newspapers of general circulation in which the district is located and in the official, newspaper of each county in which the district is located. Id. ; The date set forth for the election must not be less than twenty-five nor more than thirty-five days after the first publication of the notice. Id. Section 61-05-15 sets forth a form for the notice of election.

Prior to the election, the State Engineer must appoint from the electors of the district one clerk and two judges, who constitute a board of election for the district. Id. § 61-05-16.
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If the district is divided into divisions, a board of election must be appointed for each division from the electors in the division. Id. If the members appointed do not attend the opening of the polls on the day of the election, the electors present at that hour may choose the members of the election board or fill the place of a absent member. Id.

An election upon the question of an organized irrigation district must be conducted in accordance with the general election laws of the state. Id. $\$ 61-05-17$. After the polls are closed, the election board must canvas the votes, and the clerk of the election board must certify to the state Engineer the results of the election. Id. The clerk of the board must then wrap the ballots cast at the election and mail them by registered or certified mail to the State Engineer wino also must canvas the ballots and verify the results. Id. The State Engineer then files and retains in his office the ballots cast at the election. Id.

It should also be noted that the number of votes made by electors may vary. An elector owning twenty acres or less subject to assessment has one vote. Id. § 61-05-03. An elector owning more than twenty acres subject to assessment which will receive its water from a federal reclamation or irrigation project is entitled to one additional vote for each additional twenty acres owned or major fraction thereof. Id. The elector, however, may not have more than eight votes. Id. If an elector
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will not receive water from a federal reclamation or irrigation project, the voting works the same except instead of being limited to eight votes, an elector cannot cast more than thirty-five percent of the votes. Id.

If upon a canvas of the votes cast, it appears that a majority of all votes cast are in favor of the organization of the irrigation district, the State Engineer, by an order, declare the territory duly organized as an irrigation district under the name and style designated and declares the persons receiving the highest number of votes duly elected as directors. Id. §61-05-18. The State Engineer must file a certified copy of the order immediately in the office of the register of deeds in each county in which any portion of the irrigation district is situated. Id. The state Engineer must also file a copy of the order with the county auditor of each such county. Id. The State Engineer must also immediately make out and mail by registered and certified mail to each person elected to the office as a director a certificate of election signed by him. Id.

The State Engineer must also file in the office of the secretary of state a duly certified copy of his order declaring the irrigation district duly organized. Id. § 61-05-19. The secretary of state must then issue to the state Engineer a certificate under the seal of the state of the due organization of the district. Id. The secretary must also file a copy of the certificate and the order of the State Engineer. Id.
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